

The Attorney General

1 - I. J. B. Adams 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. Octoberge, 1975 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

and 21: -2- -1

F

مر ایرا ر

Ĕ

DELWITCH

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum, with attachment, which reports the results of an interview of former FBI Assistant Director Dwight J. Dalbey by SSC Staff Member John T. Elliff. A copy of the memorandum, with attachment, is also being furnished for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (4)

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

1 - 67- (Personnel File Former AD Dwight J. Dalbey)

SFP:1hb //b ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED (10)HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED . 7.) BY Assoc. Dir. EX-115 Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. ____ Asst. Dir.: Admin. **REC-** 45 Comp. Syst. _ Ext. Affairs . Files & Com. ___ Gen. Inv. Ident. Inspection _ Intell. Laboratory . Plan. & Eval. 15 NOV 21 1975 Spec. Inv. _ Training _ Legal Coun. Telephone Rm. _ GPO: 1975 O - 569-920 TELETYPE UNIT ROOM Page 2

Mr. J. B. Adams
 Mr. J. A. Mintz
 Mr. W. R. Wannall
 Mr. W. O. Cregar
 Mr. S. F. Phillips

62-116395

October 29, 1975

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

> RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI ASSISTANT DIRECTOR (AD) ENIGHT J. DALBEY BY SSC STAFF MEMBER

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED IEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE I- 4-01 BY COZALM/PD MDR-16

The following pertains to an interview of Mr. Dalbey by SSC Staff Member John T. Elliff.

On August 22, 1975, Mr. Elliff requested of the FBI's Legal Counsel Division that Mr. Dalbey be made available for a Staff Interview and that the topic of the Interview would be a general historical perspective concerning the formation, duties and functions of the Legal Counsel Division. The EBI waived Dalbey's confidentiality agreement for the purpose of the interview.

Following the interview, Dalbey Voluntarily furnished the results in the form of a letter to Mr. John A. Mintz, currently AD of the Legal Counsel Division. Attached herewith is a copy of that letter with certain excisions which were made for reasons of the excised data being either personal in nature or not pertinent.

Assoc. Dir. _ Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. ___ Asst. Dir .: Admin. _ Comp. Syst. ____ Ext. Affairs _ Files & Com. ___ Gen. Inv. _ Ident-Inspection _ Intell, _ Laboratory _ Plan. & Eval. _ Spec. Inv. ____ Training ____ Legal Coun. ___ Telephone Rm. ____

Director Sec'y ____

1 - 67- (Personnel File Former AD Dwight J. Dalbey) SFP:1hb/hb WWW / we Wor K (9)

- <u>NOTE</u>: Elliff's contact was with Supervisor P. V. Daly - of the Legal Counsel Division.

TELETYPE UNIT

ENCLOSURE

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 3

MAIL ROOM

Enclosure

October 1, 1975

BY SPZ

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

MDR-16

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

DATE 1-4-01

Mr. John A. Mintz Assistant Director Federal bureau of Investigation Washington; D.C.

Dear John:

It is two weeks today that I was interviewed by Mr. Elliff of the Senate Committee, in the Senate Office Building, pursuant to arrangements which you made at his request. Knowing that you and the Bureau might be interested in receiving a synopsis of that interview, I admit that I am delinquent in my

The interview began at 10:00 a.m. and lasted for two and one-half hours. It was pleasant enough in all respects, conducted in good humor throughout. Comparison of the second s

Elliff's stated purpose in interviewing me was to learn the origins and functions of the Bureau's Office of Legal Counsel. So, during much of the interview I talked and he wrote furiously. Synopsized, of course, I went throught the history as follows.

In the beginning-dating everything (as in Christianity) from the time that a certain noted figure took over-there was no in-house counsel. There seem to be two reasons. First, the vast majority of the Agents were law trained. I think the figure for 1940, when I entered, as 90 per cent. Thus the Agents were equippe ed by training to provide their own legal guidelines. Second, the criminal jaw Was at that time very simple. Few of the FBI arrests, searches, and interrogations were challenged in the courts.

During this period the unofficial in-house counsel was Judge Holtzoff, then a prominent lowyer in the Department of Justice, When Hoover had a legal question of significance he consulted Nottzoff; the old files so show.

Somewhere in the middle 1940's (I guess at this from inadequate data) the FBI decided for some reason not known to me to set up the Legal Research Desk in the then Training and Inspection Division. I suppose that as bhe jurisdiction and the staff of the VBI grew swiftly so also did the number of legal questions raised, to the point where the informal Hoover-Holtzoff system proved inadequate. It was a one-man desk, occupied by, in among others, Inspector MAAS James Carlson, Chester Fontz (now daceased), Frank Strong, Mace Scovell, and others unknown to me. I took over in 1955.

Beginning hhortly after my arrival, the volume of legal questions began to

ENCLOSURE 395- 1064.

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page

swell. This development followed on the new "activist" approach of the Supreme Court under Warren which brought the landmark decisions of Mallory, Mapp, Miranda, and so many others, all of which complicated the criminal law enormously for both FBI Agents and for the officers of other jurisdictions to whom the FBI taught criminal law in the FBI National Academy and elsewhere. More than that, these decisions came at a time when the percentage of lawyers in the Agent force had greatly declined. It thus was obvious that both the supervision of legal matters and the training given to Agents and other officers must be greatly increased. This brought y ou and John Hotis and others into the function, for Donelan and I could no longer carry the load.

The final step was the establishment of the Office of Legal Counsel as a separate division of the FBL. This happened without advance notice to me. It was in January or February of 1971 (memory fades) when Hoover called me to his office. He opened by naming about five Federal law enforcement agencies such as the Secret Service, the Marcotics Bureau, and others, that had their own counsel and concluded by taying that the FBL had greater need of in-house counsel than any of these. He made me Inspector in Charge, and 17 days later made me Assis-. tant Director.

Ellif was interested in knowing why Hoover chose that moment to create the new division. I told him, and quite truthfully, that I did not know-Hoover did not say, at least not to ma. Elliff pressed the matter, icm and I pointed out that I could only speculate. The best speculation, in my opinion, is that the move was related to the extreme embarassment which came to hoover because of his public statement, prior to any grand jury action, concerning the evidence against those two priests and their followers who were alleged to be planning to blow up the District sewer system. That statement, I pointed out, was never brought to my attention until after it had been made. Had I known of it in advance, I would have strongly counseled against it. Somewhere in this time area, I speculated, Hoover must have decided that he badly needed a closer legal monitoring of everything that went on in the F3.

This brought up the anamolous position so long occupied by the Legal Research Desk Which had so troubled me. I was absolutely and totally responsible for ansering any legal question raised to my by anyone in the FBI, but I had no authority whatsoever to inquire into legal problems not raised to me. This unrix situation changed completely when the new division was established. Our first orders from Noover were to "see everything" going out of the FBI that might embody or affect a legal question. We strove manfully to do so for a while, but the volume of paper coming across the desk was simply too large. So, with hoover': permission and over his signature, I put out a motice to all headquarters personnel which made each supervisor, division, etc., initially responsible for recognizing the actual or possible existence of a legal problem and which directed them to bring all such problems to our attention. And so it went until I retired.

Elliff was interested in knowing why I was so quickly raised from Inspectorin-Charge to Assistant Director. Again, Hoover never told me, but if one must speculate I would suggest that it was because I handled to his satisfaction two serious legal matters which came up in the first few days. One was a proposal

from the General Intelligence Division that a file be established on each Senator and U.S. Representative and that into that file be thrown all miscellaneous information concerning the person that came to our attention without investigation. The purpose was entirely innocent, and of some efficiency, viewed solely from an investigative standpoint. The FBI had lately become responsible for investigating attacks against these people, and it is sensible to believe that the more the Agent knows about the victim the better the chance he has of solving the crime. But, I countered, the fact that the FBI kept such files would filter out to public knowledge and immediately be misinterpreted to mean that the FBI was actively surveilling and monitoring the activities of the legislators. This would not do. Moover agreed. About the same time there came from the Domestic Intelligence Division a proposal that an informant be sent to Canada to gather information there on certain U.S. citizens in whom the FBI had a legitimate interest. Canada was not to be informed. I countered that this was unwise; something of the same kind had happened a few years earlier, had been discovered, and had resulted in quite a stink being raised in the Canadian Parliament. I suggested that the FBI work kx this informant through the RCHP, Hoover agreed, and it was so done to the benefit of both this country and Canada and without an unfortunate incident.

Both these matters, it might be pointed out, were not strictly legal. But the effective lawyer remembers that just as no man is an island neither is a legal question. The question exists always in some political, economic, sociological, or philosophical context, and these may alter or even change what would otherwise be the narrow legal answer to a problem.

We next discussed, at Elliff's request, the functions of the Office of Legal Counsel. More pointedly, why could not these functions be performed by the U.S. Attorney and the Department of Justice? For many reasons, I said. First, and in general, it defies all common sense and the laws of human nature to believe that the Director of the FBI and his people can get adequate counsel from any lawyer over whom they have no control whatsoever, whom they do not pay, promote or demote. The FBI must necessarily be in a position to demand a quick answer from counsel. The SAC in Butte, for example, may call at 10:00 asm, or 10:00 p.m.s as the situation requires. He has located a fugitive, and sees a potential search problem. We might get a good answer from the Department if we write them a memo and wait three weeks (and again we might not, depending on who answers the question over there). But the SAC cannot freeze the situation for three weeks. Second, the SAC needs a positive answer-a "do this" and "don't do that" answer, specific, to the point, and susceptible of being translated into immediate action. None of this "on the one hand but however on the other" type of answer that bureaucrats so often give. The FBI can command such an answer of its own counsel, and of no other. Nor can the U.S. Attorney be relied upon for such answers, for several reasons. Hany of the assistants are recently graduated schoolboys whose know--ledge of the criminal law is "hornbook" variety. Some U.S. Attorneys are overly cautious, reluctant to take a stand, with the result that the FBI would underuse its authority, to the public detriment. Some are aggressive prosecutors out to make a name for themselves and not a bit reluctant to authorize an arrest or search on dubious grounds, secure in the knowledge that if the action fails the newspaper headlines will trampet the fact that it was the "FBI search" or arrest that was illegal, making no mention of the "authority" on which the action was

taken. Thus it is that the FBI desire to keep its legal actions clean as a hound's tooth may conflict with the ambition of the prosecutor. I still remember an Assistant U.S. Attorney who spent half an hour on the telephone trying to harangue, badger and bluff me into sending Agents out to arrest a Secret Service fugitive when both he and I knew that we had no jurisdiction to make such an arrest. And then some U.S. Attorneys simply went haywire in their interpretation of the law. If I remeber correctly, it was the U.S. Attorneys in the Eastern District of Illinois and one the the districts of Mississippl who once took the position that a person arrested by the FBI must be brought before the then Commissioner by the arrestedg Agent and by no other. I had to fight them both down on that one and it took a bit of doing. So, here again the FBI needed its two counsel.

Functions of FBI in-house counsel break down into a faw obvious categories, I told Elliff. Counsel must to some reasobable extent rove through current FBI work looking for problems, present and potential. Some can be solved on the spot, so to speak. Others must be taken to the Department, such as those which necessarily involve Department or Administration policy, or which are of such potential for damage that the FBI wants before acting to exhaust all expert advice available to it. It is a matter of considerable discretion to decide which quastions can and should be answered in-house, in order to not be badgering the Department every other minute, and which must be raised to the Department. This can be done by an experienced FBI Agent-Lawyer, and by no other. And then there is the constant need for FBI legal instruction, which I described byt on which I did not dwell, believing the need to be obvious and, in general, for the same reasons as those discussed above.

In response to Elliff's questions I admitted that the great bulk of the work of the Office of Legal Counsel came from the criminal side of FBI jurisdiction. And quite naturally so. It was (I speak of my time only) in the criminal area that most of the Agents were employed. And it was with some historical justification that those doing intelligence work thought themselves not responsible to the criminal law, although we did all possible to knock that error out of their heads. They thought themselves only intelligence gatherers, and so they were until the case suddenly turned into the offense of espionage or some other. It was then that we got such flascoes as that "high Sierras" case, which Noover anguly labeled the worst search he had ever seen. The Agents took everythingeverything-in the cabin, carted it hundreds of miles to San Francisco for further examination, and we lost the search in the Supreme Court. They never asked for advice of counsel. Without the effective presence of in-house counsel how great the danger today of another such flasco when so few of the Agents are lawtrained.

This discussion led to talk of the slender legal jurisdiction for the work of the Domestic Intelligence Division. I told Elliff that some in the FBI had long been dissatisfied with the matter, that I was among them, and that when Ed Miller became Assistant Director he had labored mightily for a change. Here I warned Elliff that it would be easy to so circumscribe FBI jurisdiction in this

area as to make the FBI no threat to the libertles of anyone, and totally without power-totally emasculated-to perform the functions which the public interest demands and which the great majority of our citizens expect the FBI to perform, and well. The law must always leave, as Justice Holmes once said, "some play in the joints," some room for judgment and decision of those who are responsible for the work.

In answer to Elliff's questions, I disclaimed all responsibility of the Office of Legal Counsel and the Legal Research Desk for all "dirty tricks," burglarles, and so on. The great bulk of these occurred during my "anamalous situation" described earlier; I was never consulted or advised in advance. Nor was the legality of any such pending action raised to me after establishment of the Office of Legal Counsel. I said that I had early made it clear that I stood for legality in all matters, being of the opinion that legality, like honesty, is the best policy in the long run no matter in ow onerous it may seem in some individual case. My lodestar was found very early in a note that Hoover had penned to a memo from the General Investigative decision complaining of the difficulties shrown in our path by the Mallory decision. Hoover wrote, "It is the law and we will obey it." That became the philosophy of the Legal Research Desk and of the Office of Legal Counsel.

A few-very rew-names came up. Elliff seemed to think that hoover might have counseled with me on the "lockout" of W.C. Sullivan. He did not, and I made that clear. I refused to enter into any discussion of the hoover-Sullivan relations, pointing out that I had hearsay only, except to confirm that it appeared to be the foreign liaison matter which generated the fracas.

Felt's name came up but it was inconsequential-I now forget how. I did point out that owing to directions in which Hoover gave to me, and in his usually certain terms, once I became head of the Office of Legal Counsel the responsibility for legal matter ran from me directly to him and that I had no other bosses on such matters. I didn't say so to Elliff but I think that some of the "power" in the Eureau had some difficulty, for a while, in grasping that fact. But so Hoover told im me and since I was eligible to retire anyway I acted accordingly.

Director Kelley was not mentioned at all, nor was Pat Gray so far as I can remember. Elliff mentioned you and Hotis in some way conveying the idea that you both are quite decent chaps, with which I readily agreed.

Regards, as always

*			AS APPROPRIAT			NSTRUCTIONS ON RE E COMPLETING.
TO: Intell: ATTN: Central	igence Communi	ty Staff	FROM:			
AIIN: Centra.	I Index			FBI		
SUBJECT: Aba	stract of Info	rmation Provid	ded to Sel	ect Commit	tees	
	check appropriate not transmitted,		nt was made a	vailable	2. DATE P	ROVIDED
DOCUMENT	BRIEFING	INTERVIEW	TESTIMONY	OTHER	10/	29/75
3. TO WHOM PROVID	ED (check appropri	ate term; add spe	cific names i	f appropriate	e)	
	The Asses	and Press and	and streets	de atras la sta 🔊 en se		4.8
ssc	the White	ney General Nouse	. wain a	copy ror	rorwa	raing to
нѕс						
4. IDENTIFICATION	(provide descript estifier and subject		ments; give n	ame or identi	fication	number of briefer
Konbar Manhar	ndum report • of Assiste	ing results	of an 1	stervieu	by SS	C Staff
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	former	nn restitut	and states	<i>19</i> 9706	У	
	(list date and it bal request of (name				other-	6. CLASSIFICATION
	ИA					U, C, S, TS o Codeword)
	<b>77</b> 46					U
7. KEY WORDS (ent	er the appropriate				if how	words not listed
used underline		key words from t	he list provid	led separatel	y; II key	
used underline	for emphasis)			led separatel	у; 11 кеу	
used underline	for emphasis) Operating	procedures		led separatel	y; II key	
used underline	for emphasis) Operating			led separate)	y; 11 key	
	for emphasis) Operating	procedures lon and sta	ffing	led separate)	y; 11 key	
8. SUMMARY (see r	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before	procedures ion and sta	ffing			
8. SUMMARY (See r	for emphasis) Operating Organizat:	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} 3 the forea	ffing			
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before red regarding gal Counsel	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} 3 the forea	ffing			
8. SUMMARY (See F Intorview of the Lo 62-116395	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before red regarding gal Counsel	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} 3 the forma	ffing			
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before red regarding gal Counsel	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} ; the forma Division.	ffing item) tion, du	tes and	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See F Intorview of the Lo 62-116395	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} 3 the forma Division. VIA LIAISO	ffing ^{item)} tion, dua N TO CENS	tes and RAL COM	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} ; the forma Division.	ffing ^{item)} tion, dua N TO CENS	tes and RAL COM	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} 3 the forma Division. VIA LIAISO TION WITH S	ffing item) tion, dua N TO CENS SENSTUDY ATTON CONT	ral com	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} ; the forma Division. VIA LIAISO CTION WITH S ALL INFORM HEREIN IS U	ffing item) tion, dua N TO CENS SENSTUDY ATTON CONT	ral com	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures lon and sta ^{completing this} 3 the forma Division. VIA LIAISO TION WITH S	ffing item) tion, dua N TO CENS SENSTUDY ATTON CONT	ral com	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures ion and sta completing this 3 the forma Division. VIA LIAISO TION WITH S ALL INFORM HEREIN IS U DATE	ffing item) tion, dua N TO CENS SENSTUDY ATION CONT NCLASSIFIE BY SU MMR U	ral com	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures ion and sta completing this 3 the forma Division. VIA LIAISO TION WITH S ALL INFORM HEREIN IS U DATE	ffing item) tion, dua N TO CENS SENSTUDY ATION CONT NCLASSIFIE BY SU MMR U	ral com 75	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures ion and sta completing this 3 the forma Division. VIA LIAISO TION WITH S ALL INFORM HEREIN IS U DATE	ffing item) tion, dua N TO CENS SENSTUDY ATTON CONT	ral com 75	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See r Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures ion and sta completing this 3 the forma Division. VIA LIAISO TION WITH S ALL INFORM HEREIN IS U DATE	ffing item) tion, dua N TO CENS SENSTUDY ATION CONT NCLASSIFIE BY SU MMR U	ral com 75	funct	ions
8. SUMMARY (See f Intorview of the Lo 62-116395 FMK: fmk	for emphasis) Operating Organizat: everse side before ed regarding gal Counsel ORIGINAL	procedures ion and sta completing this g the forma Division. VIA LIAISO TION WITH ALL INFORM HEREIN IS U DATE	ffing item) tion, dua N TO CENS SENSTUDY ATION CONT NCLASSIFIE BY SU MMR U	ics and TRAL COM 75 AINED PAUNER	funct	ions

.

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.

• "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.

• If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

<u>SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY</u> - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

CLASSIFY AS	APPROPRIATE	E: SEE INSTRUCTIONS OF REVERSE BEFORE COMPLETING.
TO: Intelligence Community Staff	FROM:	V
ATTN: Central Index	FI	I
SUBJECT: Abstract of Information Provide	ed to Select Com	mittees
<ol> <li>HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate term. If a document for review but not transmitted, so note.)</li> </ol>	was made available	2. DATE PROVIDED
DOCUMENT BRIEFING X INTERVIEW TE	STIMONY OTHER	10/28/75
3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropriate term; add speci	fic names if appropri	iate)
A. IDENTIFICATION (provide descriptive data for docume interviewee, testifier and subject)		
Memorandum reporting results of of Special Agents William A. Bra	migan and Jan	nes P. Lea
5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and item number if in res wise state verbal request of (name), initiative, su		est, other- 6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)
XIIA		S
<ol> <li>KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate key words from the used underline for emphasis)</li> </ol>	list provided separa	ntely; if key words not listed are
Intelligence collection And Information handling Management	L INFCRISATION C REIN IS UNCLASSI NE 11-16-2000 BY	SPACE 64
8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before completing this it		
Interviewed regerding mail open and the Hunter Project.	lngs, cail in	tercept programs
62-116395		
FMK: frak (4) ORIGINAL VIA LIAISON 7 IN CONNECTION WITH SEN		MUNITY INDEX
	AS VELLO	W Grwolfs
3791 (6.75) 5077 DocId: 32989534 Page 11	APPROPRIATE	· <u> </u>

NW

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.

• "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.

• If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

<u>SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY</u> - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

Airtel 5 To: SAC, New York (105-146601) 10/23/75 1063 **REC-14** From: Director, FH (62-116395) 1 - Mr. Wannall 1 - Mr. Cregar SENSTUDY 1975 1 - Mr. Hotis 103 IS - R100 Attn: Mr. Daly 1 - Mr. Mintz ReBuirtel 9/24/75. SA James P. Kehoe should be advised that by memorandum dated October 20, 1975, the Deputy Attorney General advised: "With reference to your memorandum of September 10, 1975, I have decided that Special Agent Kehoe will be reimbursed, from Department funds, for the \$750.00 legal fee in question." SA Kehoe's attorney will be contacted in the near future by a representative of the Civil Division of the Department to discuss this matter. 1 - Personael file SA James P. Kehoe NOTE: Based on incoming memorandum from Deputy Attorney General Tyler dated 10/20/75. 3 . JAM:mfd - " Ał (8) ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIF DATE Assoc. Dir. _ MORIG Dep. AD Adm. Dep. AD Inv. ___ Asst. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst. _ Text. Affairs Files & Com. ___ MAILED 9 an the stal Gen. Inv. _ ldent. OCT 24 1975 Inspection _ 1.11 month. FBI Laboratory Plan. & Eval. Spec. Inv. Training Legal Coun. P 4 10V 2 5 1975,000 GPO 954-546 TELETYPE UNIT

NW 55077 DocId:32989534

Assoc. Dir Distan OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 JULY 1973 EDITION GSA FPMR (41 CFR) J01-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Iemorandum Clarence M. Kelley Gen. Ident то Director DATE: October **UInspectic** Federal Bureau of Investigation Intell. Labor Harold R. Tyler, Jr. FROM : Plan. Deputy Attorney General Spec Trai Reimbursement of Legal Fees to Special Agent SUBJECT: Telephone James P. Kehoe Director Sec'y Senstuly ? With reference to your memorandum of September 10, 1975, I have decided that Special Agent Kehoe will be reimbursed, from Department funds, for the \$750.00 legal fee in question. As you know, we are developing guidelines for use in this and similar situations. In the meantime, requests such as this will be considered on an individual basis. EX 103 REC-14 62-116395-1063 ante 5 SAC, ny 10/23-20-gamaga 15 NOV 12 1975 ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED MDR-16 DA Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

JULY 1973 EDITION GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

# Memorandum

то

Rex E. Lee Assistant Attorney General Civil Division

DATE: October 20, 1975

FROM : Togo D. West, Jr. Associate Deputy Attorney General

SUBJECT: Legal Expenses of FBI Agent Kehoe

Consistent with the new policy we are adopting on representation of government employees, the Deputy has decided that the Department should reimburse Special Agent Kehoe for legal expenses incurred by him in connection with his recent appearance before the Church Committee. It is our understanding that Mr. Kehoe will continue to need legal advice in connection with the Church Committee investigation. Since we would benefit from his knowledge of the case, and in the interest of continuity, the lawyer already retained by Mr. Kehoe should, if possible, now be hired by the Department.

7070 8.20

ALL PELL



Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan DocId: 32989534 Page 15

$\int$	OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA FRAR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMEN Memorandum		Assoc, Dir, Dep, AD, Adm. Dop AD, Inv. Asst. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst.
то :]	Mr. J. B. Adams	DATE: 11/13/75	Ext. Affairs Files & Com Gen. Inv Ident
C	Legal Counsel	ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEBRIN LI UNCLASSIFIED ACMA DATE - 44 BY SPACE	Inspection Inspection Laboratory Plan. & Eval Spec. Inv Training Telephone Rm Director Sec'y
	On 11/11/75.	at 3:20 p.m., SA Richard T. Taylor,	

Jr., met with Mark Gitenstein and Mary De Oreo, SSC counsel, at the apartment of Miss Helen Gandy, 4801 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., apartment 915, at which time a copy of an inventory of Mr. Hoover's Official and Confidential File, prepared by Mrs. Erma Metcalf, was furnished Gitenstein and De Oreo. Also present was a male SSC stenotype reporter. Previous arrangements had been made by SSC for Miss Gandy's interview in her apartment.

Following delivery of the file material and an exchange of introductions, SA Taylor departed the apartment and returned to the building lobby, remaining there until the conclusion of the interview at 4:45 p.m., when Gitenstein and his associates appeared and returned the material. SA Taylor revisited Miss Gandy who advised the interview had been cordial and consisted chiefly in a repetition of questions previously asked by Gitenstein and De Oreo of her and former Assistant Director Mohr at SSC. Gitenstein advised Miss Gandy that a transcript of her interview would be returned to her for editing. SA Taylor departed the apartment at 4:50 p.m.

#### **RECOMMENDATION:**

RECOMMENDATION	<u>I</u> :			
For	information.	<u>ST 104</u>	REC-41	1814 Am
1-Mr. Wannall 1-Mr. Cregar 1-Mr. Mintz 1-Mr. Hotis 1-Mr. Daly 1-Mr. Taylor		tý Ø	for the state	15 NOV 20 1975
RTT:lgp_? (8)				LEGAY & BUTSEL
N 2 4 1975				

29895 Buy CASe Shings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Assoc. Dir. EDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Dep.-A.D.-Adm COMMUNICATIONS SECTION Dep.-A.D.-Inv. Asst. Dir.: Admin. NOV 1 4 1975 Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs Files & Com. EVPF Gen. Inv. NR ØØ7 OC PLAIN Ident. Inspection Intell. 810 PM NITEL NOV 14. 1975. DAF Laboratory Plan. & Eval. DIRECTOR 62-116395 **T**0 Spec. Inv. Training FR OM OKLAHOMA CITY Legal Count 62-4107 Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y ATTN: ATND. W. O. CREGAR Wal 406 STUDY 75


RE OKLA. CITY TEL TO BUREAU NOVEMBER 12, 1975. OKLA. COUNTY JUDGE BYRON E. MC FALL, FORMER BUREAU AGENT, CONTACTED OKLA. CITY OFFICE NOVEMBER 14, 1975. HE WAS ADVISED POSSIBLE INTEREST BY SENATE GROUP AND HE STATED HE WOULD TELEPHONE BUREAU'S OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL.

END

NOV 2 4 19/5

5077 DocId:32989534 Page 17

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED REC-41 UNCLASSIFIED HEREIN IS BY (NO Meto



LEGAL delas

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 5010-106 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA GEN, REG. NO. 27 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis) Memorandum 1 - Mr. D. W. Moore 1 - Mr. J. Cochran DATE: 11/6/75 Wannall W ·R ITO . 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 0. Cregar FROM 1 - Mr. C. G. McWright 1 - Mr. J. P. Thomas SENSTUDY 75 SUBJECT: ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 1-4-6 BY COLAWA MALLO

Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD Adm. __ Dep. AD Inv. ____ Asst. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst. _ Ext. Affairs . Files & Com. ____ Gen. Inv. Ident. Inspection . Intell. Laboratory Legal Coun. . Plan. & Eval. Spec. Inv. _ Training _ Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y ____

This is to inform you that on 11/7/75 Defense Department will release to the Senate Select Committee (SSC), the Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Administrative Practices and Procedures (Senator Edward M. Kennedy's committee), and to the press, information concerning Navy projects from 1947 to 1954 involving drug experimentation; a Navy file indicates FBI was aware of programs but Laboratory has no knowledge of any active participation by Bureau personnel.

On 11/4/75, Clark McGruder, Assistant to Admiral Bobby R. Inman, Director of Naval Intelligence, informed me that on 11/7/75 the Navy was going to release to aforementioned committees and the press information on drug experimentation and that the FBI was mentioned in the pertinent files. Those files were reviewed at the Pentagon on 11/6/75 by Supervisor J. P. Thomas of INTD. They had been classified "Secret" and "Top Secret" but now have been completely declassified. FBI was mentioned in connection with three -

A memorandum dated 9/21/50 from Mr. Alberti to Mr. C. D. Deloach was a receipt signed by Mr. Deloach for one copy of "Drugs Stated To Have Been Used By Russians And Germans In Interrogation Techniques." Alberti is identified elsewhere in the file as Jack H. Alberti, Office of Naval Intelligence (ONE), who apparently coordinated the projects mentioned in the file. The purpose of one project was to develop a speech-inducing drug to obtain intelligence from interrogees and the project began in 1947. Enclosures

REC-41

JPT/CGM: 1hb /hb

62-116395

15 NOV 20 1975

84 NOV 25 19/2. / U NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 Page 18

A memorandum dated 8/15/75 on letterhead of Office of Chief of Naval Operations concerned "Top Secret Naval Medical Research Institute (NMRI) Project on Speech-Inducing Drugs," reported a meeting on 8/11/50 attended by personnel of NMRI, CIA, Office of Naval Research (ONR), a drug company representative and Mr. DeLoach and Mr. D. J. Parsons of the FBI Laboratory. The purpose of the meeting was to brief the FBI on a CIA project on narco-hypnosis and on the NMRI project. Parsons reportedly said the FBI had no such project, but had information on the experiences of Various police departments in the United States with speechinducing drugs. He agreed to make the FBI information available to NMRI and CIA. ONR agreed to give FBI, NMRI and CIA a study by ONR on projected research to improve polygraph reliability. Recipients were to give their comments and recommendations on the study to ONR. At the meeting NMRI gave DeLoach an 8/11/50 NMRI progress report on its project. Parsons agreed to look into the possibility of getting volunteers from prisoners in Federal institutions and to supply NMRI with canabis (marijuana) and heroin to the extent they might be available to the FBI. It is noted that no FBI representatives were listed in the memorandum reporting a 9/27/50 meeting of this group and there is no further record in the file of FBI participation. Neither is there any record that the FBI supplied any drugs for the project.

TA

Material regarding project "CHATTER" included a carbon copy of a rough draft memorandum (no letterhead) bearing the penciled date "11/50?" which contained the following, "Since 1947 the Department of the Nawy has carried on a project in coordination with the Departments of the Air Force and Army, CIA and FBI for the development of speech-inducing drugs.... The Directors of Intelligence of the three Services, of CIA and FBI consider this project

CONTINUED - OVER

of utmost important and urgency to... fulfillment of their missions for the security of the United States." The memorandum says that a project submitted to ONR by Professor Richard Wendt of the University of Rochester (NY) had been approved by all the agencies involved and recommended funding the project. The file indicates subsequently that this project did go forward and involve human experimentation.

Another ______file dealt with project "CALLING CARD," 1952-1953, designed "to render an individual subservient to imposed will or control." FBI was not mentioned.

A memorandum dated 9/5/50 for Mr. D. J. Parsons, FBI; C. Edwards, CIA; and Captain Wilbur E. Kellum of Naval Medical Research, from W. S. Post, Jr. (not further identified), informed them that a copy of the report on the "Ellson" project (University of Indiana, 1952) was being forwarded to them for information. The project involved research in the detection of deception. The addressees were to be briefed by Professor D. G. Ellson of Indiana University on the project at a future date. The file did not show that the briefing occurred. The project was terminated in June, 1952.

The two files reviewed contained many reports of research conducted concerning various drugs in various parts of the world, constituting a collection of papers on the subject by U. S. and foreign researchers.

A review of logical Bureau files by the Laboratory Division disclosed only one reference (62-2699-75) to the above. This was a memorandum, 8/4/50, recording that Mr. Alberti, ONI, had informed Liaison that the Navy had been developing a new drug which might be used to great

CONTINUED - OVER

- 3 -

advantage on espionage suspects. Navy offered to inform us about this. A notation by Mr. DeLoach indicated that Mr. Parsons would meet with Navy on 8/11/50. (Navy memorandum on this meeting set out above.)

We have received a memorandum dated 11/4/75 from Defense describing the proposed release of Navy information to the committees and to the press. Copy of that memorandum and of the press release are attached. The release says that "Liaison was maintained with... the FBI" concerning the drug ACTION: research.

For record purposes. None. JA Cul Elmer DOD, advand Prover Peles Sporter to F E Line Neufernice to F E Line The Ger delected.

Mr. M. R. Wannall

W. O. Cregar

SEAISTUDY 75

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis) 1 - Mr. D. U. Moore 1 - Mr. J. Cochran 11/6/75 1 - Mr. U. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. U. O. Gregar 1 - Mr. C. G. McWright 1 - Mr. J. P. Thomas

This is to inform you that on 11/7/75 Defense Department will release to the Senate Select Committee (SSC), the Senate Judiciary Subcommittee on Administrative Practices and Procedures (Senator Edward M. Kennedy's committee), and to the press, information concerning Navy projects from 1947 to 1954 involving drug experimentation; a Navy file indicates FBI was aware of programs but Laboratory has no knowledge of any active participation by Bureau personnel.

On 11/4/75, Clark McGruder, Assistant to Admiral Bobby R. Inman, Director of Naval Intelligence, informed me that on 11/7/75 the Navy was going to release to aforementioned committees and the press information on drug experimentation and that the FBI was mentioned in the pertinent files. Those files were reviewed at the Pentagon on 11/6/75 by Supervisor J. P. Thomas of INTD. They had been classified 'Secret" and 'Top Secret" but now have been completely declassified. FBI was mentioned in connection with three projects. There are no FBI documents in the files.

A memorandum dated 9/21/50 from Mr. Alberti to Mr. C. D. Deloach was a receipt signed by Mr. Deloach for one copy of "Drugs Stated To Have Been Used By Russians And Germans In Interrogation Techniques." Alberti is identified elsewhere in the file as Jack H. Alberti, Office of Naval Intelligence (ONL), who apparently coordinated the projects mentioned in the file. The purpose of one project was to develop a speech-inducing drug to obtain intelligence from interrogees and the project began in 1947. Enclosures

62-116395

JPT/CGM:lhb (9)

CONTINUED - OVER

1060

A memorandum dated 8/15/15 on letterhead of Office of Chief of Naval Operations concerned "Top Secret Naval Medical Research Institute (MIRI) Project on Speech-Inducing Drugs," reported a meeting on 8/11/50 attended by personnel of MART, CIA, Office of Naval Research (ONR), a drug company representative and Mr. Deloach and Mr. D. J. Parsons of the FBI Laboratory. The purpose of the meeting was to brief the FBI on a CIA project on narco-hypnosis and on the MAR project. Parsons reportedly said the FBI had no such project, but had information on the experiences of various police departments in the United States with speechinducing drugs. He agreed to make the FBI information available to MRI and CIA. ONR agreed to give FBI. MARI and CIA a study by OMR on projected research to improve polvgraph reliability. Recipients were to give their comments and recommendations on the study to OMR. At the meeting EERI gave feloach an 8/11/50 MERI progress report on its project. Parsons agreed to look into the possibility of getting volunteers from prisoners in Federal institutions and to supply MRI with canabis (marijuana) and heroin to the extent they might be available to the FBI. It is noted that no FBI representatives were listed in the memorandum reporting a 9/27/50 meeting of this group and there is no further record in the file of FBI participation. Neither is there any record that the FBI supplied any drugs for the project.

Material regarding project "CHATTER" included a carbon copy of a rough draft memorandum (no letterhead) bearing the penciled date "11/507" which contained the following, "Since 1947 the Department of the Navy has carried on a project in coordination with the Departments of the Air Force and Army, CIA and FBI for the development of speech-inducing drugs.... The Directors of Intelligence of the three Services, of CIA and FBI consider this project

CONTINUED - OVER

of utmost important and urgency to... fulfillment of their missions for the security of the United States." The memorandum says that a project submitted to ONR by Professor Richard Wendt of the University of Rochester (NY) had been approved by all the agencies involved and recommended funding the project. The file indicates subsequently that this project did go forward and involve human experimentation.

-The second file dealt with project "CALLING CARD," 1952-1953, designed "to render an individual subservient to imposed will or control." FBI was not mentioned.

A memorandum dated 9/5/50 for Mr. D. J. Parsons, FBI; C. Edwards, CIA; and Captain Wilbur E. Kellum of Naval Medical Research, from W. S. Post, Jr. (not further identified), informed them that a copy of the report on the "Ellson" project (University of Indiana, 1952) was being forwarded to them for information. The project involved research in the detection of deception. The addressees were to be briefed by Professor D. G. Ellson of Indiana University on the project at a future date. The file did not show that the briefing occurred. The project was terminated in June, 1952.

The two files reviewed contained many reports of research conducted concerning various drugs in various parts of the world, constituting a collection of papers on the subject by U. S. and foreign researchers.

A review of logical Bureau files by the Laboratory Division disclosed only one reference (62-2699-75) to the above. This was a memorandum, 8/4/50, recording that Mr. Alberti, ONI, had informed Liaison that the Navy had been developing a new drug which might be used to great

CONTINUED - OVER

- 3 -

advantage on espionage suspects. Navy offered to inform us about this. A notation by Mr. DeLoach indicated that Mr. Parsons would meet with Navy on 8/11/50. (Navy memorandum on this meeting set out above.)

We have received a memorandum dated 11/4/75 from Defense describing the proposed release of Nevy information to the committees and to the press. Copy of that memorandum and of the press release are attached. The release says that "Liaison was maintained with... the FBI" concerning the drug research. ACTION: -release.

None. For record purposes.

Nave told Recer 1/10/05 A would protobly omit FOI pon press release, based on fact we only Thinked I briefing in 1940.

Clark Mc pudereles in drug experiment 1947-1954 Fri- 2filie 55C. Re- Senali idicing (All.) Sub common M. maden Proelie Proceeding ennedy effectson drugs on tuman Belavin C 5B664 - 04 5-2907-NU 31650-460 NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 26

II /" NOF re CALLING GARD "V2.V3 <u>to render on cucles subarrient to untrate</u> <u>ELLSON PROT-UTING V3</u> Rescuch Pag in the Detection of Deception MErro (J. Declaro) 9/1/10 for D.V. Person FOF C Edwards CIA Capt W, Ibur E. Kellung, (cc on R) from W.S. POSTTT. Copy of reparalister francisto. addresses to be profel by Prof Elleon, Indrand U. reproj Proj Terminated 6/52

I Part 4 + x E SFGY Meno De Zoach Franklahlberti * 9/21/50 "Ried one copy of Drugs Stated to Have been Used By Russians and Germans In Interrogetien Techniques?. TOP SECRET " 2/ Cartha D. De Locch (Meno declaraifie ) mo ident * , dent elsewhere as Alberti, ont. Jack H. Hre Army, AF & CIA cognizantoftento Parpore- Carelop syceal-- deren drug to allow what for a lerogees w/s durea. Meno 8/18/00 Ofe Coner Ops re " Top Secret Neval Medical Resecret Institute Project on Speech Inducras Pross" S-Der'ars ocId:32

(2) x why Ó On shils one to a MMRI, Beth all by NM RIpen, CIA, ONR, Parke-Alberti, + C.D. DeLouch + O.J. Parroys of FBE. + Pargere -& brief FBF a CIA project an marco hyperocies + The MM RZ Project. Farcourse FRE had no michping, but had if a experience of. Do in des infriest experialized dugs; aquesoft make FBZ to wait MARIX CA. ONR agreed & geine FAE, NMARTXCM a study by ONR on projected received & improve polysoph releability; recipients & quie comments we town. NMRI gave De Lagoy * (Dr. J. W. Mager of FRE evolded

(3, 8/11/50 MART PERMIT - progress report on to project. Paisons agreed Francisco prechility of getting woluntees from among Fed presoners of + Meroin & efter barail. Men filitor & Reflecter, MQUSN referto a peroj-EBENALLIJ anep@ 9/2 - / vo - 4 PR. LOKI

De lancifaet To meane (11/rc?) re " Project CHATTER : TS/Decless "Since 1847 The Deptoy The Many he carried an a projin coard and FRI for the derof lipeers inducing drags .... * A groject seelint own by Prof Richard Wendth of Usphoch ... has been approchaged by all the genere molored, Fundore. * The Dirs of Intel of The & Service, of CIA+ FOI consideris pay of utrant important and ungening to " fulfillene of their missions for the car of the U.S.



OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20301

)R OFFICIAL USE OREV

MEMORANDUM FOR THE DEPUTY ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (SECURITY POLICY), OASD(C) DIRECTOR, FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

DIRECTOR, CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF FOR INTELLIGENCE, //DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE AIR FORCE DIRECTOR, PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE DIRECTOR, DRUG ENFORCEMENT ADMINISTRATION,

CH Afendrughts

. 4 NOV 1971

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Subj: Department of the Navy Drug Studies

DocId:32989534 Page 32

Encl: (1) Draft OSD Press Release on subject

During a recent records search, classified Navy files were discovered which indicate that from 1947 to 1952 the Department of the Navy conducted limited studies to determine the effectiveness of drugs on human behavior and performance, in the treatment of psychiatric illness, and as an interrogation aid.

As these files contain references to an interest in and/or support of the Navy studies by your office, this memorandum is to advise that the files have been declassified and are going to be provided on 6 November 1975 to the Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities and to the Senate Judiciary Committee's Subcommittee on Administrative Practice and Procedure. These files are available for your review, if desired, prior to noon on 6 November 1975, in the Office of Naval Intelligence (ONI), Pentagon (OX-52907). Please advise ONI of your intentions.

For your information, enclosure (1) is a copy of the Chief of Navy Information press release to be issued by the Office of the Secretary of Defense in conjunction with the transfer of subject files to the Congress. (n - 1/(n + 1))

**REC-41** 15 NOV 20 1975 LOSURE Thomas K. Latimer The Special Assistant ON CONTAINED Mobile FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY NOV 2 4 1975

The Navy has delivered to the Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations with Respect to Intelligence Activities and the Senate Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on Administrative Practice and Procedure, documents related to drug research on human subjects during the period 1947 through 1954. These documents were discovered by the Navy in the course of conducting an on-going research of old files. The documents indicate that the research was conducted to determine the effects of drugs on human behavior and performance, their usefulness during interrogations, and in the treatment of psychiatric illness. Liaison was maintained with the other Services, the CIA, and the Research was conducted at the Naval Medical Research FBI. Institute and the Naval Hospital at Bethesda, Maryland and by contract with the University of Rochester.

16395-1059

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 Assoc. Dir. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Dep. AD Adm. __ 1-Mr. Mintz Dep. AD Inv. ____ emorandum 1-Mr. Wannall Asst. Dir.: Admin. 1-Mr. Cregar Comp. Syst. 1-Mr. Branigan Ext. Affairs W. R. Wannall W Files & Com. DATE: 11/6/75 Gen. Inv. Ident. Inspection Intell. W. A. Branigan FROM Laborato Dago OP SENSTUDY - 7 Spec. Inv. SUBJECT:

Under date of November 3, 1975, Mr. James Dick, Staff Member of the Senate Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations With Respect to Intelligence Activities, furnished Mr. Wannall copy number 5 of the Public Hearing held on October 24, 1975. During this hearing, testimony of Assistant Director John A. Mintz, Assistant Director W. Raymond Wannall, former Inspector Donald E. Moore, and Section Chief William A. Branigan was received. Mr. Dick requested that any corrections should be made on separate sheets of paper, signing and dating each sheet. If any substantial amendment was to be requested, it should be done in the form of a letter to the Chairman, Senator Frank Church. Mr. Dick requested that the copy of the Proceedings be returned to the Senate Committee by the end of the week (November 7, 1975).

Assistant Director Mintz has reviewed his testimony and has no corrections or amendments to make with respect to it.

Section Chief William A. Branigan is requesting the following corrections and/or amendments:

Page 1247, line 16 - "prepare" should be "approve" Page 1248, line 9 - "perfect" should be "imperfect" Page 1279, line 18 - "newspapers" should be "newspapermen" Page 1287, line 8 - delete the word "Soviet"

Assistant Director Wannall requests the following corrections: Page 1243, line 11 - was considered as having come from a source for which approval for

WAB:hke (5)

CONTINUED - OVER

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS, UNCLASSIFIED DATE MADR-16

1 NOV 14 1975

Training ____ Telephone Rm

NW 550999-100DocId: 32989534 Page 34

Memorandum from Mr. W. A. Branigan to Mr. W. R. Wannall Re: SENSTUDY

Page 1243, line 12 - its establishment had been granted on a high level within the
Page 1278, line 4 - Mr. Wannall. No. I would say I have been aware of the
Page 1291, line 6 - registration of propaganda agents of foreign principals with
Page 1291, line 13 - So, I would say the interruption was probably due to conPage 1293, line 10 - We have had no real difficulties in defining our respective

There are no unanswered questions in the testimony.

### ACTION:

The above is submitted for record purposes. A representative of the Office of Congressional Affairs, Legal Counsel Division, should return the copy of the Proceedings of October 24, 1975, together with the signed and dated corrections and/or amendments to the Staff of the Senate Select Committee before the close of business November 7, 1975.

Wizw/Ful 11/10/75 Delwered to fas Dick. RT/ 137 m

gam

- 2 -

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 JULY 1973 EDITION GSA FAMR (1 CFR) 101-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT 1emoran W. Raymond Wannall Assistant Director, Intelligence DivisionDATE: Federal Bureau of Investigation October 31, 1975 Douglas R. Marvin Counsellor to the Attorney General

SUBJECT:

E10.7

O V C

The Attorney General is scheduled to testify before the (Senate Select Committee on Thursday, November 6, 1975. In preliminary conversations with staff of the Senate Select Committee, some indication has been given as to what questions the Committee may ask. Attached are the questions that seem to fall within the bailiwick of the FBI. I have already spoken to John Mintz to ask for his assistance in preparing some of the information on the legal authority and the procedures with respect to investigative techniques. Since I am not aware of the person or division which would best be able to answer these questions, I am sending all of them to you with the hope that you would distribute them to the people most able to respond to the questions. Would it be possible to have answers to these questions Tuesday morning?

I would appreciate it if you would also be able to give us copies of any documents you think useful that been on these questions and that have been forwarded to the select Committee.




REC-41

02-1163

15 NOV 20 1975

ENCLOSURE





Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan NW 55074° DocId: 32989534 Page 36

Q. What are the standards governing issuance of a warrant to open mail?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFTED DATE - - - BY SPARM MDR-16

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 37

Columice Columice

L'édinse.

Q. What are the procedures for seeking a warrant to

open mail?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
ALL INFORMATION HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATEBY_SMALM/HP
melle

.NW, 55077 . DocId: 32989534 Page 38-

Q. Has any mail been opened in the past by the FBI without a warrant?

A. If so, when was the operation discontinued?

B. Under what authority was the mail opened? What was the office of the official who approved the mail openings?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

CII

Q. Is any mail now being opened without a warrant?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 1-4-01 BY SMUM AC	)
MDP-14	Þ

NW 55077 DocId: 32989534, Page 40

#### ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE

Q. What are the procedures within the FBI for seeking authorization to employ electronic surveillance without a warrant?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 1-4-0 BY SP24-CM MDRIG

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 41

## SURREPTITIOUS ENTRIES

- Q. Has the FBI engaged in surreptitious entries for purposes other than electronic surveillance?
  - A. How many entries have been accomplished per year?

B. What were the purposes of the entries?


C. Who approved the entries?

D. Upon what authority were the entries conducted?

E.

When, if ever, was the practice discontinued?


ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIF MDP16



Q. Does the FBI disseminate information it has obtained by use of a search including electronic surveillance to other Federal agencies?

> If so, what agencies and under what standards are decisions made as to what information should be disseminated?

with warrant and zivilions ziamant (specify each). Search means recovery fividence with warrant Ewillout zoarrant means the powerer of inteligence



Q. How many warrants have been obtained for each year since the adoption of Title III for searches, including electronic surveillance relating to espionage?

Hoverine

FIEE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED MDR-1 D

13.3

The Attorney General

November 4, 1975

Director, FBI

2-Mr. Mintz (1-Mr. Hotis) 1-Mr. Wannall 1-Mr. Cregar

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to memorandum from Douglas R. Marvin, Counsellor to the Attorney General, to W. Raymond Wannall, Assistant Director, Intelligence Division, Federal Bureau of Investigation, dated October 31, 1975. Referenced communication advised the Attorney General is scheduled to testify before the SSC on Thursday, November 6, 1975. Eight questions were submitted with the memorandum with the request that the FBI prepare appropriate responses.

One question submitted under the caption "Mail Openings" was set forth as "Is any mail now being opened without a warrant?" The answer to this question is no.

The other soven questions have been answered individually and are included as attachments to this communication.

REC-41

Enclosures (7 ENCLOSURE 62-116395

EWL:jvl (8)

d: 32989534

1 - The Deputy Attorncy General Attention: Nichael E. Shaheen, Jr. / Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

TELETYPE UNIT

Page 45

ATTACHED"

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

15 NOV 20 1975

GPO 954-545

SEGRET MATERIAL ATT

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

Assoc. Dir. _ Dep. AD Adm. ___ Dep. AD Inv. Asst. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst. ... Ext. Affairs Files & Com? Gen. Inv. Ident. Inspection Intell. Laboratory _ Plan. & Eval. Spec. Inv. Training Lengi Coun. Telephone R

#### The Attorney General

#### NOTE:

This communication responds to referenced memorandum which was received during the late afternoon of 10/31/75. It is noted that Marvin asked that these questions be responded to by Tucsday morning, if possible. Marvin also appended a handwritten notation requesting any documents "you think useful that bear on these questions and that have been forwarded to the Select Committee." A review of the questions and materials already submitted to the Committee indicates that we are unable to submit such specific documents with these responses, although in certain instances we have referred to a broad body of material which might serve as background and which have already been submitted to the SSC as well as the Department.

# Q. What are the standards governing issuance of a warrant to open mail?

RESPONSE: The standards governing issuance of a warrant to open mail are set forth in Rule 41 of the Rules of Criminal Procedure for the United States District Courts and cases such as <u>Giordenello</u> v. <u>U.S.</u>, 357 U.S. 480 (1958), <u>Aguilar v. Texas</u>, 378 U.S. 108 (1964), <u>Stanford v. Texas</u>, 379 U.S. 477 (1965), and <u>U.S.</u> v. <u>Harris</u>, 403 U.S. 573 (1971), which interpret the warrant clause of the Fourth Amendment.

Briefly stated, a Federal law enforcement officer or an attorney for the government must submit a sworn affidavit to a neutral and detached magistrate. The affidavit must set forth sufficient facts to support a finding by the magistrate of probable cause to believe a crime has been committed and the letter, described with particularity, is or contains evidence of a crime.

In U.S. v. Van Leeuwen, 397 U.S. 249 (1970), law enforcement officers reasonably suspected a piece of mail contained evidence of a crime. They seized the package and held it overnight while the investigation continued. Additional facts were obtained and a search warrant was issued authorizing the opening of the mail. The Supreme Court held the police conduct was reasonable under the Fourth Amendment.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

( - with An

Q. What are the procedures for seeking a warrant to open mail?

RESPONSE: When a Special Agent of the FBI desires to seek a search warrant commanding him to open mail, he must discuss the case with a United States Attorney. If the United States Attorney authorizes the Agent to seek a warrant, an affidavit for a search warrant is prepared.

The affidavit is reviewed by the Special Agent, a Special Agent who has received intensive training in legal matters, the Agent's supervisor and the United States Attorney. Following these reviews the Special Agent submits the affidavit under oath to a magistrate.

As in any case, where sound judgement dictates, FBI Headquarters and/or the Department of Justice also may be contacted for their advice before the affidavit is submitted to the magistrate.

This same procedure is followed by FBI Agents in applying for all search warrants.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED FIGREIN IS UNCL DATE

ALL S

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 48



Question: Has any mail been opened in the past by the FBI without a warrant?

- Response: Yes. The FBI, between 1940 and 1966, operated eight different programs involving selected opening of the mail for the purpose of detecting and identifying foreign agents who threatened this nation's security and to detect other persons who indicated willingness, and were in a position, to sell out this country to hostile foreign powers. In several other isolated instances, mail was opened in connection with the counterintelligence responsibilities of the FBI.
- Question: If so, when was the operation discontinued?
- Response: All of these operations were discontinued in July, 1966.
- Question: Under what authority was the mail opened? What was the office of the official who approved the mail openings?

Response: These operations were generally approved by the late Director John Edgar Hoover although in certain instances they were approved by a high-ranking subordinate Bureau official.

(For your additional information, you are referred to our letter to the Attorney General dated September 3, 1975, captioned "U. S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (SSC)," which contained complete details of these operations.)

JPL:emg .

SICRET Classified by 3676 Except from GDS, Categories 2 and 3 Date of Declassification Indefinite Q. What are the procedures within the TEI for seeking authorization to employ electronic surveillance without a warrant?

#### Lesponse:

An investigative Agent, who feels that justification exists for use of an electronic surveillance, will address a communication to the Federal Eureau of Investigation (FTI) Headquarters setting forth the nature of the case and the necessary facts to support his belief that this technique is deened necessary. This communication containing the Agent's recommendation is reviewed by the Agent's immediate Supervisor. Should the Supervisor agree with the Agent, he will submit the communication bearing his approval to the Special Agent in Charge of the field office who will carefully scrutinize the facts set forth and if he agrees he will indicate his approval and send the communication to FEI Headquarters. Upon receipt at FEI Headquarters, a Headquarters Supervisor drafts a memorandum to the Attorney Ceneral (AG) setting forth all pertinent facts by which the request is believed justified according to the language of 18 US Code 2511 (3).

The request is then presented for review and justification through channels, i. c., Headquarters Unit Chief, Section Chief, Eranch Chief, Assistant Director of the Intelligence Division, Deputy Associate Director, Associate Director, and with the final personal approval of the Director, FEI, the request is forwarded to the Attorney General, noting that if the request is not felt to be completely justified at any level, it is disapproved or returned for further clarification or justification.

For your further edification, I have prepared a memorandum to you dated October 31, 1975, and captioned "National Security Electronic Surveillances (NSES)," wherein I furnish detailed information regarding our procedures, steps, and criteria for requesting and approving NSES.



#### SURREPTITIOUS ENTRIES

Question: Has the FBI engaged in surreptitious entries for purposes other than electronic surveillance?

Answer: Yes

Question: A. How many entries have been accomplished per year?

#### Domestic Subversive and White Hate Groups

At least fourteen domestic subversive targets were the subject of at least 238 entries from 1942 to April, 1968. At least three domestic subversive targets were the subject of numerous entries from October, 1952, to June, 1966. One white hate group was the target of an entry in March, 1966.

#### Foreign Intelligence Targets

During the period 1957 to 1967, surreptitious entry was used against at least eleven foreign intelligence targets. Since 1967, entries were made into the residences of six hostile intelligence service agents, and into the residence and office of a leader of a foreign-based terrorist organization.

#### Foreign Establishments


From February, 1954, to January, 1967, 133 entries were made against 37 different foreign establishments.

Question: B. What were the purposes of the entries?

#### Domestic Subversive and White Hate Groups

Assoc. Dir. _ To obtain secret and closely guarded organizational Dep. AD Adm. __ and financial information, and membership lists and monthly Dep. AD Inv. ____ Asst. Dir.: reports of target organizations. Admin. Comp. Syst. _ Ext. Affairs _ Files & Com. Gen. Inv. Ident. _ Classified NZ 5736 Inspection . Exempt from GD Category 2 Intell. Laboratory _ Date of Declassification Indefini Plan. & Eval. _ Spec. Inv. ____ Training .... Legal Coun. Telephone Rm. ___ TELETYPE UNIT MAIL ROOM Director Sec'y ____ NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 51

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920



#### Foreign Intelligence Targets

To obtain information on such espionage paraphernalia as radio and photographic equipment, secret writing materials, and fraudulent identification papers.

#### Foreign Establishments

To obtain cryptographic materials necessary for the National Security Agency (NSA) to fulfill its communications intelligence (COMINT) target requirements.

Question: C. Who approved the entries?

#### Domestic Subversive and White Hate Groups

#### Foreign Intelligence Targets

When a Special Agent in Charge (SAC) considered the technique justified and necessary a recommendation would be made to FBI Headquarters to the appropriate Assistant Director. A memorandum would be prepared for approval of Director Hoover or Mr. Tolson, the Associate Director.

#### Foreign Establishments

A request would be made of the FBI by NSA, whereupon a communication would be sent to the appropriate FBI field office wherein was located the target establishment. If the field office indicated an entry could be conducted with full security, FBIHQ would be so advised.

We have been unable to locate documentation showing the approving authority for these entries; however, the significance and sensitivity of these matters indicates approval was made on a high level at FBIHQ.

Question: D. Upon what authority were the entries conducted?

Domestic Subversive and White Hate Groups

#### Foreign Intelligence Targets

Surreptitious entries were conducted upon authority

of FBIHQ.



#### Foreign Establishments

Surreptitious entries were conducted upon authority of FBIHQ at the request of NSA and under guidance of National Security Council Intelligence Directive #9 (NSCID #9), which directive was superseded by NSCID #6.

Question E. When, if ever, was the practice discontinued?

#### Domestic Subversive and White Hate Groups

In July, 1966, Director Hoover ordered the technique of surreptitious entry discontinued. Mr. Hoover reaffirmed his position against the practice in January, 1967. Review of our records shows that thereafter only one entry was conducted on April 2, 1968.

#### Foreign Intelligence Targets

In July, 1966, Director Hoover ordered the technique of surreptitious entry discontinued. Mr. Hoover reaffirmed his position against the practice in January, 1967. Review of our records shows the last entry was conducted in August, 1974.

#### Foreign Establishments

In July, 1966, Director Hoover ordered the technique of surreptitious entry discontinued. In September, 1966, Mr. Hoover reconsidered the practice and approved use of the technique. In January, 1967, Mr. Hoover reaffirmed his position against surreptitious entries and discontinued the practice.

Does the FBI disseminate information it has obtained 2. by the use of a search including electronic surveillance to other Federal agencies? If so, what agencies and under what standards are decisions made as to what information should be disseminated?

RESPONSE. Yes. Information which has been obtained by use of a search, both those based on a warrant and those not based on warrant including electronic surveillance is disseminated to other Federal agencies.

The Manual of Rules and Regulations (MRR) and the Manual of Instructions (MOI) are the source of FBI policy instructions relative to the dissemination of information which comes into the possession of the FBI. The MRR Part II, Section 5, states that "Dissemination is ordinarily restricted to Federal agencies in the Executive Branch." In fact dissemination to such agencies comprises the vast majority of information disseminated. Dependent however on the exigencies of the situation information in certain instances may be disseminated beyond Executive Branch agencies. Thus the MRR requires that where there is a threat to life, interested law enforcement agencies with jurisdiction concerning the threat must be advised as well as the intended victim. So also the MOI Part, Section 23, contains instructions which require that the FBI immediately notify local law enforcement concerning bomb threats,

With regard to the standards employed in determining which information merits dissemination the MRR Part II, Section 5, establishes a backdrop against which and within which dissemination is made. This section notes that "the proper utilization of information received by the Bureau, whether through investigation or otherwise is foremost among our responsibilities. Such information may be of vital interest to another Government agency and/or local law enforcement., . In all matters relating to dissemination, good judgement and common sense should prevail. It is not the Bureau policy to withhold from dissemination vital and important information to which other agencies, including local Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD Adm. law enforcement, are justifiably entitled; however good Dep. AD Inv. - judgement and common sense dictates that the need to dissemi-Asst. Dir.: nate must be both vital and important and within the scope of Admin. -Eard Info comp. Syst. present requirements to do so. There must be no indiscrimi-Ext. Affairs - nate or arbitrary dissemination." Files & Com. _ Gen. Inv. ___ Ident. _ ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED Inspection _ Intell. TEB:cap Caf HEREIN IS HNCLASSIFIED Laboratory _

BY

DATE M6-0

TELETYPE UNIT

GPO: 1975 O - 569-920

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 54

Director Sec'y ____ MAIL ROOM _____

(12)

Pian. & Eval. _ Spec. Inv. ____ Training ..... Legal Coun. _ Telephone Rm. ___ Furthermore, by provisions of Departmental Order 3464 signed by the Attorney General January 13, 1953, all files, documents, records, and reports in the U. S. Department of Justice (including FBI) are to be regarded as confidential in nature and the contents thereof are to be disclosed only in the performance of official duties. This order clearly prohibits any arbitrary or indiscriminate dissemination and restricts dissemination to the requirements of the performance of official duties. Q. Now many warrants have been obtained for each year since the adoption of Title III for searches, including electronic surveillance relating to espionage?

#### Response:

LOTE:

Listed below are the number of Title III warrants obtained by the FBI since the adoption of Title III. No Title III warrants were utilized in espionage or counterintelligence investigations.

1968		0	
1969	-	24	
1970	-	150	ALL INFORMATION CONTAINING
1971	-	258	FLEIN IS HATCHASSTERED
1972	~	149	DATE 1-16-01 BY SPRACE PO
1973	-	112	Interio VI
1974	-	96	1.31010
1975	-	54	(through October 30, 1975)

In 1975, two judicial warrants were obtained permitting electronic surveillances for the purpose of obtaining foreign intelligence information.

No records are maintained regarding the overall number of warrants obtained by the FBI for the recovery of evidence incidental to investigations.



Classified "Secret" since unauthorized disclosure could reasonably be expected to result in exceptionally serious damage to national security.

Title III data was supplied by Supervisor Mike Neova, Division 9. He advised as record is maintained as to the total number of search varrants for obtaining evidence incidental to Eureau investigations. The two judicial varrants were obtained for foreign intelligence information in the investigations of Nohurmad Abdullah Alkhatib and Noharmed Mufid Majih Malawa. Classified by 5736, MGDS 2 and 3, Indefinite.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

November 17, 1975

11:-325-1054X

John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation

M. H FROM:

TO:

: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee request seeking "immediate delivery" of certain enumerated documents. Please prepare as prompt a response as possible.

REC-100


62

LEEDIN

cc: Paul Daly

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIF INDIZ





- TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation
- FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee request seeking "immediate delivery" of certain cnumerated documents. Please prepare as prompt a response as possible.

cc: Paul Daly

Ŧ

LL INFORMATION CONTAINED
LL INFORMATICLASSIFIED //
EREIN BUILD BY WRHIMIND
LL INFORMATION STIFTED EREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED ATE (2-31-0) BY SALL MARY
MDR-16

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. WALTER F. MONDALE, MONN. WALTER D. HOODLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART. COLO.

JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN HOWARD H. DAKER, JR., TENN. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR



TEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE

# United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 15, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530 ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

Dear Mike:

DocId:32989534

Page 59

55077

The Select Committee requests immediate delivery of documents, summaries of which were made available to the Committee staff pursuant to our request of September 18, 1975. Specifically, the Committee requests documents, including relevant communications between the Bureau and the White House, relating to the following activities of the FBI (dates are approximate):

- 1. Name check on David Brinkley, 2/16/65.
- 2. Name check on David Brinkley, 5/29/65.
- 3. Name check on John Chancellor, 7/19/65.
- 4. Name check on Peter Arnett, 7/22/65.
- 5. Name check on Hanna Arandt and twenty other opponents of the Vietnam War who sent a telegram to the White House, 6/4/65.
- б. Name checks on twenty-one individuals who sent a telegram to the President criticizing United States Vietnam policy, 7/30/65.

Letter of Director Hoover to the White House regarding Senator Everett Dirksen's contact with Vietnam Ambassa-.dor Bui Diem, 11/9/68.

ENCLOSURE

116395-10541

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Page Two

November 15, 1975

- 8. Name check on Peter Lisagor, 2/24/66. 9. Name check on Senator Stephen M. Young, 2/25/66. 10. Name check on H. Stuart Hughes, 3/24/66. 11. Name check on George Hamilton, 3/31/66. 12. Name check on Ben W. Gilbert, 4/6/66. Name check on Richard B. Stolley, 4/6/66. 13. 14. Report of criticism by Senator Wayne Morse of United States policy at a rally in New York City on 4/11/66, 4/12/66. 15. Name check on William Beecher, 1/4/67. 16. Name check on Barbara Kilstein Garson, 1/9/67. 17. Name check on Joseph Kraft, 1/27/67. 18. Report on Joachim Joesten, 1/30/67.
- Blind memorandum from Director Hoover to Marvin Watson regarding Senators Clark, Fulbright, Gruening, Hartke, Morse, McGovern, and Pell, 2/10/67.

Sincerely,

John T. Elliff Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force



1 - Mry Johnson

#### TELETYPE

IMMEDIATE

CODE

SENSTUDY 75

TO SAC, NEW YORK BÖSTON

NOVEMBER 17, 1975

FROM DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395)

IN RESPONSE TO A REQUEST OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE (SSC) YOU ARE REQUESTED TO SEARCH YOUR FILES FOR THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION.

ALL MATERIALS PERTAINING TO THE OPENING OF MAIL TO 1. OR FROM GILBERT STUART AND VIRGINIA R. STUART DURING THE YEARS 1960 AND 1961 BY FBI AGENTS IN OR AROUND THE CITIES OF NEW YORK AND PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND. (THIS REOUEST ENCOMPASSES MATERIALS LOCATED IN FBI HEADOUARTERS, THE NEW YORK FIELD OFFICE AND RESIDENT AGENCY IN PROVIDENCE, RHODE ISLAND). SUTEL RESULTS OF YOUR REVIEW EXPEDITIOUSLY.

END

(3)

ROOM

989534

NOTE:

JWJ:lij ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE

医多生的 数据

十字 计字子

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

NOV 17 1975

TELETYPE UNIT I

Page 61

SSC request dated 11/7/75 requested the above investigation be conducted and results submitted expeditiously to the SSC.

ST 102

RFC-1 62 -1163

15 NOV 191975

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

Assoc. Dir. _ Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. ____ Asst. Dir.: Admin. _ Comp. Syst. ____ Ext. Affairs . Files & Com. ___ Gen. Inv. _ Ident. Inspection _ Intell. _ Laboratory . Plan, & Eval. Spec. Inv. _ Training _ Legal Coun. . elephone Rm.

Director Sec'y



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

NOV1 4 1975

-BT

John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division TO: Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

> Attached is a November 12, 1975, letter from the Senate Select Committee requesting certain information concerning the Martin Luther King matter during the months of November and December 1964. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly

ST 109

REC-1 62-116395=10

15 NOV 19 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS MAK-16

62-116395

LEGAN VOBUNSEL 5-Ewel



1-Ad Hore VOV 2 5 1975 DocId:32989534 Page 62 INW 1077

- TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation
- FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a November 12, 1975, letter from the SenateSSelect Committee requesting certain information concerning the Martin Luther King matter during the months of November and December 1964. Please prepare an appropriate response.

cc: Paul Daly

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G, TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART. MICH. WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO.

UNGLABSITURED

INFORMATION

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENNA BANRY GOLDWATER, ANIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

Aniled States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, SATH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 12, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Office of the Deputy Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

In connection with the King matter and our forthcoming hearings next week, we need to have a complete understanding of all actions, decisions, proposals, recommendations and operations of FBI personnel with respect to Dr. King during the months of November and December 1964. Accordingly, I would appreciate your asking the Bureau to designate a representative who can go through the FBI files for those months relating to King with Mr. Epstein of our staff sometime this week. In the event that the November-December 1964 portions of the files contain materials pertinent to our inquiry, but which we have not yet requested, I trust that it will be acceptable to you for such materials to be designated by Mr. Epstein at the time of the review for delivery to the Committee.

I would also appreciate your making available this week all memoranda and other materials reflecting proposals made or actions taken which pertain to the handwritten note which appears on the bottom of the 4/23/64 memorandum from Mr. Sullivan to Mr. Belmont captioned "Martin Luther King" (serial #352), to wit: "4/23 DA 6 pm I told him we are working up materials as to what action should be taken and what reply should be made. He agreed."



Your continued cooperation is appreciated.

Sincerely,

John T. Elli'ff Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force

-116395--1053 FNCLOSURE

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 64

FBI OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530 NOV1 4 1975 TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation M:KA FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination SUBJECT Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter and questionnaire from the Senate Select Committee dated November 7, 1975, requesting information from the FBI regarding the Thomas Riha matter. Please prepare an appropriate response to this request.


cc: Paul Daly

ST 109

REC-1 62 -11639-

15 NOV 19 1975


3_ENCLOSURE





62-116395

LEGARANADUMISEL 5-Eul




TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter and questionnaire from the Senate Select Committee dated November 7, 1975, requesting information from the FBI regarding the Thomas Riha matter. Please prepare an appropriate response to this request.

cc: Paul Daly



FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. " WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART. COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN, BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL



ST PLANCE

listetidi LEta 1

# United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 7, 1975

The second states and

# NO: 101976

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mike:

With reference to my letter of August 22, 1975, concerning the disappearance of Mr. Thomas Riha, I am submitting the attached questions for the FBI and the Immigration and Naturalization Service. The Committee requests that answers to these questions be provided in both classified and non-classified forms.

Sincerely,

T-Elliff John T. Elliff

Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force Enclosures ( ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 12 OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERA DEPARTMENT * JUSTICE 8 NOV 10 1975 R = 2 OFFICE OF LEGISLATIVE AE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAC ENCLOSURE 62-116395-1052

### QUESTIONS RE: THOMAS RIHA

 Does the FBI know the whereabouts of Riha--whether he is alive or dead? Where?

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

FBI

- 2. Was Riha known to the Agency? If so, when first known and why?
- 3. Was Riha employed by FBI? If so, in what capacity? Dates of employment?
- 4. In March or April 1969 or thereafter, did Mrs. Gloria (Galya) Tannenbaum of Denver and Boulder, Colorado, contact the FBI in Colorado or Washington to assure the FBI that missing University of Colorado Professor Thomas Riha was alive and well and had left his home for personal reasons on March 15, 1969? If so, who in the FBI received this information and to whom was this information passed on in the FBI and the CIA? When was this done?
- 5. Was this or other information passed on by the FBI to the CIA or to other agencies and the Denver and Boulder police? What was the information conveyed? When was this done?
- 6. Why did FBI say in 1969 and 1970--and continues to say now-that it conducted no investigation of Riha's disappearance when it is known that FBI agents talked in 1969 and 1970 with friends of Riha about his disappearance?
- 7. Why, in January or February 1970, did an FBI agent at the Denver office refuse to accompany CIA Agent Michael Todorovich from Boulder to see then Denver District Attorney Mike McKevitt?

ENCLOSURE (02-116395 -- 1052

DocId: 32989534 Page 68

Was it made known by the CIA agent that the purpose of the visit was to ease the pressure on issuance of a subpoena to Dr. Joseph Smiley, former president of the University of Colorado? (Under such a subpoena, Smiley might have been required to reveal the agency that had given him the April 1969 report that Riha was alive and well at that time.) In December 1969, when Riha's dying mother, Dr. Ruth Ann Cook of Taunus, West Germany, contacted the FBI in Washington about her missing son, she was very anxious to "do anything" to determine where he was and what had happened to him. Yet the FBI (J. Edgar Hoover) said in December 1969, that Mrs. Cook declined the FBI's offer to place a missing persons notice on Riha in the FBI bulletin. What information did the FBI furnish Mrs. Cook to lead her to make this unlikely decision not to pursue all possible ways to find out what happened to her son?

2

- 9. Was Dr. Cook connected with the FBI in any way at any time? In what capacity? When?
- 10. Was Mrs. Gloria (Galya) Tannenbaum ever employed by the FBI? For what purpose or purposes? Was she hired to check on Leonard Carlin, Chicago attorney who was active in defending antiwar activists in the 1960s?
- 11. Was Mrs. Tannenbaum sent by the FBI from Chicago to the Boulder-Denver, Colorado area in 1968 to check on Riha or on Boulder attorney Dennis Blewitt, who later became Riha's attorney and confidant?

NW 55077 Doc1d:32989534 Page 69

8.

- 12. What knowledge does the FBI have on Riha's translations of Czechoslovakian tapes for Mrs. Tannenbaum in March 1969, before he disappeared?
- 13. What knowledge does the FBI have on Tannenbaum's reported suicide at the Colorado State Hospital in March 1971? Is the FBI acquainted directly or indirectly with Henry Madrid, a technician at the hospital?
- 14. Did the FBI contact the Colorado Bureau of Investigation at any time on the Riha disappearance, Mrs. Tannenbaum's death or any other aspect of the Riha-Tannenbaum case? If so, when were these contacts and what was their extent?
  15. Did the FBI have any contacts with Riha when he was working as an interpreter in the Army in the early 1950's?
- 16. Does the FBI have files on any of the following persons, or were any of them at any time employed by the FBI directly or indirectly or contacted by the FBI, and for what reason?

Mrs. Jan (Kenneth) Sorensen - Boulder, Colorado Zdenek Cerveny - Boulder, Colorado

Mrs. Carol Word - Littleton, Colorado George and Francesca Stein - Lyons, Colorado Professor Donald Fanger - Cambridge, Massachusetts Henry Madrid - Pueblo, Colorado Professor Stephen Fischer-Galati - Boulder, Colorado Harvey Bevier - Denver, Colorado Professor Oldrich Leska - Prague, Czechoslovakia Jaroslav Riha - San Antonio, Texas Professor Joyce Lebra - Boulder, Colorado

3 -

17. Does the FBI have knowledge about Riha's connections with the Treasure Tours International Travel Agency in Montreal, Canada, and what is the significance of this connection?

- 18. Does the FBI have knowledge of Riha's connections with the World University Service in Chicago and with Susan Kresge, one of the officials of this organization?
- 19. Were FBI agents trailing Riha early in 1969, especially in late February and early March? If so, why?

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 71

#### QUESTIONS RE: THOMAS RIHA

- Was Mrs. Gloria (Galya) Tannenbaum of Denver and Boulder, Colorado, ever employed directly or indirectly by the INS? When? In what capacity?
- 2. Was Mrs. Tannenbaum ever hired by INS in connection with the visa status of Riha's wife, Mrs. Hana Riha, formerly Hana Hrushkova or Hrushkovnova?
- 3. What inquiry was made by John L. Todd, INS district director in Denver, after he received a call early on the morning of March 9, 1969, involving a disturbance at the Thomas Riha home in Boulder, Colorado? The disturbance involved Riha, Mrs. Tannenbaum and Mrs. Hana Riha and ether placed in Mrs. Riha's bedroom, apparently to overcome her.

4. What were the findings of Todd's inquiry?

- 5. Were these findings communicated to the CIA and the FBI?
- 6. Why, in the fall of 1969, did the INS in Denver decline to reply at all to an inquiry made by a friend of Riha's on whether the professor still was alive? Why was this friend of Riha's then told it would be better if he wouldn't be interested in the Riha case?
- 7. On what basis did the INS in Denver tell one of Riha's friends in May or June 1969 that Riha was alive? Who in the INS office in Denver made this report?
- 8. Were Riha or his wife, Hana, ever employed by the INS? When? For what purpose or purposes?

ENCLOSURE 62-116395-1052

9. Was the INS in contact with the FBI and the CIA on the Riha and Tannenbaum cases and the disturbance incident involving Mrs. Hana Riha at the Riha home in Boulder, Colorado, on the night of March 9, 1969?

- 2 -

Assoc. Dir. . Dep. AD Adm. 🗻 Dep. AD[®]Inv. OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL Asst. Dir.: WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530 Admin. _ Comp. Syst. . Ext. Affairs Files & Com. JUL 1 4 1975 Gen. Inv. _ Ident. _ Inspection . Intell. Laboratory John A. Mintz, Assistant Director TO: Legal Co Legál Counsel Division Plan. & Eval Federal Bureau of Investigation Spec. Inv Training . K. William O'Connor Telephone Rm. Special Counsel for Director Sec'y Intelligence Coordination SUBJECT: Attached Letter to Mr. Elliff, SSC

Attached herewith is my letter to Mr. Elliff confirming our conversation of July 11. I think that it is approximately identical to the telephonic information I gave you on July 11. I may have omitted something from our phone conversation; if I did, please treat this letter as being explicitly articulate of the agreement reached with Elliff.

I believe this document carries into effect the intentions of the Attorney General expressed in our conference today. I am sensitive to the issue of clearance on the Dr. King material, and it will be raised when the summary consideration is reached.

Attached also is my memo to the Deputy Attorney General indicating FBI policy interest in the letter.

ST 109 ALL INFORMATION CONS



2-11639,=105



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ALTORNEY GENERAL-WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

JUL 1 4 1975

TO: Harold R. Tyler, Jr. Deputy Attorney General

K. William O'Connor Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: 'Letter Providing SSC Access to DCJ/FBI Materials

Attached is the original of my letter of today to Mr. Elliff which is self-explanatory, and, I think, meets the issues raised in the attachment to the July 9, letter from Senator Church and in our conference with the Attorney General.

I believe the letter accurately states the Attorney General's position on SSC access to the information requested. The General Counsel's office of FBI has advised me that Mr. Kelley may wish to speak to the Attorney General before the letter is sent, because of the broad policy problems pertaining to FBI files. I have advised Mr. Mintz that this letter fairly and accurately reflects both my understanding of the AG's policy, and my recent conversation with the SSC staff in the implementation of that policy. Mr. Mintz has asked that the letter be delayed until Mr. Kelley has an opportunity to consider it; I have delayed sending the letter for that reason, but feel it should go out today, since it simply confirms a prior position.

I would hope that this letter and the access it authorizes would facilitate the SSC Executive Session before which I understand the Attorney General is to appear on Wednesday, July 16, at 2:30.

I am providing a copy of this memo, my memo to Mr. Mintz, and the attached letter to Doug Marvin so that he may advise the Attorney General of it. I understand from Elliff that the Committee expects to ask the Attorney General about his views on the need for subpoenas, and the "agreement" to be forthcoming which is referenced in the June 24, letter.

cc: Doug Marvin

Page 75

DocId:32989534

1.2 -116 395- 1051

ALL FEI EIFORMATICN CONT

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Mr. John T. Elliff, Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force United States Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities Washington, D. C. 20510

> Re: Access/Delivery of Documents Requested in Senate Select Committee Letter of July 9, 1975

Dear Mr. Elliff:

Pursuant to our conversation of July 11, and confirming it, the following arrangements are approved for access to materials and delivery of materials to the Senate Select Committee staff, in addition to that which has already been made available.

Please refer to the attachments to the July 9, letter:

Page 3, Paragraph 2, Subsection A. All of the FBI files on the so called "Kissinger 17" surveillance will be made available to you and your appropriately cleared staff in Room 4171 of the Hoover Building. These documents may be reviewed and studied there, and you and your staff may take such notes as you deem appropriate; we have agreed that the notes are to be left in the secure room of the Hoover Building during your study. When your study is completed, you will prepare a summary of the information which you consider to be appropriate for Committee use. The summary will be checked with senior Bureau executives to ensure the protection of national security matters and observation of privacy considerations. Thereafter, the summary may be removed to the Committee's office and all the staff notes will be destroyed. You may check the summary back to the original documents as extensively as necessary, in Room 4171.

Page 3, Paragraph 2, Subsection B. Materials have already been transmitted regarding the Kraft matter and the agreement between his attorney and the Attorney General. When you have reviewed those materials, and have contacted Mr. Kraft's attorney, should you deem that appropriate, I understand you will contact me if further information is requested.

	A	
	E	
	D	
-1		
51		
121		
12		

HW SON

DocId:32989534 Page 76

Page 5, Paragraph 3, A & B. All of the materials related to the electronic surveillance of Dr. King, in the custody of the FBI, will be made available to you and your appropriately cleared staff under the same conditions and with the same agreements as specified above with regard to the "Kissinger 17" surveillance.

Page 6, Paragraph 4. Those materials in the custody of the FBI which reflect and relate to electronic surveillance of the Democratic National Convention in Atlantic City in August 1964, will be provided under the same conditions as articulated above with regard to the "Kissinger 17" surveillance.

Page 9, Paragraph C. A summary of procedures for mail covers has been provided by my recent letter to you. In addition to that, a statistical summary of mail covers on closed matters will be made available to you in Room 4171, together with access to the underlying documentation requesting the individual mail covers.

Documentation relating to the opening of mail will be withheld at present, due to ongoing investigations.

After your review of the mail cover summaries and any underlying documents you wish to review, we will discuss any further access which you may request to mail cover information.

Page 10, Paragraph E. I understand and accept your explanation that the word "implementation" in line 2 of paragraph E(1) does not seek access to the "raw" files of COINTELPRO programs. All of the memoranda originating the several COINTELPRO programs will be made available to you and your appropriately cleared staff for review in Room 4171.

We will furnish to you, for your study at Senate Select Committee offices, a complete set of the excised exhibits A-E to the Petersen Report; as previously agreed, your staff may have access to any unexcised pages of Exhibits A-E which you may wish to see, and which will be maintained at Room 4171. The back up materials underlying any particular summary in A-E will be extracted from the "raw" files upon your request and made available to you for your study in Room 4171. General access to the "raw" files is not required, as I understand it, for your purposes under this agreement.

- 2 -

Page 14, Item I. This will confirm your understanding that the Huston Plan documents prepared by the Bureau in response to your request under this heading have been delivered to the White House for "third agency clearance". This statement formally confirms my previous discussions with you of this fact when we met with Mr. Schwarz and Mr. Smothers on July 2. Be advised that the documents which have been transmitted to the White House for clearance will be forwarded to you, or made available for access, if appropriate, upon our receipt of the White House directions.

Page 16, Paragraph J. This will confirm our understanding that on July 14, there will be available for your review in Room 4171, a 160 page summary document reflecting an analysis of the 0 & C files.

After you have reviewed that analysis, I will discuss with you an appropriate further step with regard to the 0 & C files if such is indicated; such an agreement would be generally similar in terms to the foregoing arrangements.

I trust that this letter accurately reflects our understanding as reached in our discussion on July 11. If it does not, your immediate advice to me of any particulars in which we disagree in our understanding will be appreciated. In the meantime, I have furnished a copy of this letter to the Attorney General and the Deputy Attorney General and have requested the Bureau's cooperation in promptly making available the information indicated.

I would appreciate meeting with you and Mr. Schwarz and Mr. Smothers around July 18, for the purpose of discussing any problems which you may have identified and to clarify any outstanding documentary request which you may have, and to agree upon an appropriate schedule. Since we have received six additional requests from you, since the July 9, letter was delivered, it would seem appropriate to re-analyze your requirements in light of the agreements reached on July 11.

Sincerely, CONNOR

Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

cc: Attorney General Deputy Attorney General

FD_35 (Eev. 5-22-34) 721 11/14/75 . Date: nsmit the following in ____ (Type in plaintext or code) AIRTEL AIRMAIL REGISTERED MAIL 112 Via (Priority) DIRECTOR, FBI (134-24718) TO (ATTN: INTD MR. W. O. 11/12-ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED SAC, BUFFALO (134-1862) FROM:/ HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE -10-0 BY 500 SENSTUDY 75 DATE INDR-16 Re Bureau telcalls to Buffalo, 11/13/75. following volumes of Buffalo file 134-1862 (Bureau file 134-24718) Volume 1. Serials 1-83 and 1A1 Sub A - Volume 1, Serials 1-52 Volume 2, Serials 53-114 Volume 3, Serials 115-149 Volume 4, Serials 150-176 الالث سأسبب ثشابلالا Also enclosed are copies of Buffalo file 100-21623, Serials 1A21 and 1A24 (Bureau file 100-448092). Referenced Bureau telephone calls from Supervisor EDWARD P. GRIGALUS indicated that copies of documents and materials in custody of the Buffalo Field Office relating to MARY JO COOK, pertaining to period 7/73 - 12/74 be furnished to the Bureau. Review of file concerning MARY JO COOK indicates actual period of handling was 6/1/73 - 10/22/74. Documents enclosed ean (Ence, (AM-RM) (1-Package)2 - Buffalo **REC-30** (1-100-21623)20/16 GGL:jae Approved: Sent ___ Per Special Agent in Charge 4 DEC 1 9 1975 U.S.Government Punting Office NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 Page 79

### BU 134-1862

reflect this period in order to include copy of Statement of Cooperation signed by source and copies of initial serials in 6/73 reflecting instructions given to source.

Copies of serials 1A21 and 1A24 of Buffalo file 100-21623 captioned "VIETNAM VETERANS AGAINST THE WAR; WINTER SOLDIER ORGANIZATION; IS - VVAW; WSO" also included.

As per telephone conversation xerox copies of the entire MARY JO COOK file have been enclosed inasmuch as it appears that all serials pertaining to information furnished by source, record of contacts, or information concerning Bureau and Field Office supervision and handling of source, comprise entire file.

FD-340 (REV. 6-24-65) C VOL I 100-21623-1921 File No. 3 7/1 Date Received____ -From CONTRIBUTOR (ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR) (CITY AND STATE) SH By (NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT) To Be Returned 🗌 Yes · Receipt given 🔲 Yes MA NO 50 NO **Description**: vunites of sta VVAW/WSO -5 = 6/9,10/73. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED BY SPL DATE I-M 01 MORIG -5-7: - 1050X 55077 DocId: 32989534 Page

NW

## THE GAINESVILLE 8

There is a conspiracy underway in cur country. It is a cynical attempt by a small group of men to advance their own interests - and the interests of their backers- by a deliberate subversion of the American political process. Bit by bit, it is becoming knowr. The pattern is emerging. It's core is Watergate, but it spans all of Nixon's time in office and involves people from coast to coast. Now it will focus in Gainesville, Florida.

100-21620 -1A21

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

BYS

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE

'Now; why can't ALL you young people show

· that wonderful enthusiasm? ....

'On July 17th, the government will start the trial of the Gainesville 8 on charges of conspiring to violently disrupt the 1972 Republican National Convention. All are members of Vietnam Era Veterans Against the War/Winter Soldier Organization. Seven of them served honorably in Vietnam. They were corpsmen, door gunners, artillery FO's, tank crewmen and intelligence specialists. One was an officer. They were indicted for doing no more than seeking to tell the truth about what really went on in Vietnam- truth the government is determined to suppress. Here are the facts behind the trial of the Gainesville 8:

FACT- James McCord's recent Senate testimony reveals that the government is attempting to portray VVAW/ WSO as a violence-prone group of dangerous radicals. By this, they seek to 'justify! the Watergate break-in and the rest of their political espionage. If VVAW is dangerous, it is because the truth is . dangerous.

FACT- The government's case rests on the testimony of one William

Lemmer, an ex-paratrooper with severe psychiatric problems. He is just as much a casualty of the war as if he had been struck by a bullet. Knowing of his problems, the FBI tracked him down, set him up to be busted and then turned him against his brothers. The FBI skillfully played on his paranoia and nightmares to turn him into both an informer and a provacateur. Most of the violence mentioned in the indictments was proposed by Lemmer and rejected by the defendants.

FACT- Pablo Manuel Fernandez, an associate of two of the Watergate criminals, approached some of the Gainseville vets and offered to sell them weapons. At the time, he was on the payroll of the Miami police and the FBI. Fernandez was told that the vets would be used for the purpose of "embarrassing the Democrats." One of the heaviest counts the Gainesville brothers face is a charge of attempting to acquire - 1050% weapons based on this incident.



NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 82

FACT- The federal prosecution team in the trial is led by Guy Goodwin. He personally handled the Chicago and Harrisburg conspiracy cases. He works in the Justice Department's Internal Security Division- the heart of Nixon's dirty tricks activity. When the indictments were prepared, his boss was Asst. Attorney General Robert Mardian, a right-wing fanatic who, even J. Edgar Hoover distrusted. Shortly after that, Mardian took a position on the Committee to Re-elect the President under his friend John Mitchell.

FACT- The government deliberately tried to push VVAW/Winter Soldier into violence during the conventions. Yet, our demonstrations thereas all of our activities- were marked by a spirit of discipline and unity. We stand on our record as a non-violent anti-war group.

FACT- Perhaps the most outrageous aspect of the government's Gainesville case is the attempt to make the public believe that a small group of anti-war veterans would attack the Miami police, the Florida State Highway Patrol, the National Guard, the 82nd Airborne Division and the Marines with slingshots, crossbows and fried marbles. Rarely has the government's contempt for the intelligence of the American people been so clearly shown.

In order for the government to effectively disrupt the anti-war movement, it was not essential for them to win in court. The conspiracy laws make it possible for them to charge people when no crimes have actually been committed. The government has cynically used these laws to discredit their political opposition, to tie them up in lengthy and complex court proceedings, and to create an atmosphere of paranoia in the country. Even when acquitted, defendants are kept from doing more important work and their supporters are tied up in fund-raising and defense work. Whatever the verdict, the government has already accomplished this with the Gainesville 8. This case is different, however. Since the Watergate disclosures, Nixon's cronies will fight like cornered rats to get a conviction in order to vindicate themselves and to justify their subversion and distortion of justice. They cannot be allowed to continue their lies and deceptions.

What can be done? A local defense effort is underway. In addition, there will be a week of nationally-aimed demonstrations in Gainesville the week the trial starts. Funds and assistance are needed. If you can help, contact the VVAW/Winter Soldier storefront at 363 Connecticut St., or send donations to P.O. Box 902 Ellicott Station, Buffalo 14205. Now is not the time for cynicism or apathy. Gainesville may well be the most important conspiracy case yet to be tried. Join the people's conspiracy for the Gainesville 8 and commit the crime of spreading the truth.

5077°F, Doc14, 329895

VIETNAM ERA VETERAM	AGAINST THE WAR/WINTER SOLIER ORGANIZATION
c/o Ray Wint	ORTHERN NEW JERSEY REGIONAL OFFICE ers P.O.Box 902 Ellicott Station Suffalo, NY 14205 meeting - Albany, NY June 9 & 10, 1973
<u>Chapters in attendance:</u> <u>Delhi</u> , Albany.	New York City, Buffalo, Oneonta, Sydney,
2.	Chapter reports Regional report Gainesville Conspiracy Trial Watergate
5	PCPJ Demonstration, Wash. DC, June 16th NYS Junior ROTC Bill Amnesty
9. 10.	Continuation of war in SE Asia Winter Soldier implementation New Business Next Regional meeting
	Criticism ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 1-16-01 BY -SPEAK MED DATE 1-16-01 BY -SPEAK MED

New York City - The chapter held a series of workshops on the weekend of June 2 & 3 to discuss VVAW/WSO and the local chapter. Saturday's sessions dealt with future and direction and Sunday's with structure. The following active committees participated: SE Asia, Political Education, Veterans Affairs, Defense and Amnesty, Third World Caucus, and Rap Groups. It was decided to concentrate efforts in the following three areas for the immediate future: Gainesville defense, Amnesty, and preparation for a possible postal strike in July.

Buffalo - The chapter is in the process of restructuring and changing its meeting schedule to give committees more autonomy in both work and recruitment. This will hopefully aid in the implementation of WSO. The rap group program successfully started the last week of "May. Both women's and men's groups meet once a week in the storefront. A Community Action Committee was recently formed and was leafletting a street art festival in Buffalo with 'Winter Soldier', Gainesville fact sheets and street sheets the weekend of the Regional meeting. The yets Affairs Committee, with the UB Vets Club, organized a picnic for Vietnm-era vets at the Buffalo VA Hospital. Unfortunately it rained heavily, and attendance was nil. The chapter protested a Marine Corps Reserve display and recruitment effort on May 19 (Armed Forces Week). The protest received good community support and kept the Marines from glorifying their role in American Imperialism.

Oneonta - The chapter has been relatively inactive due to the transient nature of the student/veteran make-up and a media blackout concerning their participation in local activities. There are no formal programs underway as of this meeting, but it is expected that there will be more interest as WSO is implemented. Delhi - There have been some amnesty actions in this chapter. Its most active member will be moving to Oneonta shortly and will NW 550 Participates there are local coordinator's name will be furnished to chapter Men the RO gets it.

Sydney - No activities or programs to report at this meeting.

Albany - The chapter coordinator is Bob Oeser, recently moved from the Suffolk County chapter. At this point he is trying to rebuilt an otherwise inactive chapter. His address will be furnished shortly.

Rochester - The chapter remains active, but at the last minute was; unable to send a representative.

#### 2. REGIONAL REPORT:

The RO has been suffering from a lack of communication, funds, and active assistance. At this point, its main efforts involve these areas. Feedback is needed from local chapters - especially those unable to attend the regional meetings - in order to accomplish a greater sense of unity and direction.

# 3. GAINESVILLE CONSPIRACY TRIAL: on last page

The National Steering Committee and the Gainesville Defense Committee have called for a week of demonstrations and activities in Gainesville starting on 17, the first day of the trial. Fund raising and movement to Gainesville were discussed.

**Proposed - The RO 1) initiate plans within the region for a move to Gainesville caravan style, 2) contact the National office about tying into national plans, if any, and 3) contact regions enroute to Florida about plans and logistics. passed unanimously

Tentatively, the movement will start from both NYC and Western NY on the morning of Sat Judget, and will meet somewhere between Wash DC and the Virginia/NC border for a one-night break.

**Proposed - Each chapter start a fund raising effort for the caravan and defense costs. Buffalo chapter will supply each chapter with a copy of its Gainesville fact sheet (enci/1) as a possible basis of a fund raising letter. Each chapter will contact its own mailing list; RO will contact the state fund raising list and those chapters not at the meeting. Funds should be sent directly to the RO via the address on the letterhead. After caravan expenses, funds raised will be split 50/50 between the RO and the Gainesville DC.

passed unanimously

**Proposed - Groups contacting VVAW/WSO about participation in the demo within this region be told that this is essentially a singleissue demo and that NSC and Gainesville DC will have final say about the display of banners and signs. passed unanimously

#### 4. WATERGATE:

The issues surrounding Watergate and government espionage were discussed. NYC chapter recommended an article on the economic background of Watergate called 'The World Behind Watergate' by Kirkpatrick Sale in "New York Review of Books" May 3, 1973. It was suggested that Watergate and Gainesville always be discussed in the context of one another. Efforts by some groups to launch 1320

**Proposed - We don't support impeachment but support class action suits initiated in each community declaring the manipulation and subversion of the presidential election of 1972 illegal and treasonous and therefore that the election be nullified, passed unanimously and therefore that the election be nullified.

page 3

40 y 10

NYC Chapter will check on the details of such a suit and also on the possibility of getting De-elect the President' bumper stickers.

5. PCPJ DEMONSTRATION WASH D.C. JUNE 16:

an impeach Nixon campaign were discussed

ಗಳನ್ನಡ ಗಳು . . . . . . PCPJ will march to Congress via the Watergate complex, the Bureau of Indian Affairs, the IRS, the White House and the Depts. of Labor and Justice. The demonstration has been endorsed by the NYC chapter. Interested members should contact them for information. 1147 - L The strategic and

Discussion centered around the contradictions between large multiissue demonstrations and local organizing efforts. A consensus was that PCPJ was not cognizant of actual political needs in its policies.

SHA MAN T

NYS JR. ROTC BILL:

It was decided that no proposals could be passed concerning the bill because of a lack of concrete knowledge as to its specifics. However, it was recognized as a major issue confronting us and every chapter is urged to take steps against it. On a regional and chapter level, VVAW/WSO should contact Teacher's Unions, PTA's, try for speaking gigs in schools, media exposure, leafletting aimed at students, etc. Alliances with concerned community groups could be formed around this issue. The bill is #1399 in the Senate and #1839 in the Assembly. It has been passed, but apparently not nni: 1.81 signed yet. Further info will be coming from the RO. િયરાગ્ય 100 દિ


AMNESTY: 7.

7. AMNESTY: See National VVAW/WSO newsletter #7 (June 5) for latest details on participation in amnesty efforts in this country and with exile groups. - 15 163 t <u>t t</u> sai ' . fisi

- PU 3 **Proposed - We adopt National position paper on amnesty with changes as listed on last page of National newsletter #7. passed unanimously: If any chapter needs a copy of this paper, contact the RO.

**Proposed each chapter and RO send letter of support to each of the eight enlisted ex-POW's charged by a POW commander with misconduct. Also a letter of support for them to local papers. (address enc#2) -Ffor Jorday Weight of the Enstates of the CONTINUATION OF WAR IN SE ASIA: 8.

It is suggested that each chapter continue its educational work within their areas as best they can on this subject. It should be tied into such subjects as Watergate and the misuse of Presidential power, inflation and energy 'crisis'; Gainesville, Thieu's political prisoners etgestion maximum effect. Because people don't see it in 3410 mass 000000



still functioning as always, even if it is not drafting at this time. The contradictions between opposing the draft and the inherent dangers of an all volunteer military were discussed. This might be a useful PE topic for chapters to deal with. Feedback appreciated.

The effect of hosting a Regional meeting on the local chapter was discussed. Ways to help out chapters with the additional people there were considered.

**Proposed - At each Regional meeting, amount of business and weather permitting, delegates will sell *Winter Soldier* for 1 to 2 hours on Saturday afternoon. Local chapters will preselect likely areas to sell papers in and RO will supply papers. Money collected will, after postage costs to RO, be split 50/50 between RO and host chapter.

### 11. NEXT REGIONAL MEETING:

Next meeting will be August 3 and 4. It may be in Gainesville if the demos last that long, but will probably be in the central part of the state. Chapters will be notified.

page 5

#### 12. CRITICISM:

A productive discussion was held concerning all delegates' lack of responsibility towards meeting schedules, host institutions, and portions of the 10 objectives of VVAW/WSO.

Mike Mazetti and Bob Oeser were complimented for providing us with Sat's supper and it was suggested that more collective efforts along these lines be urged at upcoming meetings.

Although the meeting was not heavily attended, sessions went smoothly and much information was passed. 'Also, there was a cohesiveness not always seen in the past.

#### PLUG:

"Chapters or individuals might want to pick up on a book that just 'came out called"<u>Home From the War:</u> Vietnam Veterans Neither Victims nor Executioners." It's by Robert Jay Lifton and much of it is based on his assosciation with the NYC chapter rap groups.Cost is \$8.95 on Simon and Schuster.

#### ENC#2:

There's enough space left on this stencil, so here are addresses of eight ex-POW's.

SSC John A. Young 302 E. Belvedere Grayslake, Ill 60030

Sp4 Michael Branch 2302 Wilson Road. Highland Heights, KY 41076

SSG Robert P. Chenoweth c/o Crown 7227 SE Flavel St. Portland, Oregon 97206

SSG James A. Daly Jr. 532 Madison St. Brooklyn, NY 11224 Sgt Abel L. Kavanaugh 7340 N. Dakin St. #B-308 Westminster, Colo 80030

SSG King David Rayford Jr. c/o Lovie May Radford 3547 S. Federal Chicago, Ill 60609

SSG Alphonso Ray Riate 6075 Priory St. Bell Gardens, Cal 90201

Pvt. Frederick L. Elbert Jr. 471 Mayflower Brentwood, NY 11717

#### SAILOR ACQUITTED IN SABOTAGE OF CARRIER:

San Francisco, June 12 - Pat Chenoweth, acqueed by the Navy of committing \$980,000 of damage to the Ranger and delaying its departure to Vietnam by three and a half months, was acquitted after a six day court martial. The evidence against Pat was hearsay and the Navy had tried to hold the court martial in the Philipines to insure a conviction. Besides the incident Pat was charged with, the proceedings revealed that two dozen other acts of sabotage took place on the Ranger in a five month period of 1972. He was refused bail from the beginning and spent ten and a half months in the brig.

#### ADDITIONS TO GAINESVILLE FACT SHEET:

Fact - John Mitchell, when called upon to testify in pre-trial hearings by the Gainesville defense, claimed he had no "recollection" of ever ordering wiretaps on the 8 defendants and other VVAW/WSO members. The judge would not allow the defense to question him on any other topic. Mitchell's supporters now state that he's suffered two minor strokes recently and is having a little trouble with his memory. John's the one who told reporters, after Nixon first took office, to "watch what "" we do, not what we say." We're watching.

Fact - The office of a Gainesville defense attorney was broken into last year, as were the offices of lawyers for the Harrisburg defendants, the Chicage Weatherman, the Black Panthers, the Seattle 7, and others, apparently by members of the White House's 'plumbers'. In another incident, a Gainesville defense lawyer, flying to Florida, had his briefcase 'misplaced' after airline officials refused to allow him to take it aboard the plane as carry-on baggage. A few days later, it was returned with its contents in a different order. Sounds like a conspiracy.

has been moved

be

na tali in an indije i " Naja zalitena i sete sete s

and the second state of th

er San San San

.

经销售 医颈肌上下的 经济部

a parte de parte

200

-----

21623-

. .

and a start of the second start and a second start of the second s

• 7

· • • •

FD-340 (REV. 6-24-65) 160-21623-1A24 File No. 8/28/23 Date Received_ 51 From (NAME OF CONTRIBUTOR) (ADDRESS OF CONTRIBUTOR) (CITY AND STATE) 15H Bv (NAME OF SPECIAL AGENT) To Be Returned 🗌 Yes Receipt given 🔲 Yes D'No 110 Description: 1 VVAn newslette and meeting noted - 8/23 ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFI 

VVAW/WSO Newsletter & Meeting Notification . 363 Connecticut St. P.O. Box 902 Buffalo 14213 .886-8645

Ellicott Station .Buffalo, N.Y. 14205

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

100-21623 1424

Dear Brothers & Sisters-

r Brothers & Sisters-The next general meeting of VVAW/WSO is Saturday, August 25th at 12 noon. The place is the Storefront on 363 Connecticut Street. If you haven't seen the Storefront yet, this could be a good time. It's where the big black on white sign says "Veteran's Self-Help Center."

We need any feedback we can get as to whether or not you've been getting these mailings on time for meetings and events. We had the picnic last month, and a general meeting right after that, and we found that 9 out of 10 members we talked to didn't get their mailing until after at least the picnic. We got the mailing out on Tuesday A.M. prior to the picnic on Sunday. This has been happening more and more. Either the post office is totally breaking down or our mail is being singled out and delayed. Let us know how often. you get mailings, when and whether you think yours might have been tampered with.

A lot of members have stated that they want to become more active but can't always make the general meetings. Under the nore or less autonomous committee structure we have now, you can work on a committee that most closely reflects your interests. Committees in need of people are Fund Raising; Veterans' Affairs; Community Action, Political Education; and Amnesty/Anti-militarism. We also need people willing to sell "Winter Soldier" downtown in the mornings. And we also need people to pitch in with some of the shitwork like mailings and correcting addresses, etc. If you can work in any of these areas, contact us and we'll put the right people in touch.

-"Winter Soldier", the national VVAW/WSO paper is a monthly that's in it's fifth issue now. We haven't had the bread to send every local member a copy, but we hope to soon. Meanwhile, we have back copies of every issue at the storefront.

' The Attica Defense Committee will be leading the community in a series of events to commemorate the 2nd anniversary of the Attica uprising and massacre. The degree to which we will participate will be discussed at the meeting. Tentative schedule:

Sept. 9th, Sun .- parties to celebrate takeover


Sept. 10, 11, 12- workshops in community. Possibly one to be held in the Storefront.

Sept. 13, Thurs .- mass demo and rally downtown.

Everyone is urged to participate as an individual and in whatever organizational activity is decided upon. Also, a VVAW/WSO national coordinator may be in town then, so it will be a good chance to get a sense of the national strength and depth of the organization.  $62 \cdot 16395 \cdot 10591$ 

ET BILONS OF CH

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 91



#### Gainesville

About the biggest thing VVAW/WSO faced since the signing of the peace accords last January has been the Gainesville 8 conspiracy trial. Almost every mailing this year has said something about it. To many of us, though, they may still seem kind of remote. Nine members from this chapter were in Gainesville from July 30 to August 5 for the national VVAW/WSO demonstrations and three of us got to spend a day in court and hear the opening statements.

The demos were a little smaller than we'd hoped for, but we were all impressed by the high degree of solidarity showed there and the unity of purpose. VVAW/WSO, as recently as a year ago, wasn't much more than a name a lot of vets adhered to. It described a condition. Now it's really an organization. Sometimes we feel a little isolated and wonder what's coming down in this country and it would be good to remember this. We mentioned "Winter Soldier" earlier in this newsletter. That's new this year. Another project is Operation County Fair. VVAW/WSO members are helping to build a community medical clinic-and to train people to staff it- in an extreme poverty area of Alabama. The idea came out of some contacts made last year at the demos around the Republican convention. In fact, food and medical supplies left c over from the Gainesville demo went to County Fair. Another project is the NOSCAM project out of the Ohio region. It's directed at active duty GI's and publishes "Camp News." Another is the PVS Clearing House in Milwaukee for the dissemination of PVS info to chapters. Also the VA Clearing House in Chicago. VVAW/WSO is also in the forefront of the amnesty movement.

We could go on, but the point is we're no longer a loose handful of people - we're an organization that's growing and that's starting to get into some serious activity. Too often brothers and sisters aren't aware of what's going on in the rest of the country. In future newsletters, we'll try to include information about some of these projects.

UNITY - STRUGGLE - VICTORY



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

November 14, 1975

John A. Mintz, Assistant Director TO: Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation Mile FROM:-Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

Senate Select Committee Request SUBJECT

> Attached is a Senate Select Committee request dated November 14, 1975 seeking "immediate delivery of pertinent material" pertaining to certain handwritten notes on two Bureau documents taken by Charles Colson's attorney. The letter also indicates that my good friend Mr. William Cregar of the Bureau was simultaneously served with a copy of this request which will doubtless add to his day's list of pleasures.

**ST** 109 REC-1 62-11632,

15 NOV 191975

cc: Paul Daly

- ENCLOSUR cId:32989534 Page 93

CONTAINE

62-116395

- TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation
- FROM: Michael E. Shahoen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligenco Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Solect Committee request dated November 14, 1975 seeking "immediate delivery of pertinent material" pertaining to certain handwritten notes on two Bureau documents taken by Charles Colson's attorncy. The letter also indicates that my good friend Mr. William Cregar of the Bureau was simultaneously served with a copy of this request which will doubtless add to his day's list of pleasures.

cc: Paul Daly

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED IN IS UNCLASSIFIED MDR-16

r"___

#### FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MONGAN, N.G. GARY HART, COLO. AND, VICE UNBILMANN HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL



## Alnited States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO 5. RES. 21, 41TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 14, 1975

#### HAND DELIVERED

Michael Shaheen, Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530


Dear Mike:

The Select Committee requests immediate delivery of pertinent material pertaining to the attached. You will note that Mr. Colson's attorney took handwritten notes on two FBI documents dated July 6, 1971 and February 26, 1968. The Committee requests this material for use at its public hearing on Tuesday. A copy of this letter is being sent to Mr. Cregar at the FBI.

Sincerely,

John Elliff Director, Domestic Intelligence Task Force

ALL INFORMATION. CONTAINED EL REIN IS UNCLASSIFIEI



CHARLES H MORIN DAVID I SHAPHAO SIDNEY LICKSTEIN WILLIAM J C'HARA* JUDAH GEST HENRY C GASHEN T JAMES YAR SPRINGER RICHARD UTTELL THOMAS W. MACK ARTHUR D MASON FREDERICK M LOWTHER ROBERT J HIDGINS SEYMOUR GLANZER M J MINTZ IRA H POLON KENNETH L ADAMS ALAN & PICK IRA R MITZNER WILLIAM SILVERMAN RICHARD P. PERRIN GEORGE T BOGGS JOEL B. KLEINMAN * (HOT ADM. IN D C)

## DICKSTEIN, SHAPIRO & MORIN

THE OCTAGON BUILDING 1735 NEW YORK AVENUE, N. W. WASHINGTON, D. C. 20006 ----202 78595700

May 9, 1975

NEN YORK OFFICE 745 FIFTH AV HUG NEW YORK, N Y 16022 218 602 1600

BOSTON OFFICE ON'T BOSTON PLACE BO'STON, MASS OFFOG 617 723-8100

Mr. William Schwartzer Commission on CIA Activities Within the United States Washington, D.C. 20500

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 1-16-01 BY SPEAK MAY MMDH6

Dear Bill:

Enclosed are several documents referred to by Mr. Colson and myself in the course of his recent sworn deposition at your office. In each case, what is provided is a typed version of my rough handwritten notes. Those notes were prepared by me approximately a year ago, when I was given access to the underlying documents by the Watergate Special Prosecution Force in the course of pretrial discovery in <u>United States</u> v. <u>Ehrlich-</u> man, et. al.

The documents are as follows:

1. Several FBI memos and reports concerning Leonard Boudin. The text of the first report (dated July 6, 1971) indicates that derogatory information about Mr. Boudin had been furnished by the FBI to Ray McHugh of the Copley News Service. Although I do not have a copy, there exists in Mr. Colson's White House files a memorandum from John Dean to Charles Colson, dated July 20, 1971, transmitting to Colson a copy of McHugh's article. As you know, Mr. Colson served seven months in prison for furnishing to Jerry terHorst a report on Mr. Boudin prepared by Howard Hunt from these FBI materials. Mr. William Schwartzer May 9, 1975 Page 2

> 2. A memorandum listing projects of the Room 16 Unit in progress as of August 10, 1971, and the person or persons in charge of each project.

> 3. A portion of the CLA's July 8, 1971 assessment of the damage resulting from publication of the Pentagon Papers.

4. Summary of a transcript of a telephone conversation between John Ehrlichman and Richard Helms on July 24, 1971, in which Helms advises Ehrlichman that certain sensitive CIA files will be made available to the White House, but only to the President, Henry Kissinger and Ehrlichman, and specifically not to Howard Hunt.

I hope you will find these documents of interest. If Mr. Colson or I can provide the Commission with any further assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Kenneth L. Adams

the second second of the second se

Attachments

KLA/tcc

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 10-01 BY SPIALMER MAR-16

(Typed from handwritten notes.)

(Apparently an FBI report on Boudin - dated 7/6/71.) Mr. Mohr:

Re: Leonard B. Boudin Attorney for Daniel Ellsberg

By memorandum to R. D. Cotter to C. D. B. Brennan dated June 28, 1971, it was recommended and approved that pertinent information concerning Boudin's sympathy for communist causes be used in connection with the Mass Media Program.

Information concerning Boudin's sympathy for communist causes was called to the attention of Ray. McHugh, Chief of the Washington Bureau, Copley News Services, by Crime Records Division. Attached is a copy of a release prepared by McHugh dated 7/1/71 concerning Boudin. It puts Boudin in the proper light as a communist and Soviet apologist.

Enc.

1	Mr. M	ohr
1	Mr. S	ullivan
1	Mr. B	ishop
1	C. D.	Brennan
1	R. D.	Cotter
1	M. A.	Jones

(handwritten notes at bottom of report indicated that copies, were sent to H. R. Haldeman, the Attorney General and the Deputy Attorney General)

[Attached to the above report are the following documents:

1. A three-page report by "JMS", dated June 28, 1971, concerning Messrs. Boudin and Nesson. At the conclusion of the memo the following words appear: "Action: For the Director's Information."

2. An undated report by "JMS" concerning Leonard Boudin.

3. A form indicating that the above reports are secret, and that Mr. Boudin's name is in "the Security NW 55077 DocId: 32939534, Page 98


### (Typed from handwritten notes.)

(Memorandum from Mr. Bishop to M. A. Jones, dated February 26, 1968 and typed on United States Government Memorandum stationery.)

The memo is entitled "Re Leonard Boudin, Attorney for Benjamin Spock. Information concerning." The author states that the subject (Boudin) is "well known to the Bureau", and that he has been identified as a member of the Communist Party in the past. The memo sets forth certain information about Boudin's alleged past activities, and states that the White House and the Attorney General have been advised of this. The memo concludes with the following:

> "Recommendation: that approval be given to furnishing the attached information to one of our friendly newspaper contacts."

The memo indicates that copies were sent to Messrs. DeLoach, Bishop, Gale and Sullivan. Attached to the memo is a February 28, 1968 report titled "Dr. Benjamin Spock"; the portions of that report concerning Leonard Boudin are highlighted.



NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 100

Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs NOV 1.2 1975 Files & Com. Gen. Inv. Ident. WK W15 OU PLALM Inspection Intell. Wilk Laboratory 742 PM NITEL NUY 16, 1970 DAR Plan. & Eval. Spec. Inv. DIREGTOR : 62-110050 ÍŬ Training Legal Co Telephone Rm. FROM OKLAHUMA LITY 02-4107 Director Sec'y 11 × 10.00 1 406- 1 ATTENTION: ITND, W. U. CREGAR 5週っていリイ 75 RECORDS RE BUREAU TELEFRUNE CALL NUVENDER 11, 1970. OKLA. COUNTY JUDGE DYRUN E. MC FALL, FURMER DUREAU AGENI, ISUN A MUTUR TRIP TU IERAD. CUNTACT WITH HIS DAUGHIER ALOKLA. UIIY INDICATED JUDGE ENPECTED TO RETURN TO THE LITY WOVENDER 14, 1972. SIUPS HAVE DEEN PLACED TU HAVE JUDGE CUNIAUT UKLA. CITY UFFILE. EUKEAU WILL DE ADVIDED. END

ERAL IN A DE INVESTIGATION

MURIDATIONS SECTION

Assoc. Dir. ____ Dep.-A.D.-Adm.

Admin.

Dep.-A.D.-Inv._ Asst. Dir.:

Byron E.Mc ST 109 15 NOV 191975 LL INFORMATION CONTAINE LEGAL VEOD HEREIN IS UNCLASSIN NOV 2 6 1975

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 101

Dep.-A.D.-Adm. Dep.-A.D.-Inv. FEDERAL BURGAU OF INVESTIGATION Asst. Dir.: NRØØ4 AT CODED COMMUNICATIONS SECTION Admin. Comp. Syst. 5:20 PM NITEL NOVEMBER 12, 1975 LMM NOV 1 2 1975 Ext. Affairs Files & Com. Gen. Inv. TO DIRECTOR (62 - 116395)Ident. Inspection . TELETYPE Intell Mau FROM ATLANTA (62 - 2854)Laboratory Plan. & Eval. ATTN INTL. W. O. CREGAR Spec. Inv. Training A SENSTUDY 75 Legal Co.to Telephone Director Sec

REBUTELCALL TO SAC, ATLANTA, FROM SUPV. SEYMOR PHILLIPS NOVEMBER 11. 1975.

FORMER SA. J. BROOKE BLAKE, DIRECTOR OF BANK SECURITY. TRUST COMPANY BANK, ATLANTA, TELEPHONICALLY CONTACTED BY SAC NOVEMBER 11, 1975, AND WAS ADVISED OF CONTEMPLATED CONTACT OF HIM BY SENATE SELECT COMMITTE (SSC).

MR. BLAKE ADVISED THAT IF CONTACTED BY REPRESENTATIVE SSC . BE WOULD CONTACT "UREAU'S LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION BY COLLECT CALL PRIOR TO INTERVIEW. ST 109

(J.Brooke Blake)

REC-1

END

HOLD

22. INFORMATION CONTAINED

5. Karbips (haut)

1-112

15 NOV 191975

LEGAL &

Assoc. Dir.

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams
1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz
November 6, 1975
1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan

I = Mr. W. O. Cregar

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

There is attached an original of a self-explanatory letter addressed to Senator Frank Church of the Senate Select Committee and signed by Assistant Director W. Raymond Wannall of this Bureau for the purpose of correcting the record with respect to testimony of Mr. Wannall before the Senate Select Committee on October 24, 1975.

With your approval, the letter will be delivered to Senator Church's Office by a representative of the Federal // Bureau of Investigation.

Enclosed for your records is a copy of this letter.

Enclosures (2)

1 - Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. - Enclosure Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

WRW/AJD:dsh/mjg (9)

ROOM [

989534

Assoc. Dir. _____ Dep. AD Adm. . Dep. AD Inv. ____ Asst. Dir.: Admin. _____ Comp. Syst. ____ Ext. Affairs _____ Files & Com. ____ Gen. Inv. ______ Ident. _____

Intell. _____ Laboratory _____ Plan. & Eval. ___ Spec. Inv. _____ Training _____ Legal Coun. ____ Telephone Rm. ___ 24

TELETYPE UNIT

Page 103

REG. 112 6-2 -11-

FEB 17 1976

Run

S:

ag

Xisse

may deleased

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

November 6, 1975

BY LIAISON

1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

Honorable Frank Church Chairman, Select Committee to Study Governmental Operations With Respect to Intelligence Activities United States Senate Washington, D. C. 20510

Dear Mr. Chairman:

Assoc. Dir. _

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 104

Mr. James Dick of your Staff has made available for review testimony which was taken by the Select Committee to Study Covernmental Operations With Respect to Intelligence Activities on Friday, October 24, 1975. Together with two other officials and one former official of the FBI. I testified at that Session.

In reviewing the transcript of the testimony, I have noted that on page 1251, lines 24 and 25, in response to a question by Senator Richard S. Schweiker, I responded, "Kell, of the eight FBI projects, I don't recall any where we had a list of names, as such." Thereafter, I addressed myself to questions propounded by Senator Schweiker with respect to certain of these projects in San Francisco and explained how they were operated. ENCLOSUR

In order that the record may be entirely correct. I would like to advise you that among the eight FBI projects to which I referred, there were at least two not operated in San Francisco where lists of names were utilized. These lists were made up of individuals abroad at addresses used as mail drops by hostile foreign intelligence services. In some cases see. Dir. ____ the names of individuals were real and in other cases they were

Dep. AD Inv Asst. Dir.: Admin	EX-116 REC-5	62-116395-1046×
Comp. Syst	Y and	
Ext. Affairs Files & Com WRW: dsh/mjgn	P	FEB 17 1976
Gen. Inv (9)	ma	V
Inspection	CONTAINED	PLA
Laboratory HEREIN IS UNCLOS	SIFIED ALM TRO	Cul N. S
Plan. & Evol DATE /-///	Sepond of the	1.1.10 - 50
Spec. Inv MDFU	6	V V.
Legal Coun.		
Telephone Rm Director Sec'y MAIL ROOM TELETYPE U	NIT 🗔	GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

Honorable Frank Church

I would appreciate you making this letter a matter of record in connection with the testimony referred to hereinbefore.

Sincerely yours,

W. Raymond Wannall Assistant Director Intelligence Division

1 - The Attorney General
 1 - Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination U. S. Department of Justice

- 2 -

Mr. Frod J. Baumgardner Corenary Care Unit Methodist Evangelical Hospital 315 East Broadway -Louisville, Kentucky 40202

Dear Fred:

I was very sorry to learn that it was necessary for you to be hospitalized and hope this finds you feeling much better. Your continued strong support and loyalty mean a great deal to all of us in the Bureau, and we send you warmest best wishes for a complete and speedy recovery.

مي المونية مرتقية

Sincerely,

Clarence

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ARAIN IS UNCLASSIFIED 2-21-00 MOLLG

Director Sec'y

NW 55077

212

1 - Louisville ReBucal 11/10/75

TELETYPE

NOTE: Salutation per Bureau mailing list. Mr. Baumgardner Dep. AD Adm._is a former SA who EOD 12/4/39 and CAD 12/2/66. As of Dep. AD Inv._ll/10/75 Mr. Baumgardner was listed in guarded condition Asst. Dir.: and was not receiving phone calls or visitors. Letter Comp. Syst._recommended by Cregar to W. R. Wannall memo, dated 11/6/75, Ext. Affairs _Captioned "Senstudy 75."

- 48.04 PH "75

Gen. Inv. ____ _JMG:wsp (4) ident. _ Inspection ____ WSP intell. Laboratory _ Plan. & Eval. 3 1975 Spyc. @v.DE Legal Coun. Telephone Rm.

MAIL ROOM


DocId:32989534 Page 106

GPO: 1975 O - 569-920

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 5010-106 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA GEN. REG. NO. 27 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD Adm. ___ Memorandum 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams Dep. AD Inv. Asst. Dir.: 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz Admin. 1 - Mr. E. W. Walsh то Mr. W. R. Nannall DATE: 11/6/75 Files Gen. Inv L - Mr. D. W. Moore ldent. 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall Inspect 0. Cregar FROM ₩. Intell. 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar Laborat 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips Plan. & E SUBJECT SENSTUDY 75 Training Legal Coun Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y Former Section Chief Fred J. Baumgardner, retired and residing Louisville, Kentucky, was interviewed by the Staff of the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities (SSC) a few weeks ago and was scheduled for another interview in their offices 10:00 a.m., 11/6/75. At 9:45 a.m. today, 11/6/75, Supervisor S. F. Phillips of the Senstudy 75 Project was telephonically advised by Robert Pence, ASAC, Louisville Office, that Baumgardner is now hospitalized for observation, no visitors, at the Methodist Hospital in Louisville. Baumgardner visited his physician yesterday morning and apparently his condition was such necessitating immediate hospitalization at which time it was discovered that Baumgardner had had a heart attack the previous evening, 11/4/75. Pence called so that the Bureau would know of the foregoing and the Bureau could advise the SSC that Baumgardner would not be present for the scheduled interview. Phillips immediately telephonically contacted SSC Staff Member Barbara Banoff to advise her of the foregoing. She indicated she had already been advised by Baumgardner's secretary yesterday. REC-1 62 - 1149 **RECOMMENDATION:** The External Affairs Division, after first checking

The External Affairs Division, after first checking through the Louisville Office for an update on Baumgardner's condition, prepare an appropriate letter over the Director's signature to be sent to Baumgardner wishing him a speedy recovery.

15 NOV 191975 小小 62-116395 (Personnel file former SA Fred J, Baumgardner) 1 - 67-ASAC Pour alised on 110/75 currently firstert. 9 hours ist SFP:mjgn **ODHRESP** Page 107 NW 55077 DocId:32989534



On 11/7/75, David Bushong of the Senate Select Committee requested that former Assistant to the Director John P. Mohr and former Assistant to the Director Cartha DeLoach be made available for Staff interview concerning their briefings of various Attorneys General concerning Bureau operations.

SAC James O. Ingram of the New York Office was instructed to inform DeLoach of the Senate Select Committee's request and the fact that that Committee would contact him in the near future for purposes of arranging an interview with him. Unsuccessful attempts were made on 11/7/75 to contact Mr. Mohr by the Legal Counsel Division to apprise him of the Senate Select Committee's request. Mr. Mohr subsequently contacted Assistant Director John A. Mintz of this Division concerning this matter.

Mr. DeLoach telephonically contacted SA Paul V. Daly of this Division on 11/10/75 and advised him he had been notified of the Senate Select Committee's request that he be interviewed and informed SA Daly that he had retained Attorney Edward P. Morgan of the firm Welch and Morgan to represent him. Mr. DeLoach stated that Morgan would contact the Committee to arrange for interview of Mr. DeLoach.

Mr. Mohr and Mr. DeLoach were previously released from their employment agreement for purposes of this interview. (See Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams memo dated 11/10/75 captioned "Senate Select Committee.")

**RECOMMENDATION:** 

241975

CONTAINST

INFORMATION

ST 109'

For information. 1 - Personnel File - Cartha DeLoach 15 NOV 191975 1 - Personnel File - John P. Mohr PVD: 1ad 4P (9)

nw 550 Docid: 32989 5349 USy Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

TO : Mr. J. B. Adams FROM : Legal Counsel

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10

SENSTUDY 75

PVD:lad 40

Page 109

(9)

Id:32989534

CONTAINT

1 - Mr. Mintz 1 - Mr. Adams 1 - Mr. Wannall DATE: 11/11/75 1 - Mr. Cregar 1 - Mr. Hotis 1 - Mr. Daly Assoc. Dir.

T. Dir.;

Gen. Inv.

Inspection Intelle

Spec. Inv

Training ____ Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y

D

Ident.

Comp. Syst.

Ext. Affairs _____ Files & Com. ____

Admin.

Dep. AD Adm.

By way of background the House Select Committee had scheduled the Bureau for testimony on 11/18/75 and we were tentatively scheduled for an appearance before the Senate Select Committee on the same date. Assistant Director Wannall of the Intelligence Division requested that the possible schedule conflict with the captioned Committees be resolved, inasmuch as it appeared the same Bureau personnel might be necessary to appear before both Committees.

On 11/10/75, John T. Elliff, Task Force Director of the Domestic Task Force was contacted by SA Paul V. Daly of this Division and informed SA Daly that the Bureau's scheduled testimony for 11/18/75 which was to have been on <u>COINTELPRO</u> was being rescheduled. He stated the whole format concerning Bureau testimony was being revamped and that it would not be necessary for a Bureau witness to appear on 11/18/75. Elliff stated that the Bureau would be advised of the new schedule as soon as it was finalized.

On 11/10/75, A. Searle Field, Staff Director of the House Select Committee, was telephonically contacted concerning the proposed Bureau testimony on 11/18/75 before that Committee. It was pointed out to Field that there was a difference of opinion between him and his Staff Members as to what would be the topic of the testimony. Field had indicated that the Bureau testimony would be of a very general nature and that specific investigations would not be addressed. It was also pointed out to him that ADEX would not be a topic at the hearing. Yet, Staff Members of his Committee have stated that there will be testimony concerning particular

62-116395-NOT RECORDED CONTINUED - OVER 46 NOV 20 197: 15 NÖV 0 1975 INITIALS ON SAIGINAL LEGAL COMPANY INITIALS ON ORIGINAL Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams RE: HOUSTUDY

SENSTUDY 75


Bureau investigations. It was suggested to Field that he should prepare a letter to the Department outlining the areas of expected testimony on 11/18/75 so that the Department and the Bureau would be on notice and could insure the Bureau's representative was knowledgeable in the areas to be covered. Field stated he would do so.

**RECOMMENDATION:** 

For information.

JA IBRI/PUS

- 2 -



On 11/10/75, James Dick, Staff Member of the Senate Select Committee, requested that former Assistant Director of the Crime Records Division Thomas Bishop and former Deputy Associate Director of the Files and Communications Division Paul O'Connell be made available for Staff interview concerning their knowledge on mail openings. Mr. Dick stated that he had the current addresses of both Bishop and O'Connell.

O'Connell subsequently telephonically contacted SA Paul V. Daly of this Division and informed him that he had been contacted by James Dick of the Senate Select Committee and requested to make himself available for interview. Arrangements have been made for O'Connell to be briefed by the Intelligence and Legal Counsel Divisions prior to his interview by the Senate Select Committee.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

lad 41

0 1975

(10)

NW

That Thomas Bishop and Paul O'Connell be released from any existing employment agreement for purposes of interview by the Senate Select Committee concerning mail openings.

15 NOV 191975

LEGAL (ESUNSEL

1 - Personnel File - Thomas Bishop 1 - Personnel File - Paul O'Connell

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED FUEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE DATE

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan pocId: 32989534 Page 111

	OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION OSA FPAR (41 CFR) 101-11.6	
	UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT	
V	Memorandum	
ð	:Mr. J. B. Adams	

: Legal Counsel FROM

т

SUBJECT: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

> On November 10, 1975, John P. Mohr telephonically advised that he had been contacted by David Boshong of captioned committee and requested to appear for a deposition on November 18 or 19, 1975, concerning briefings of the Attorney General(regarding aspects of the Cointelpro) which were conducted by Mr. Mohr and Mr. DeLoach. Mr. Mohr asked whether the Bureau would approve his responding to this request and I told him that I would waive the secrecy agreement in regard to the limited subject of the deposition. Mr. Mohr said that he was to await a further call from Mr. Boshong making final arrangements.

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

For information.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

: 32989 Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

MD0-16

HEREINIS

1 - Personnel file John P. Mohr 1 - Mr. Moore

- 1 Mr. Wannall
- 1 Mr. Cregar

JAM:mfd

4 1975

(7)

- 1 Mr. Hotis (Attn: Mr. Daly
- 1 Mr. Mintz

ST 109

DATE: 11/10/75

12-111-37

NOV 191975

15

Assoc. Dir. Dep. ADJ Adma Dep. ADJ Adma Dep. ADJ Int Association Comp. Syst. Ext. Affoirs ______ Files & Com. ______ Gen. Inv. ______ Intell. ______ Inspection ______ Intell. ______ Plan. & Eval. _____ Spec. Inv. ______ Training ______ Director Sec'y _____

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101~11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Assoc. Dir Den. AD Memorandum Ext. Affairs TO [:] Mr. J. B. Adams 11/10/75 Files & Com DATE: Gen. Inv. Ident. spection : Legal Counsel W/Sarolas FROM Laboratory tegal Cour Plan. & Evi SUBJECT: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE Training **INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES** Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y At 3:42 p.m. on November 10, 1975, Miss Helen W. Gandy formerly the Secretary to the Director, telephonically advised me that she had been called by Mary DeOreo who requested an interview at 3:00 p.m. on Tuesday, November 11, 1975, at Miss Gandy's apartment. Miss DeOreo said that she would be accompanied by Mark Gitenstein and a court reporter. She said that Miss DeOreo indicated that representatives of the FBI would not be permitted to be present during the interview, however, she was not concerned about that because she felt that she did not feel the need to have anyone present and she did not desire to have counsel. I advised Miss Gandy that she could consider the employment CONTAINE agreement requiring secrecy to be waived for the limited purpose of the interview which Miss DeOreo described as concerning only Director Hoover's correspondence files. Miss Gandy volunteered that she would take notes during the interview and at the conclusion she would forward a summary to the Bureau. **RECOMMENDATION:** ST 109 For information. REC-1 62-16 1 - Personnel file Miss Helen W. Gandy 15 NOV 191975 1 - Mr. Moore 1 - Mr. Wannall 1 - Mr. Cregar ( NPCode 1 - Mr. Hotis (Attn: Mr. Daly) 1 - Mr. Mintz JAM:mfd (7)6 1975

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan 55665700 DocId: 32989534 Page 113

	OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT	σι,	*
K	Memorandum		
то	: Mr. J. B. Adams	•	
FROM	: Legal Counsel		
	G M		

SUBJECT: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

MATTON CONTAINTE

DATE: 11/5/75

Ident. Inspection Intell. Laboratory Legar Adum Plan. & Egal. Spec. Inv. Training Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y

Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD

Ext. Affairs . Files & Com.

Gen. Inv.

Mr. <u>Robert Wick</u>, Vice President, Audits and Security, Pan American World Airways, telephone number 212-973-6139, whose office is located at Room 4512 in the Pan Am Building in New York City, called at 3:19 p.m. on November 5, 1975. Mr. Wick said that he had been called at 11:35 a.m. on October 31, 1975, by an individual identifying himself as Mike Epstein representing the Senate Select Committee. Epstein requested him to come to Washington for a two to three hour interview concerning a matter relating to his 'employment.'' Epstein did not further describe the subject matter of the interview. An appointment was arranged for 10:00 a.m. on November 7, 1975.

Mr. Wick said that he was uncertain as to the probable subject matter of the interview because other matters coming within his responsibilities at Pan Am which were unrelated to the FBI have been of recent interest to the Committee. He asked that he be furnished with advice concerning this matter.

At my request, SA Daly telephonically contacted Epstein and determined that the subject matter of the interview of Mr. Wick would be 'Martin Luther King, Jr."

I called Mr. Wick at 5:00 p.m. on November 5, 1975, and advised him that we learned that the subject matter of the requested interview would be 'Martin Luther King, Jr." I told Mr. Wick that under the arrangements existing with the Senate Select Committee, it would be appropriate for me to release him from his secrecy agreement with the FBI for the interview requested by Epstein. I further advised him of the need to avoid discussion of matters that may pertain to pending investigations, information derived

B DEC 19 1975 1 - Personnel file Robert Wick (Out of Service) 1 - Mr. Wannall 1 - Mr. Cregar 1 - Mr. Hotis (Attn: Mr. Daly) 1 - Mr. Mintz JAM:mfd CONTINUED - OVER

55 Stolene Docid: 32989534 U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan

Memorandum to Mr. Adams Re: Senate Select Committee

from agencies other than the FBI, sensitive investigative techniques, and informants. I also told Mr. Wick that should it appear to him that he would desire to have counsel available concerning matters that might raise Fifth Amendment questions, he should call me and I would handle such a request from him. Mr. Wick agreed to advise me should such a need arise. He said that he would appear at the appointment as requested by Mr. Epstein.

### **RECOMMENDATION:**

For information.

find

PM

5010-106 OPTIONAL FORM NO MAY 1962-EDITION GSA GEN, REG. NO. 27 Assoc. Dir. UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Dep. TOPSECRET Memorandum Mr. J. B. Adams W. R. Wannall W. A. Branigan Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs DATE: 11/5/75Files & Com. то Mr. J. B. Adams Gen. Inv 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz Ident. 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar Inspect Inteil. Wannall (m FROM W. R. Lengi Coun Plan. & Eval ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED Spec. Inv. SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75 HEREIN Training DATE Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y

This is to advise of the results of our meeting on this date with Senator Frank Church, Chairman of the Senate Select Committee (SSC), members of the Committee's staff, and Department representatives, wherein these individuals were afforded a complete briefing on our sensitive Solo intelligence operation and specifically as it relates to our investigation of the late Martin Luther King, Jr.

Present at the meeting, in addition to Senator Church, were William G. Miller, Staff Director of the SSC, and SSC staff members John Elliff, Michael Epstein, and Mark Gitenstein. Senator John Tower, Vice-chairman of the SSC, was unable to attend and John Bayly of his staff was designated by him as his representative at the briefing. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination at the Department, and his deputy, Steven Blackhurst, attended. In addition to myself, Inspector-Deputy Assistant Director T. W. Leavitt and Supervisors Seymor. F. Phillips, Michael J. Steinbeck, and David Ryan of the Intelligence Division attended. The meeting was held in Room S201 of the Capitol. which had previously been swept to counter possible elsur coverage. The briefing commenced at 9:45 a. m. and although Senator Church departed at 11:30 a. m. informal discussion continued for another half-hour. with some will I will a set

UNIEC.

I commenced the briefing by furnishing the Senator and SSC representatives a general overview of our Solo intelligence operation. I emphasized the extreme sensitivity and pointed out the continuing value of these sources to our intelligence responsibilities

continuing value of these sources to our intelligence responsibilities ST 109 per 1 62 - 1/63 gt = 10062 62 - 116395CONTINUED OVER TOP SECRET 1 - 100 - 428091(Solo) Classified by 12 Exempt Arøm GDS, Categories V2 Land935 DR:Ifj Date of Declassification Indefinite (7)ROUTE IN ENVELOPE

NW 8550 WOV 2 0 19/3. Doc1d: 32989534 Page 116 Memorandum to Mr. J. B. Adams Re: Senstudy 75 62-116395

and the national security interests. I summarized the highlyvaluable positive counterintelligence obtained through the operation relating to the Soviet Union and other hostile communist countries indicating the importance attached by the State Department and the intelligence community to the information obtained through this operation. A photograph depicting one of these sources in conference with Soviet Communist Party Chairman Brezhnev was exhibited only to the Senator. Although the names of the sources were not mentioned, I clearly pointed out that the information being disclosed through this briefing could pinpoint the identity of the informants and, if compromised, could be disastrous to our intelligence interests and possibly result in personal jeopardy to the sources.

ECRET

Inspector Leavitt briefly mentioned his recent meeting with the sources and their concern for the security of their operations. Supervisor Ryan furnished a general overview of the financial apparatus of the Communist Party, USA, including its secret character, cover company operations, and control by the Soviets, tying this information into the relationship of the sensitive sources with Stanley David Levison, the advisor to the late Martin Luther King, Jr. Supervisor Phillips set forth in detail the relationship of Levison and King, emphasizing our first-hand information received either directly by the sources or through Lem Harris indicating King was under the influence if not the control of secret Communist Party member Levison. Supervisor Steinbeck advised of the continuing liaison function of these sources between the Soviets and the Communist Party, USA, Soviet funding of the Party through the informants and also highlighted the sensitive nature of the operation. Many questions of the SSC staff members were candidly answered.

CONTINUED - OVER





Memorandum to Mr. J. B. Adams Re: Senstudy 75 62-116395

Senator Church expressed appreciation for the thorough briefing and stated he believed there was adequate basis for the FBI to reasonably conclude certain advisors and close associates of King were affiliated with the Communist Party and undoubtedly influencing King. He expressed his belief it was the responsibility of the FBI to determine the extent of communist or Soviet control or influence of King, but that he was disturbed that in the course of this coverage an attempt was made to publicly discredit King. He said he questioned whether the FBI as a law enforcement agency was acting within its proper scope in publicly discrediting King. He said it was his view and concern that such activities placed the Bureau directly involved inc. the political process where it does not belong.

Senator Church stated he had viewed one FBI document which indicated an effort within the FBI to cultivate a new responsible leader of the black movement and he characterized this as political involvement which he considered highly improper.

Senator Church stated he wished it was possible for the American people to be aware of the extent to which the Soviets were funding the Communist Party, USA. He said this is something the people should know and he regretted this could not be done under circumstances which would not undermine the Bureau's work and responsibilities. He promised his Committee would be as protective as possible regarding the sensitive information provided and that he would insure that there would be no public hearings which would betray the identities of the sources.

CONTINUED - OVER





Memorandum to Mr. J. B. Adams Re: Senstudy 75 62 - 116395

I reiterated the Director's concern regarding the security of the sources and the operation and pointed out the extreme need-toknow basis which this information is held within FBL I advised Senator Church that we had requested the meeting with him since the Committee had raised questions as to the basis for an FBI investigation of King and from his comments it was felt we had accomplished the purpose of the meeting--to show there were firm grounds for opening the case. It was acknowledged that the Committee was concerned with other issues relating to what was done during the investigation and I was not prepared to address such issues at this time. However, he could be assured of FBI cooperation in responding to any questions to the best of our ability.

# ACTION:

For information. None. Wewk V. ECRET

TOF

ORTIONAL FORME NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA GEN. REG. NO. 27 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT	* , * 🝎
Memorandum /TO Mr. W.R. Wannall	1 - Mr. 1 - Mr. 1 - Mr. DATE: 11/3 1 - Mr. 1 - Mr. 1 - Mr. 1 - Mr. 1 - Mr. 1 - Mr. 1 - Mr.
CONTRAL SERVICIDI 12	1 - MI.

Assoc. Dir Dep. AD Dep.

Asst. Dir

Admin Comp. S

Ident.

Inspect Intell.

Laboratory

Plan, & Eva Spec. Inv.

Ext. Affairs

Files & Con Gen. Inv

Adams Mintz Bassett 3/75 Wannall Helgeson Cregar Phillips

Deegan

Director Sec's The purpose of this memorandum is to summarize. results of a meeting on 10/31/75 between Mr. Mike T. Epstein, Staff Member, Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities, Section Chief Joseph G. Deegan and Supervisor Homer A. Newman, Jr., relative to documents removed from the office occupied by Mr. W.C. Sullivan at the time of the termination of his duties with the FBI.

This meeting was held pursuant to a request previously received from the Committee to interview someone knowledgeable concerning the material and/or documents which were retrieved from Mr. Sullivan's office at the time of his termination of service.

Mr. Epstein's inquiries were directed principally Atoward obtaining information regarding the chain of custody of this material from the time it was removed from Mr. Sullivan's office to date. He was advised that although Mr. Deegan has custody of this material he would not be in a position to furnish information relative to the chain of custody with: out further research. In this connection it was suggested to Mr. Epstein that he make his request in writing, which he agreed to do.

He also inquired as to whether or not this was thematerial in which the original of a letter was located that had allegedly, from its face, been prepared to be utilized in transmitting information and/or tapes regarding Rev. King to Mrs. King. He was informed that this is the group of documents in which this material had been located. REC-1 62-1

ST 109

6375

- OVER

LEGAL BRANS

ONTINIED

15 NOV 191975

HAN: tdp

2 4 1975 Page 120

INFORMATION CONTAINED

Memorandum to Mr. W.R. Wannall RE: SENSTUDY '75

At his request, Mr. Epstein was permitted to review briefly the inventory of the documents removed from Mr. Sullivan's office. He indicated that it would appear that a great number of these documents have already been made available to the Committee through other requests. He did note, however, that he observed a description of some documents that he had not previously seen and that he may make a request for delivery of all documents (properly excised) contained in this material that had not been previously made available through other requests.

ACTION:

For information.

HN HEH/SUD SPA

Issoc. Dir. Dep.-A.D.-Adm OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 Dep. A.D. Invial JULY 1973 EDITION GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11 Asst. Dir.: UNITED STATES ENT Admin. Comp. Syst. ~- Memorandum Ext. Affairs Files & Com. Gen. Inv. Ident. _ DATE: October Insportion Director, FBI Intell. Laboratory Plan. & Eval. Harold R. Tyler, Jr. Spec. Inv Deputy Attorney General Trainfibe Legal Coun. 1 Telephone Rm. SUBJECT: Testimony of Nicholas deB. Katzenbach Director Sec'y before the Church Committee Senstra Uj ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED I refer to a conference held in my office between me, James Adams, and Paul Daly on Friday, October 3, 1975. As I am sure you have learned from Messrs. Adams and Daly, former Attorney General Katzenbach testified before the Church Committee recently and was shown copies of FBI memos, three in number, dated in the months of May, October and December, 1965, regarding surveillances of the late Dr. Martin Luther King. In addition, it should be noted that Mr. Katzenbach was shown a xerox copy of a hand-written note by him to former Director Hoover bearing date of December 10, 1965. Although Mr. Katzenbach does not question the validity of

his hand-written note dated December 10, 1965, he has informed the Attorney General and me that he questions his initials on the three Bureau memoranda described above. Moreover, he asserts that his recollection of his activities on the dates in question indicate to him that he never saw these memoranda nor did he initial them.

It is respectfully requested that representatives of the Bureau talk to Mr. Katzenbach about this matter. When he is interviewed, I would particularly suggest that Mr. Katzenbach be shown a copy of a memorandum apparently signed by Mr. Katzenbach and addressed to Mr. Hoover in March, 1965. The latter memorandum cannot be described by me in any greater detail because I do not have a copy. Nonetheless, I can describe it further by indicating that Mr. Adams showed me a copy at our meeting on October 3, 1975.

Should you have any questions about this matter, please do not hesitate to telephone me.  $h_2 - 1103951038$ 

REC-1


15 NOV 191975 of make a held for Director 10-8.75 Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 Page 122

meno te At o

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS U 10/10/75 DATE /2-

TO MR. CALLAHAN:

1975 "Who's Whe" lists Katzenbach as residing in Riverdale, New York. He reportedly is employed by IBM as counsel. As far as who should talk to him, it would appear SAC or ADIC, New York, would be logical; however, it is felt he should be accompanied by someone thoroughly familiar and knowledgeable concerning procedures in securing Attorney General authority for electronic surveillance. Tn this regard. SA Dalseg would be the most knowledgeable. It also appears that we will have to exhibit to Katzenbach the original communications containing his initials and/ or signature and, therefore, it is recommended Dalseg accompany SAC or ADIC. New ork.



NW 55077

A CAR K. S. Dep.-A.D.-Dep.-A.D.-Dep.-A OPTIONAL FORMINO JULY 1973 FOITION GSA FPMR 4-1 CFRI WO1-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Memorandum DATE: October Director, FBI

DepA.DAdm	3
DepA.DInv.	训
Asst. Dir.	곕
Admin.	
Comp. Syst.	-
Ext. Alvairs	-
Files & Com	
Gen. Inv.	
Ident.	
6 Into Med The	
Intellin 10100	ar.
Laboratory	123
Plan. & Eval.	100
Spec. Inv.	育
Training	200
Legal Coun.	T
Telephone Rm	No.
Director Sec'y	4

TO

FROM

1176 3 1976

La X Star

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 124

: Harold R. Tyler, Jr.

Deputy Attorney General/ SUBJECT: Testimony of Nicholas deB. Katzenbach

before the Church Committee

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE DATE 12-11-00 BY SI MDR-16

I refer to a conference held in my office between me James Adams, and Paul Daly on Friday, October 3, 1975. As I am sure you'have learned from Messrs. Adams and Daly, former Attorney General Katzenbach testified before the Church Committee recently and was shown copies of FBI memos, three in number, dated in the months of May, October and December, 1965, regarding surveillances of the late Dr. Martin Luther King. In addition, it should be noted that Mr. Katzenbach was shown a xerox copy of a hand-written note by him to former Director Hoover bearing date of December 10, 1965.

Although Mr. Katzenbach does not question the validity of his hand-written note dated December 10, 1965, he has informed the Attorney General and me that he questions his initials on the three Bureau memoranda described above. Moreover, he asserts that his recollection of his activities on the dates in question indicate to him that he never saw these memoranda nor did he initial them.

It is respectfully requested that representatives of the Bureau talk to Mr. Katzenbach about this matter. When he is interviewed, I would particularly suggest that Mr. Katzenbach be shown a copy of a memorandum apparently signed by Mr. Katzenbach and addressed to Mr. Hoover in March, 1965. The latter memorandum cannot be described by me in any greater detail because I do not have a copy. Nonetheless, I can describe it further by indicating that Mr. Adams showed me a copy at our meeting on October 3, 1975.

Should you have any questions about this matter do not hesitate to telephone me. w former stormer of

riginal sent an out to Messon, callations, difference, warnall of Mintz Warnall of Mintz GLOSUMU.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan 10-8.75

62 - 12,6 29

62.116395-1038 Rom

OFTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA FP XX LAT CERLIOT-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Assoc. Dir Dep/AD/ Dep Memorandum 1 - Mr. Mintz Asst. DI 1 - Mr. Adams Admin Comp. Syst. 1 - Mr. Wannall Ext. Affairs то :Mr. J. B. Adams DATE: 10/6/75 Files & Com. 1 - Mr. Cregar Gen. Inv. Ident. 1 - Mr. Hotis Legal Counsel Inspection . FROM 1 - Mr. Daly Intell. Laboratory Legal Coul ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED Plan. & Eval. SUBJECT: SENSTUDY 75 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED Spec. Inv. BY SMACM DATE/2 Training Telephone Rm.

MOK-16

At his request Deputy Associate Director James B. Adams and SA Paul V. Daly of this Division met with Deputy Attorney General Harold R. Tyler, Jr., on October 3, 1975. Mr. Tyler advised that he had shown former Attorney General Nicholas deB. Katzenbach the original Bureau memoranda captioned "Martin Luther King" dated 5/17/65, 10/19/65 and 12/12/65 pertaining to authorization for microphone surveilance on Martin Luther King. He was also shown a note dated 12/10/65 directed from him to Mr. Hoover with his initials affixed at the bottom thereof. Mr. Tyler related that Katzenbach, after reviewing the aforementioned documents, stated that it was doubtful that he had approved the microphone surveillances contained in the memoranda, although he admitted the initials looked like his own. Katzenbach advanced two arguments as to why he did not believe he had approved the electronic surveillance requests.

(1) He stated the Martin Luther King investigation was a very controversial topic and he was very concerned about it. According to Mr. Tyler, Katzenbach stated he was so concerned about this natter that he had discussed it with the President. In view of his concern, Katzenbach stated that if he had approved such requests for electronic surveillance he would have certainly have recalled doing so and he had no such recollection.

(2) Katzenbach stated it was not his policy to give after-the-fact approval to electronic surveillance requests. (The aforementioned memoranda were all requests for electronic surveillance dated after the surveillance was utilized.) Katzenbach informed Mr. Tyler that he had reviewed his diary and on at least one of the occasions when electronic surveillance was used, he was available to approve prior to the use of the device and, therefore, there would have been no need for after-the-fact ratification of the electronic surveillance.

CONTINUED - OVER

(9) PVD:1ad

Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan 2989534 Page 125 Legal Counsel to Mr. Adams RE: SENSTUDY 75

Katzenbach, according to Mr. Tyler, was shown the Xeroxes of the aforementioned documents by the Senate Select Committee during interview by that Committee apparently pertaining to his authorization of electronic surveillance on Martin Luther King.

It was pointed out by Mr. Adams that former Attorney General Katzenbach in a memorandum dated 9/27/65 captioned "Special Investigative Techniques" that he stated, in part, concerning wiretaps and microphones "It is my understanding that such devices will not be used without my authorization, although in emergency circumstances they may be used subject to my later ratification."

Mr. Tyler stated that Katzenbach had not mentioned this particular communication and that he, Tyler, did not know that such a communication existed. Mr. Tyler stated that he and the Attorney General had indicated to Katzenbach that in order to clear up this question it would probably be necessary that he submit to interview and that certain investigations be conducted. Mr. Tyler mentioned particularly that handwriting examinations might be necessary to verify the authenticity of the initials affixed to the documents in question. Mr. Tyler stated he would send the Bureau a memorandum requesting a certain investigation to clarify this question.

**RECOMMENDATION:** 

For information.

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 126

Date of Mail _____11/3/75

Has been removed and placed in the Special File Room of Records Section.

See File 66-2554-7530 for authority.

Subject JUNE MAIL SENSTUDY

Removed By 79NOV 2 1 1975

File Number <u>62-116395-1037</u>

Permanent Serial Charge Out


NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 127

NR 012 SF CODE 9:05PM NITEL 10/23/75 CJC TU: DIRECTOR (100-3-104-47) FROM: SAN FRANCISCO (100-43299) (P) CONFIDENT INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMMALS - CPUSA.

RE SAN FRANCISCO LETTER TO DIRECTOR, JULY 5, 1966, AND TEL CALL OF BUREAU SUPERVISOR DAVID RYAN THIS DATE.

THIS IS TO CONFIRM INFORMATION FURNISHED TO SUPERVISOR RYAN.

UN AUGUST 5, 1975, KEVIN CHOWLEY, CHIEF INVESTIGATOR, SANTA CLARA COUNTY WELFARE DEPARTMENT, CONTACTED THE SAN JOSE RESIDENT AGENCY AND ADVISED THAT HE HAD RECEIVED A TELEPHONE CALL THAT WORNING FROM BARBARA BANOFF, WHO IDENTIFIED HERSELF AS AN INVES-IIGATOR FOR THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE, WASHINGTON, D.C. BANOFF REQUESTED AN APPOINTMENT TO INTERVIEW CROWLEY IN SAN JUSE ON THURSDAY, AUGUST 7, OK FRIDAY, AUGUST 8, 1975, REGARDING "HIS ASSOCIATIONS WITH THE FEI". CROWLEY ADVISED DANOFF HE WAS TAKING HIS FAMILY TO A REMOTE ANEA OF CALIFORNIA IN A TRAVEL TRAILER ON AUGUST 6, 1975, AND WOULD RETURN TO SAN JOSE SUNDAY AFTERNOON, AUGUST 10, 1975. DANOFF SAID SHE HAD TO DE IN A



NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 128

1.65-16009

final Filed

62-116: NOT 57

NOV 6 1975

PAGE TWO SF 100-43299 CONFIDENTIAL MIDWESTERN CITY (POSSIBLY MINNEAPOLIS) ON MONDAY, AUGUST 11, 1975, BUT WOULD LIKE TO MEET WITH CROWLEY AT 7:00 P.M. AUGUST 10, 1975, AT SAN JOSE.

CROWLEY ADVISED HIS SUPERIOR AT THE WELFARE DEPARTMENT ABOUT THE IMPENDING APPOINTMENT AND HIS SUPERIOR INSISTED HE CALL BANOFF AND OBTAIN ADDITIONAL DETAILS RELATIVE TO THE COMMITTEE'S INQUIRY. CROWLEY CALLED BANOFF IN WASHINGTON AND TOLD HER ABOUT HIS SUPERIOR'S CONCERN. BANOFF REPLIED "THIS HAS NOTHING TO DO WITH YOUR PRESENT JOB. IT CONCERNS YOUR ABC EMPLOYMENT". AT THIS TIME, BANOFF SAID IN THE EVENT SHE WAS UNABLE TO MEET CROWLEY IN SAN JOSE, HER ASSOCIATE, LES SIDELL, WOULD INTERVIEW HIM.

CHOWLEY CALLED THE SAN JOSE RESIDENT AGENCY AND ADVISED OF THE SECOND TELEPHONE CALL AND SAID THE OWLY INCIDENT HE COULD RECALL WAS THE ABC ARREST OF FRED HIRSCH AND PAUL LATZ AT A SUCIAL AFFAIR IN SAN JOSE IN 1966 BASED ON INFORMATION RECEIVED BY CROWLEY IN AN ANONYMOUS TELEPHONE CALL.

CROWLEY SAID HE RECALLED HAVING RECEIVED AN ANONYHOUS CALL ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF LIQUUR BEING SOLD AT A FUND-MAISING AFFAIR FOR THE SAM JOSE PEACE CENTER AND HE HAD SET UP THE RAID. CROWLEY SAID THE BUREAU WAS NOT INVOLVED IN ANY WAY AND ABC FILES WOULD SO REFLECT. CROWLEY WAS ADVISED TO BE TRUTHFUL IN PAGE THREE SF 100-43299 CONFIDENTIAL HIS INFERVIEW WITH COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVES. HE WAS ASSURED THAT HIS CONFIDENTIAL RELATIONSHIP WITH THE BUREAU IN 1966 UP TO AND INCLUDING THE PRESENT TIME WOULD BE PROTECTED.

CONTACT HAS BEEN MAINTAINED WITH CROWLEY AND HE ADVISED THAT THE APPOINTMENT ON AUGUST 10, 1975, WAS NOT KEPT AND HE HAS HAD NO FURTHER CONTACT BY COMMITTEE REPRESENTATIVES.

CRUWLEY IS A PERSONAL FRIEND OF SEVERAL SPECIAL AGENTS AND OVER THE PAST 20 YEARS HAS COUPERATED WITH THE BUREAU IN HIS CAPACITY AS AN ABC AGENT AND AS A SANTA CLARA COUNTY WEL-FARE INVESTIGATOR. HE CUMPENTLY MAKES INFORMATION AVAILABLE TO THE BUREAU ON A CONFIDENTIAL BASIS,

THE BUREAU WILL BE KEPT ADVISED.

CLASSIFIED CONTINENTIAL BY 7287, XGDS 2, INDEFINITE.

end

HOLD PLS

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA FFMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Dep. AD A Dep. AD Inv Memorandum Asst. Dir. Admin. Comp. Syst Ext. Affairs 10/24/75 Files & Com : Mr. J. B. Adams DATE: TO Gen. Inv ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED Ident. Inspection HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 911-2010 BY SP244 : Legal Couns él Intell. FROM Labore MUR-16 SUBJECT: GOVERNMEN' INFORMATION AND INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE COMMITTEE Telephone Ri Director Sec ON GOVERNMENT OPERATIONS, REQUEST FOR TESTIMONY OF JOE R. CRAIG Thele-75 During the course of a contact on another matter with Congressman Jack Brooks, Chairman of the Government Operations Committee, he advised that he had seen Mr. Kelley's letter to Chairwoman Abzug regarding the proposed testimony of Joe R. Craig in which the Director indicated he could not grant the request for testimony. 17 Congressman Brooks described this as nonsense and said that the Director 1) is treading on dangerous grounds because he in effect is defying a Committee ŧ. of Congress. He said it would be different if the FBI was investigating Craig on a criminal matter because in that case the Congress would back off. He said that if he had received such a letter, he would likely E. call the Director to testify. When I learned of this contact, I made arrangements to speak with Congressman Brooks and he returned my call at 5:00 p.m. on E OHIGINAL FILED October 23, 1975. I told Congressman Brooks that I wanted to be sure he understood the true circumstances and I explained to him that the Criminal Division of the Department of Justice was conducting an inquiry concerning the matters about which Mr. Craig was called to testify and that the FBI was not conducting the inquiry. I further explained that because of the Criminal Division inquiry, the Bureau had been advised by the Department that the Attorney General's policy was that where the subject matter of the testimony pertains to a matter under active investigation by the Criminal Division of the Department, requests for testimony should be declined. 62-116 395 1 - Mr. McDermott RECORDED 1 - Mr. Wannall 1-8-76 1 - Mr. Hotis 1.04 AN 8 1976 HUNAN BY ILBS 1 - Mr. Moore 1 - Mr. Mintz Pour LEGA ON ORIGIELL JAM:mfd (7)CONTINUED - OVER 989531 Page 131 mgs Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan



Memorandum to Mr. Adams Re: Government Information and Individual Rights Subcommittee, etc.

Congressman Brooks said that he misunderstood the circumstances when he saw the letter addressed to Mrs. Abzug. He said he did not have a copy of the letter, but had only read it. He said that the Department should assume the responsibility for declining to provide witnesses called by Committees of Congress if the declination is based on Department policy. He said that the Committee would then deal directly with the Attorney General.

Congressman Brooks said that even should the Attorney General instruct witnesses specifically not to appear, the Committee would still insist on their appearance unless the individual was under indictment or unless a criminal case was actually pending.

Congressman Brooks asked me if we had explained to Mrs. Abzug the circumstances of the Criminal Division inquiry and the consequent restrictions on the FBI due to Department policy. I told him that we had called Mrs. Abzug last evening, October 22, 1975, and explained the circumstances to her. Congressman Brooks said that he would discuss this matter with Mrs. Abzug but he felt that the subpoenas requested by her subcommittee would be approved and issued for the appearance of Mr. Craig.

## RECOMMENDATION:

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}$ 

For information.

K apple

- 2 -

SECRET

MILL INFORMATION CONTAINED METERIA IS MELLASSIFIED EXCEPT: WITCHE SHOWN OTHERWISE. 1 - Mr. Wannall 1 - Mr. Mintz 1 - Mr. Hotis

1 - Mr. Daly

1 - Mr. Cregar

1 - Mr. Farrington

CLASSIFIED BY SPA

To:

Director DECLASSIFY ON: 252 Bureau of Intelligence Research MDR-16 Department of State Washington, D. C. 20520

Attention: Ray D. Haddox, Office of Intelligence Liaison, Room 8732

From: Clarence M. Kelley, Director

Subject: REQUEST FOR INFORMATION IN FBI FILES BY SENATOR WALTER F. MONDALE, MEMBER OF THE UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

Pursuant to your telephone conversation with Special Agent D. R. Williams of this Bureau on July 1, 1975, there is attached a copy of a letter to the Attorney General dated May 28, 1975, signed by Senator Frank Church and Senator John G. Tower, Chairman and Vice Chairman, respectively, of captioned Committee, in which they requested any files or documents in the Department of Justice or FBI concerning five members of this Committee. Senator Walter F. Mondale is identified as a member of this Committee.

Our review disclosed a document which originated with your agency. A copy of this document is being referred to your office for such action as may be necessary. Senator Mondale will be notified of this referral, and it is requested that you correspond directly with the Senator in connection with the enclosed document.

Enclosures (2)

1.2. 11/ NOT 2: 11/2

Bart Proven berning and

1 - The Deputy Attorney General Attention: K. William O'Connor Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

1) - Bufile 62-116395 (SSC)

lam:smg (12)

SEE NOTE ON PAGE 2

55077 DocId: 32989534 Page 137 MATERIAL ATTACHED" on original



NOTE: See Legal Counsel to Mr. J. B. Adams memorandum of 6-11-75 captioned "Senstudy 75," in which it was recommended and approved that the FOIA Section review Bufiles for any documents or records concerning five members of the Committee. Document referred to Department of State for review dated 4-10-69 in Bufile 105-188989-8 captioned "Alexandru Ivasiuc IS-RO."



- 2 -

Mr. W. R. Wannall

1 - Lar. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. J. C. Deegan 1 - Mr. R. L. Shackelford

10/22/75

1 - Mr. F. J. Cassidy 1 - Mr. D. Lyan

F. J. Cassidy

COINTELPROS

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNC DATE 124-2000

This is to identify five copies of serials which were delivered on 10/16/75 to Miss Barbara Banoff of the staff of the Senate Select Committee (SSC) for retention in the Committee's offices.

Banoff, on 10/10/75, requested two serials relating to the White Hate Cointelpro and on 10/14/75 requested three serials relating to the CPUSA Cointelpro. Also on 10/10/75 she requested a copy of a memorandum dated 7/30/64 from Mr. Gale to Mr. Tolson entitled "Investigation of Ku Klux Elan and Other Hate Groups." Excepting the latter document, these serials were appropriately excised and reviewed by personnel of the respective Sections involved and delivered on 10/16/75. The latter document, dated 7/30/64, was not delivered as it was determined it had previously been referred to the ESC on 6/5/75.

Copies of the above documents which were delivered to Banoff on 10/16/75 are attached together with a cover page indicating their receipt. A copy of the 7/30/64 document is also attached for information parposes.

ACTION:

DocId:32989534

None. For information.

Enclosures 62 - 11603911 62-110395 (Senstudy) 1 - 100-3-104 (CPUSA) 1 - 157-9 (White Hate) DR:Ifj (10)

Page 135

() - //L 3 7/2 NOR RECORDED BAY NOV IC ())

1 - Mr. Mintz
1 - Mr. Jenkins
1 - Mr. Ash
1 - Mr. Wannall
1 - Mr. Cregar
1 - Mr. Hotis
1 - Mr. Daly
October 23, 1975

BY LIAISON

Honorable Frank Church Chairman Select Committee on Intelligence Activities United States Senate Washington, D. C.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 12-11-2010 BY SP2 MORTO

Dear Mr. Chairman:

This is to confirm the conversation between Special Agent Paul V. Daly of this Europu and John T. Elliff of your Committee on October 17, 1975, at which time Mr. Elliff was advised that the FBI had discontinued its destruction of fingerprint files in the possession of our Identification Division. The records that were being destroyed were those pertaining to civil fingerprint cards of individuals 75 years of age and older and criminal fingerprint cards of persons 80 years of age and older. This destruction program was initiated in 1973 with the approval of the Attorney General and the Archivist of the United States. Our discontinuance of this destruction program was prompted by a letter to the Director dated January 27, 1975, signed by Senate Majority Leader Nike Mansfield and Minority Leader Hugh Scott which announced the formation of your Committee and requested the Eureau not destroy certain files. REC-5042 16375-103 EX-115 The discontinuance of this destruction program has created problems with regard to storage space and it would not appear that these records would be of interest-to-point Committee. Mr. Elliff advised that this Bureau could again begin to destroy these records. NOV 7 1975 Assoc. Dir. . Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. _ Sincercly yours, Asst. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs Files & Com. Clarence M. Kelley Gen. Inv, Director Ident. Inspection l - The Deputy Attorney General Intell. (Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Laboratory . Special Counsel for

Intelligence Coordination)

S TELETYPE UNIT

Page 136

199

DocId:32989534

55077

- SEE NOTE NEXT PAGE -

GPO: 1975 O - 569-920

NOTE: By memorandum dated 9/30/75 from Mr. Ash to Mr. Jenkins captioned "Fingerprint Purge Programs, Identification Division," the Legal Counsel Division was requested to contact the Committee to determine if our fingerprint destruction program could be reinstituted. This destruction program has been done with the approval of the Attorney General and the Archivist of the United States in accordance with Public Law 91-287.

1 - Mr. D. W. Moore 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan

November 3, 1975

The Deputy Attorney General

Director, FBI

OCTTAINAL

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

On October 29, 1975, an individual who represented himself as being John Lofton, a syndicated writer, telephonically contacted two separate representatives of this Bureau. In both of these contacts Mr. John Lofton stated that he had access to a memorandum which had been prepared for Senator Frank Church, Chairman of the Select Committee, by members of the Committee staff concerning a briefing which had been provided to two members of the staff by representatives of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI). The briefing in question which included classified information dealt with Soviet and other communist bloc countries activities with respect to the Congress of the United States.

It is not known whether the memorandum referred to by Mr. Lofton contained any of the classified information which was included in the briefing.

The above is being furnished to you for your information and we contemplate no further inquiries in the absence of your specific request.

WAB:emg MAILED 7 (7) NOV 4 1975 NOTE: **∿FBI** See memorandum of W. A. Branigan to Mr. W. R. Wannall Assoc. Dir. dated 10/29/75, WAB:emg, captioned "Senstudy." Dep. AD Adi Dep. AD Inv. Asst. Dir. Admin. Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs . Files & Com. Gen. Inv. _ Ident. Inspection Inteil. Nov Laboratory L4 1975 Plan. & Eval. TELETYPE UNIT ROOM GPO: 1975 O - 569-920 0989534 Page 138

Assoc. Dir. _' 1/18-1 Dep. AD Adm: Dep. AD Inv. Dir .: Admin. The Administrative Division advises former Special Agent Comp. Syst. . W. Donald Stewart EOD 7/2/51 and resigned 8/12/65. Ext. Aliairs . Files & Com. Gen. Inv. W. Donald Stewart Ident. . 1900 South Eads Street, Apt. 202 ALL INFORMATION CONTAIN Inspection Crystal House I Infell. 22202 Arlington, Virginia Laboratory gal Coun Plan. & Eval. September 18, 197 Spec. Inv. Training Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y Mr. Clarence M. Kelley Director, FBI 10th & Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20535 Dear Mr. Kelley: I have been contacted by the Senate Select Intelligence Committee and instructed by Mr. Paul Wallach to report for an interview on September 23, 1975 at 2:00 P.M. I have learned that the "Hunter" matter, on which I'm to be interviewed, is presently under review for possible criminal prosecution by the Department of Justice. Accordingly, since, while being associated with "Hunter", I was under Bureau direction, I am requesting that an attorney be appointed for me by the Department of Justice or arrangements be effected that I can be reimbursed if I secure my own attorney. 62-116395-103 REC 4 Sincerely yours, 1 NOV 12 1975 101-PEC. UN W. Donald Stewart P.S. Mr. Paul Wallach telephonically advised of above by me at 12:10 PM. 9/18/75. WDS Mr. Paul Wallach telephonically 1 above by me at 12 pm PM18/75 5 5 NOV NUV 21/ 19/5 1 155077 World 1929 89534 Page 1350r, 9-19. 75.

NUMBER OF A. RART. MICH. WALTER F. BONDELS, MERH. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBLET Nº KGAN, N.G. CARY HAN & ......

LUANE LUMIN

JOHN G. TOWER, ICXAS VICE CHARMAN HOWARD IL BARLE, JR., TENN. BARRY GOLDWATCH, ARIZ CHARLES MCC. MATHIAS MD. RICHARO S. SCHWEIKER

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR

Aniled States Anale

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, MITH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 13, 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED.

MARTO

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIEI DATE 12-11-2000 BY SPL

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General **V. S.** Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530 Senature

Dear Mike:

84 NOV 1 8 1975

NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 Page 140

This is a follow-up to my-letter to you of November 7, 1975, concerning our proposed format and witnesses for public hearings on the FBI's use of informants and sources in the intelligence area.

The date for that hearing is now scheduled for Tuesday, December 2, 1975, rather than December 4 and 5, as indicated earlier.

Also, with regard to the hearing format, in addition to the appearance that we have discussed with Bureau representatives of three former FBI informants (Rowe, Hardy, and Cook) and Bureau representatives (who would discuss the overall Bureau use of informants), we are planning to hear testimony from the handling agents and other FBI field personnel involved with the three former informants indicated above. My letter of November 7 requested these field person nel be made available for interviews and possible depositions and I understand the necessary clearances and procedures are nearing completion for this.

Also enclosed is a supplementary request for documents pertaining to one of the former FBI informants scheduled to testify. NEC 5

Sincerely,

John T. Elliff

JBJ


15 NOV 17 1975

Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force



The following documents and materials relating to Mary Jo Cook, whether in the custody of the Buffalo, New York, field office or elsewhere:

- a. all documents and materials reflecting contacts and reports of contacts (and the substance thereof) between Mary Jo Cook and FBI special agents from July 1973 to December 1974.
- b. all documents and materials reflecting information supplied by Mary Jo Cook to FBI special agents (and Bureau field or headquarters summaries thereof), including all written reports prepared by Mary Jo Cook, from July 1973 to December 1974.
- c. all documents and materials relating to any guidance, directions, instructions, or suggestions given to Mary Jo Cook by FBI special agents from July 1973 to December 1974.
- d. all documents and materials relating to Bureau and field office supervision of the handling of Mary Jo Cook by FBI special agents from July 1973 to December 1974.



Attached is a letter from Senator Church requesting delivery of the DeLoach memoranda of August 24-27, 1964, (concerning the 1964 Democratic National Convention daily summaries from DeLoach to Walter Jenkins). Please prepare the requested response.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE -11-2000 BY MDR-16

REC 22 62-116395-10

ST-III

15 NOV 17 1975

ENCLOSURE

cc:

Paul Daly

Ricd 8 0V 2 5 1975 Doc1d:32989534 Page 142

WINT 3 1975

- TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation
- FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination
- SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request Dated November 11, 1975

Attached is a letter from Senator Church requesting delivery of the DeLoach memoranda of August 24-27, 1964, (concerning the 1964 Democratic National Convention daily summaries from DeLoach to Walter Jenkins). Please prepare the requested response.

cc: Paul Daly

ALL INFORMATION CONT HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE DATE 12-11-000 BY 8	PAINED PACINAL
MORIE	

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 143

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, M'CH. WALTENF: MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL



# United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 11, 1975

Attorney General Edward H. Levi Room 5111 Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE MORTE


Dear Mr. Attorney General:

In my letter to you of August 28, 1975, concerning Committee document requests, I said that we did not want the DeLoach memoranda of August 24-27, 1964 (concerning the 1964 Democratic National Convention daily summaries from DeLoach to Walter Jenkins) at that time.

Because of the present posture of our investigation, I am now requesting delivery of legible, unexcised copies of these memoranda by Friday, November 14, 1975. I understand that the FBI has compiled and readied these documents for delivery and that the only delay in delivery concerns my sending this request to you, since it does relate to. the August 28 request.

Sincérely, Frank Church

Chairman



FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. WALTER F. MOYDALE, MINN. WALTER F. MOYDALE, MINN. WALTER D'. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TEI BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS . SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

## Anited States Senate

JB9

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 14, 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED BY SP2AC FREED IS GIVEN DATE 12/1-2000 Derlo

#### HAND DELIVERED

Michael Shaheen, Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mike:

The Select Committee requests immediate delivery of pertinent material pertaining to the attached. You will note that Mr. Colson's attorney took handwritten notes on two FBI documents dated July 6, 1971 and February 26, 1968. The Committee requests this material for use at its public hearing on Tuesday. A copy of this letter is being sent to Mr. Cregar at the FBI.

Sincerely,

a Elle

Task Force/

Director, Domestic Intelligence

62-116395

15 NOV 17 1975

John Elliff

IST-III REC 22

_ENCLOSUR

1-ad Hoc Attachment

cc: Mr. William Cregar

Rece 11:15 and 11/14/75

When some Parties of the state of the state

AV 1 8 1975 000 534 Page 145

## Dickstein, Shapiro & Morin

May 9, 1975

HI & YORK OFFICE 745 FIFTH AVENUE NEW YORK, N Y, 10022 PI2 832-1500 -BOSTOH OFFICE ON : 605TON PLACE BO. TON, MASS 02109 GI7 723-8100

THOMAS W. MACK GORDON P. RAM SEY ARITHUR D. MASON FREDERICK M. LOWTHER ROBERT J. HISGINS SEYMOUR GLANZER M.J. MINTZ IRA H. POLON KENNETH L. ADAMS ALAN B. PICK IRA R. MITZNER WILLIAM SILVERMAN RICHARD P. PERRIN GEORGE T. BOGGS JOEL B. KLEINMAN * (NOT ADM.IN D.C.)

CHARLES H MORIN DAVID I SHAPIRO SIDNEY EICKSTEIN

WILLIAM J C'HARA" ARTHUR J GALLIGAN

JAMES VANR SPRINGER

JUDAH GEST HENRY C CASHEN II

> ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 2-11-200 BY SOZACM/A

Mr. William Schwartzer Commission on CIA Activities Within the United States Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Bill:

Enclosed are several documents referred to by Mr. Colson and myself in the course of his recent sworn deposition at your office. In each case, what is provided is a typed version of my rough handwritten notes. Those notes were prepared by me approximately a year ago, when I was given access to the underlying documents by the Watergate Special Prosecution Force in the course of pretrial discovery in <u>United States</u> v. <u>Ehrlich-</u> man, et. al.

The documents are as follows:

1. Several FBI memos and reports concerning Leonard Boudin. The text of the first report (dated July 6, 1971) indicates that derogatory information about Mr. Boudin had been furnished by the FBI to Ray McHugh of the Copley News Service. Although I do not have a copy, there exists in Mr. Colson's White House files a memorandum from John Dean to Charles Colson, dated July 20, 1971, transmitting to Colson a copy of McHugh's article. As you know, Mr. Colson served seven months in prison for furnishing to Jerry terHorst a report on Mr. Boudin prepared by Howard Hunt from these FBI materials.

55-10:3

Mr. William Schwartzer May 9, 1975 Page 2

> 2. A memorandum listing projects of the Room 16 Unit in progress as of August 10, 1971, and the person or persons in charge of each project.

> 3. A portion of the CLA's July 8, 1971 assessment of the damage resulting from publication of the Pentagon Papers.

4. Summary of a transcript of a telephone conversation between John Ehrlichman and Richard Helms on July 24, 1971, in which Helms advises Ehrlichman that certain sensitive CIA files will be made available to the White House, but only to the President, Henry Kissinger and Ehrlichman, and specifically <u>not</u> to Howard Hunt.

I hope you will find these documents of interest. If Mr. Colson or I can provide the Commission with any further assistance, please let me know.

Sincerely,

Kenneth L. Adams

Attachments

KLA/tcc

AVE

(Typed from handwritten notes.)

(Apparently an FBI report on Boudin - dated 7/6/71.)

Mr. Mohr:

Re: Leonard B. Boudin Attorney for Daniel Ellsberg

By memorandum to R. D. Cotter to C. D. B. Brennan dated June 28, 1971, it was recommended and approved that pertinent information concerning Boudin's sympathy for communist causes be used in connection with the Mass Media Program.

Information concerning Boudin's sympathy for communist causes was called to the attention of Ray. McHugh, Chief of the Washington Bureau, Copley News Services, by Crime Records Division. Attached is a copy of a release prepared by McHugh dated 7/1/71 concerning Boudin. It puts Boudin in the proper light as a communist and Soviet apologist.

Enc.

Mr. Mohr
 Mr. Sullivan
 Mr. Bishop
 C. D. Brennan
 R. D. Cotter
 M. A. Jones

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE (21/2000 BY SMACK MOR-16

(handwritten notes at bottom of report indicated that copies were sent to H. R. Haldeman, the Attorney General and the Deputy Attorney General)

[Attached to the above report are the following documents:

1. A three-page report by "JMS", dated June 28, 1971, concerning Messrs. Boudin and Nesson. At the conclusion of the memo the following words appear: "Action: For the Director's Information."

2. An undated report by "JMS" concerning Leonard Boudin.

3. A form indicating that the above reports are secret, and that Mr. Boudin's name is in "the Security Index".]

ENCLOSURE 62 -116 3 95 -1030

#### (Typed from handwritten notes.)

(Memorandum from Mr. Bishop to M. A. Jones, dated February 26, 1968 and typed on United States Government Memorandum stationery.)

The memo is entitled "Re Leonard Boudin, Attorney for Benjamin Spock. Information concerning." The author states that the subject (Boudin) is "well known to the Bureau", and that he has been identified as a member of the Communist Party in the past. The memo sets forth certain information about Boudin's alleged past activities, and states that the White House and the Attorney General have been advised of this. The memo concludes with the following:

> "Recommendation: that approval be given to furnishing the attached information to one of our friendly newspaper contacts."

The memo indicates that copies were sent to Messrs. DeLoach, Bishop, Gale and Sullivan. Attached to the memo is a February 28, 1968 report titled "Dr. Benjamin Spock"; the portions of that report concerning Leonard Boudin are highlighted. FEDERAL BURSAULOF INVESTIGATION COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

Dame & Par A Mint Distante De Martin

A arr Frend

County Same

libert. south

Inspection . Indella.

Laboratory Plan & Evol. Speel Hav. ..

NOV 14 1975

Ear. Affairs Files & C. Gen. Jew.

NOV 11 TELETY

1:37PO IMAELATE - 11/11/75 KK

AUR - DIHERTUR WEU

NR DOZ BA PLAIN

Senstudy 75 FRUI: BALTIMURE (02-MLN) P UNSUB; BUNGLARY OF RESIDENCE OF SENATOR CHARLES MC C MATHIAS, JR., CHEVY CHASE, MARYLAND, NOVEMBER 7 - 9, 1975, INFURMATION CONCERNING.

ON THE EVENING OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975, THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION WAS RECEIVED TELEPHONICALLY FROM SENATOR CHARLES MC C MATHIAS, JR., 3808 LELAND STREET, CHE VY CHASE, MARYLAND, AND PERSONALLY FROM HIS SON, CHARLES BRADFORD MATHIAS, AT THE CHEVY CHASE RESIDENCE:

THE MATHIAS FAMILY WAS AWAY FRUIT HELA HUNE FRUI 7 P.M. NUVEMBER 7, 1975 UNTIL 6:30 P.M., NUVEMBER 9, 1975. UPON RETURN, IT WAS OBSERVED THAT & KITCHEN WINDOW WAS PARTIALLY OPEN, ALSU THAT THE FRENCH DOURS IN THE DINING ROOM WERE PARTIALLY UPEN. A CHECK UF THE RESIDENCE WAS MADE BY MEMORIAS OF THE MATHIAS FAMILY MUVEMBER 9 = 10, 1975.

IT APPEARS THAT SUMEONE HAD DEEN IN THE RESIDENCE; AUVEVER, THE UNLY ITEMS DETERMINED TO BE MISSING AS OF THE

ABLINGTORONIMATION CONTINUED 11639 (07 cc - 62- 11.395 NOTR MNULL 46 NOV 14 1975

8:4: NOV 1 18:010 32989534 Page 150

## PAGE TWU BA 02-NEW

NIGHT OF NOVEHBER 10, 1975 WERE TWO ONE HUNDRED SWISS FRANC NOTES. ONE NOTE WAS MISSING FROM A DRAWER IN THE SENATOR'S UPSTAINS BEDRUOM, WHILE THE OTHER NOTE WAS MISSING FROM A DRAWER IN THE UPSTAIRS BEDRUOM OF HIS SON, CHARLES.

NUMEROUS ARTICLES THROUGHOUT THE RESIDENCE APPEARED TO HAVE BEEN HANDLED ON DISTURBED. THESE ARTICLES INCLUDED JEWELRY BOXES IN MRS. MATHIAS'S BEDROOM, ITEMS IN A SMALL LIVING ROOM DESK AND PLANTS. SEVERAL ARTICLES OF OBVIOUS VALUE, SUCH AS JEWELRY AND WATCHES, ALTHOUGH DISTURBED, WERE NOT TAKEN. NO APPARENT EFFORT WAS MADE TO TAKE THE TELEVISION SETS AND OTHER VALUABLE HOUSEHOLD ARTICLES.

SENATOR MATRIAS ALSO ADVISED THERE HAD NOT BEEN ANY PAPERS OF VALUE OR OF A CLASSIFIED NATURE TAKEN AND THAT NO SUCH PAPERS ARE MAINTAILED AT THE RESIDENCE WALLEVER HE IS ABSENT FROM THE RESIDENCE.

MUNTGOMERY COUNTY, MANYLAND DEPARTMENT OF POLICE (MODP) MUTIFIED 9:45 P.M., NOVEMBER 10, 1975, BY FBI, SILVER

DIV

PAGE TREE DA 62-NEW SPRING, MARYLAND, OF THE BURGLARY, RESPONDED TO MATHIAS RESIDENCE, CONDUCTED INTERVIEWS AND CRIME SCENE SEARCH. Two APPARENT LATENT IMPRESSIONS OF VALUE DEVELOPED BY MCDP FROM THE INTERION OF THE FRENCH DOORS IN THE DINING ROOM. NO APPARENT SIGN LOCATED OF FORCED ENTRY INTO RESIDENCE. NEITHER SENATOR MATHIAS OR MCDP DEVELOPED ANY SUSPECTS.

ADMINISTRATIVE DATA: SENATOR MATRIAS NOT PRESENT AT RESIDENCE ON EVENING OF NOVEMBER 10, 1975 BUT DID CONTACT RESIDENCE TELEPHONICALLY WHILE AGENTS PRESENT.

SENATOR MATHIAS SUGGESTED MODUS OPERANDI IN THIS MATTER MAY BE SIMILAR TO RECENT BREAK-IN AT MORE OF SENATOR HOWARD BAKER, WHO LIKE SENATOR MATHIAS, IS A MEMBER OF THE SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE. HE SAID REASON FOR BREAK-IN OF DAKER RESIDENCE NOT KNOWN.

SENATOR MATHIAS EXPRESSED HIS APPRECIATION TO THE FET AND TO MOUP FOR RESPONDING TO HIS RESIDENCE, STATING IF ANY OTHER INFORMATION RELATING TO THE BURGLARY IS DEVELOPED, HE WILL INMEDIATELY CONTACT POLICE AUTHORITIES.

## PAGE FULL BA 02-NEW

INFORMATION COPY TO WFO IN VIEW OF SENATOR MATHIAS'S POSIZION WITH THE UNITED STATES SENATE. BALTINORE WILL FOLLOW WITH MCPD.

AJN FEIHQ CLR TU

E NU .

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA GEN, REG. 20. 27 Assoc. Dir UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams Dep. AD Adm 2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz Dep. AD Memorandum (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis) Admin. 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall Comp. Syst. Mr. W. R. Wannall With Ext. Affairs _ DATE: 10/20/75 Files & Com. ΤÓ Gen. Inv. 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar FROM : W. O., Cregar Laboratory Plan. & Eval. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED Spec. Inv. SENSTUDY 75 HEREIN IS UNC SUBJECT: MNL6

Attached letter to the Attorney General responds to request received from Department, dated 10/17/75, with reference to a letter from Senator Frank Church, Chairman, Senate Select Committee (SSC), to the Attorney General, dated 10/15/75 (copy also attached). Senator Church's letter, in summary, proposes that SSC Staff conduct interviews with nine FBI confidential sources in connection with activities of the sources on behalf of the FBI in our COINTELPRO operations. The Senator indicated to the Attorney General that he hoped there would be no objection to such interviews but if objections were evident he desired to be contacted by Tuesday, 10/21/75.

This is not a new issue. During August, 1975, SSC Staff Member John T. Elliff proposed interviews of this type which were objected to on the principle of the FBI's obligation to protect the confidentiality of our relationship with any source whether that confidentiality be implied or expressed. The Director, at that time, strongly supported this principle of confidentiality and the issue was taken to the Attorney General. At a conference between the Attorney General and Senator Church on the evening of 8/14/75, it was agreed that the Committee would attempt a limited number of interviews of individuals whose identities and/or relationship with the FBI had been protected. However, as a condition precedent to such interviews, the FBI was to be allowed to ENCLOSURE to such an interview. Senator Church concurred in this arrangement: however subsection 2/10/17 arrangement; however, subsequently on 8/18/75, Elliff advised that Senator Church had reconsidered his agreement with the REC 22 62-11639 62-116395 CONTINUED - OVER EWL: 1hb 1hb (6) 15 NOV 17 1975 Enclosures Set 10-20-18 18 4514 18 19752989534 Page 154

### Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall Re: Senstudy 75 62-116395

Attorney General to the aforementioned procedures as a result of persuasion by SSC Staff. Elliff, at that time, indicated a letter recording Senator Church's change of attitude had been drafted. To date, no such formal acknowledgement has been received and no interviews have been attempted in the interim.

By his most recent communication, 10/15/75, Senator Church again raises this issue. Although he does note that the matter was originally discussed with the Attorney General "some weeks ago," he makes no mention of the agreement with the Attorney General at that time. Apparently, as some sort of precedent, he does cite the fact that the FBI did make available for use and interview the names of Internal Revenue Service (IRS) personnel who coop enated with the FBI in certain COINTELPRO activities. We do not consider this action on our part to be a concession with respect to confidentiality of sources since we specifically stipulated that we considered IRS personnel to be U. S. Government officials acting in their capacity as such.

#### **OBSERVATIONS:**

Obviously, the SSC has not given up on this issue. It is just as obvious that no valid issues have arisen which should cause us to modify the position taken by the Director and the Attorney General concerning the confidentiality, implied or expressed, of our relationships with sources cooperating with the FBI.

CONTINUED - OVE

- 2 -

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall Re: Senstudy 75 62-116395

## **RECOMMENDATION:**

.

That the attached letter to the Attorney General be approved recording our strong protest to the proposed action by the SSC.

- Set

when

- 3 -

FR SK CHUNCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWET TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN HILIF A. HART. MICH. WALTER D. HUDDLLSTON, KY. HUBERT MANGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN BARRY GOLDWATER, ANIZ. CHANLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD & SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL, CUATIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL,

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

> (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, MITH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

October 15, 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 2-B-2001 BY SPACE

INNA

GT

15 1975

The Honorable Edward H. Levi: Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Attorney General:

The Select Committee is presently investigating the activities of the Federal Bureau of Investigation carried on as part of the COINTELPRO program. We appreciate the cooperation of the FBI and the Justice Department in making materials available to us in this area. However, one problem remains to be resolved. The Committee believes its staff must contact and interview certain persons who received information from the FBI in the course of COINTELPRO operations. The FBI has withheld the names of such persons from the Committee's use on the grounds that. they were FBI "sources".

The Committee wishes to stress that it is not interested in questioning these persons about any role as a "source", but rather as a recipient of information from the FBI. Indeed, in many instances we would not have been aware that the individual was a "source" had not the FBI excised the name from the materials delivered to us. Nevertheless, to minimize any incidental deleterious effects on legitimate FBI operations, the Committee has selected a very limited number of persons whom we desire to contact and interview. (See attached list and materials.)

As you will recall, we originally discussed this matter with you some weeks agc. Since then the Committee has had an opportunity to consider the issue more fully in the light of our experience with other investigations. For example, our inquiry into the relationships between the FBI and the Internal Revenue Service clearly showed the

need to use the names of IRS personnel who cooperated with the FBI in certain COINTELPRO activities. Those names were made available to us for our use.

199 M.

As I am sure you can appreciate, what is at stake here is the investigation of serious breaches of the security and confidentiality of information obtained by the FBI. Such information was apparently disclosed or "leaked" for the purpose of disruption. The full extent of such disclosures in many of the cases, such as the efforts to discredit Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., is still unknown. Surely, it would be incongruous for an inquiry into these matters to be impaired on the grounds of the FBI's interest in preserving confidentiality.

The Committee has a clear mandate and a firm commitment to investigate allegations that the FBI attempted to harass and discredit individuals. The integrity of this investigation depends upon our ability to conduct interviews without the appearance of outside interference. Therefore, we hope you have no objection to our conducting the interviews of the persons on the attached list, but if you do, please contact me by Tuesday, October 21.

Sincerely, Frank Church

Chairman .

Tampa

Excision (name unknown) Tampa television producer or newsman. Furnished public source data and lead material concerning black nationalist groups, particularly Junta of Militant Organizations, for a television "special".

#### Chicago

Excision (a Mr. Jones - newspaper unknown) newspaper reporter furnished public source data and other lead material for an article on the Nation of Islam, particularly its business interests.

New York

Excision (known to be Surrogate Edward S. Silver) probate judge contacted by Bureau to determine how to prevent large bequest from going to CPUSA.

Jackson

Excision (known to be Jimmy Ward) newspaperman used to plant Bureau-authored letter and answer in column re Nation of Islam.

Pittsburgh I

Excision (known to be Richard Larry) official of Mellon Foundation used in several counterintelligence programs involving foundation funding and contacts.

#### Pittsburgh II

Excision (known to be Sherley Uhl) newspaperman used in several counterintelligence programs of which the documents attached are one example.

#### Miami

Excision (known to be Gene Struhl) news director furnished public source information and other lead material with which to produce four separate documentaries which "showed the extremist groups involved in their true light."

أسعا ويتحقق التج



San Francisco

Excision (known to be Kevin Crowley) agent of California Alcoholic Beverage Control Board asked to raid a Democratic party fund raiser.

بالرواب والمشير

Minneapolis

Excision (known to be Fred Carey) Director of Security at Honeywell, Inc. and former Special Agent furnished information on plans of a group of Honeywell stockholders to appear at annual stockholders meeting to protest war production.

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA IPME (41 CFR) 101-11.6 Assoc. Dir. UNITED STATES GOVI MENT Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AC Inv. Memorandum Aust. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs Mr. J. B. Adams 10/29 Files & Com. то DATE: Gen. Inv. Ident. Inspection Legal Counse Intell. FROM ist S. B. Done Laborat Logal C Plan. & F LEGAL ADVICE FOR PRESENT Spec. SUBJECT: Training OR FORMER BUREAU EMPLOYEES Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y Senstudi At 5:10'p.m. on October 28, 1975, Paul E'. Ertzinger, of the Society of Former Special Agents of the FBI, telephonically advised me that he is working with a group designated by the current President of the Society to provide cooperation with the Bureau in cases in which former employees require legal representation in matters rising out of their former employment in the Bureau. He requested, and I explained 161 to him, the interim procedures now being followed in the Department INFORMATION CONTAINED concerning requests for counsel by employees or former employees. In 66. short, I told him that should a former employee desire the appointment of counsel he should communicate that request to me and the matter would ASSTE be referred to the Department following a review at FBI Headquarters. CEIGENAL FILKO IN He was told that referral to the Department would be given incases in which it appeared that the subject matter pertained to an activity of the former employee that was within the scope of his employment in the HEREIN FBI. I advised Mr. Ertzinger of the need for a list of the names of those attorneys known to the Society who would be willing to serve as counsel if requested and appointed, and he agreed to furnish me with such names. Mr. Ertzinger said that he would be attending the annual convention of the Society in Houston and that he would discuss these matters with the President and other officers and make known to them the interim procedures being followed.

	RECOMMENDATION:	5×115	-REC 12	Unite munifiered association	,
	For informat	tion.		5 10 7 10	Im
		l - Mr. Cre l - Mr. Min		BA PLA Stragenting	N GC
	JAM:mfd	Ą	AT'	62-116395- NOT RECORDED	, .
	NON 20 1975 Buy U.S. Savings	Bonds Regula	l rly on the Payrol	NOV 2 0 1975 Il Saving <u>s Plan</u>	Ł
NW	5010-104		•	•	



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

NOV 1 1 1975

FBI

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation

Mc FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Osenate Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee dated November 5, 1975, which was received by this Office on November 10, 1975. Please prepare an appropriate response to the attached request.


EX-112

62-116395

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE DATE 12-5-2000 BY ST DR-16

cc: Paul Daly

ENCLOSURE



REC 68 102-116 355 = 1027

E NOV 14 1975



- TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation
- FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senato Select Committee Request

Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee dated November 5, 1975, which was received by this Office on November 10, 1975. Please prepare an appropriate response to the attached request.

cc: Paul Daly

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS L

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 163

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HADDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO. HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC G. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

Maited States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 5, 1975

0337

1.2

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 12-4-200 BY 502AUM/AU MAR-16

> Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530

Dear Mike:


This is a supplementary request to our request of August 20, 1975, under Part IV, 3.

All FBI reports, memoranda, interviews, and all other documents from FBI Agents Frank Watts and Jack Rucker concerning the time period April 1968 from August 1968 concerning Meridian, Mississippi, bombings, meetings with FBI informant Raymond Roberts and members of the Meridian, Mississippi, Police Department involving Thomas A. Tarrants III, Joe Danny Hawkins, Kathy Ainsworth, and other members or alleged members of the Ku Klux Klan.

Sincerely,

2 T.E.C.M

John T. Elliff Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force



62-116395=1027 ENCLOSHIRE

NW 55077 'DocId:32989534 Page 164

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to SSC letter dated August 27, 1975, to Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, which attached an August 27, 1975, supplement to an SSC request of August 26, 1975. The supplement requested delivery of material in Part I, Items 7c, (1) through (12).

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz

1 - Mr. H. N. Bassett 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar

1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan 1 - Mr. R. D. Shea

(1 - J. B. Hotis) 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

October 28, 1975

W.

975 O - 569-920

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO

SI and reps afor.

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the Committee is the original of a memorandum in response to the items requested in Part I, Items 7c, (1) through (12) of the August 27, 1975, supplement.

Also enclosed for your records is a copy of the memorandum which is being delivered to you with a set of the materials which are being delivered to the SSC.

Being delivered are two sets of materials. One set contains data pertaining to the possible prosecution of former Assistant to the Director William C. Sullivan. The Department presently has pending a request for a prosecutive opinion as to the possible violation of Federal laws by Sullivan.

Lλ-112 XtC 68 62 -//6 5 /62 /02 6 In view of above it is being left to your discretion whether you want to forward to the SSC both sets or retain the set that contains the data that may bear on the possible prosecution of Sullivan.

Enclosures - 2

62-116395

RDS:cah/adn

V2

JočId: 32989534

INFORMATION CONTAINED BIN 19 UNCLADED THE STARD

> Assoc. Dir. _____ Dep. AD Adm. _

Deg. AD Inv. ___ Asst. Dir.: Admin. ____

Comp. Syst. ___

Ext. Affairs ____

Files & Com. _ Gen. Inv. ____

Ident.

Inspection

Laboratory .

Spec. Inv. _____ Training _____ Leasl Coun. ____

Telephone Rm.

NB 40 NOV

Plan. & Eval. _

1 - The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

(11) TENCLOSURE IN BULKY ROOM*

TELETYPE UNIT

Page

ORIGINAL AND ONE TO AG

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

#### NOTE:

A copy of the 8/27/75 SSC request, Part I Items 7c, (1) through (12), as well as a copy of SSC letter 8/28/75 to the Attorney General setting forth the reason why number (3) in Item 7c, is exempted, are attached to the file copy of enclosed LHM. Exact copies of the material being furnished are maintained in the office of the SENSTUDY 75 Project. Arrangements have been made for a representative of the Legal Counsel Division to deliver the attached memorandum as well as the materials being provided to the SSC.

2 -

62-116395

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz (1 - J. B. Hotis) 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. H. N. Bassett 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED October 28, 1975 HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED 1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan 1 - Mr. R. D. Shea More-16

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to SSC letter dated August 27, 1975, to Mr. Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, which attached an August 27, 1975, supplement to an SSC request of August 26, 1975, and which requested delivery of materials in Part I, Items 7c, (1) through (12).

The purpose of this memorandum is to effect delivery to the SSC of information and material relating to the SSC request described above.

Item 9 of the previously described SSC request concerns SSC's interest in a Newark memorandum dated August 22, 1964, regarding technical surveillance at the Democratic National Convention (DNC). Being delivered is a memorandum from SAC R. W. Bachman captioned "Martin Luther King, Jr; SM - C," dated August 21, 1964. This memorandum concerns technical surveillance at the DNC and is believed to be responsive to this request.

Materials requested under number (3) of Item 7c, are exempted from delivery pursuant to Senator Church's letter to the Attorney General dated August 28, 1975, as these materials pertain to the Mr. DeLoach August 24-27, 1964, memoranda concerning the 1964 DNC and/or summaries or quotes from conversations monitored during electronic surveillances of Martin Luther King, Jr.

Assor, Dir. Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. 1 - The Attorney General Asst. Dir.: Admin. _ Comp. Syst. ____ Ext. Affairs ____ Files & Com. ___ Gen. Inv. ____. Ident. Inspection ___ Intell. Laboratory ____ Plan. & Eval. ___ Spec. Inv. Training ____ Legal Coun. Telephone Rm.

Asst. Dir.:	1 - The	Attorney Gener	al		Stal	15 20
Admin.			(	-had	V R. JWC	it / Se
Comp. Syst Ext. Affairs	RDS:adn			AVVIC	Kan	/
Files & Com	(10)			// '	•	1
Gen. Inv	(/	This document is pr	epared in response to	Nyour request a	ind is not for	dissemii-
Ident.		nuovon onorone your	Committee. Its use	e as lamated to a	ffinial manage	James has
Inspection		nel without the and	the content may not	t be disclosed to	unauthorized	person-
Laboratory		not without the exp	ress approval of the	FBI .	- 102	61
Plan. & Eval			102-1	11 13	- my	1
Spec. Inv.	11	ROTTER	TT SATURA	TADE	2% ell	<u>}</u>
Training Legal Coun	<i><i>P</i>:</i>	INCOTE I	IN INT VII	LULU	1	
Telephone Rm			ENGLOS	AUDE	Ŋ	
Director Sec'y	MAIL ROOM	TELETYPE UNIT	ENULUS	NUNK(	01*0%	GPO : 1975 O - 569-920
55077. Do	cId;329895	i34 - Page ,167 . 🚈	X	NER OF A	NILL.	

NW 55077, Doc1d;32999534, Page,167.

JOIN G. TOWER, TI PRILIP A. HART, MICH. WALTER F. MC IDALE, MINY. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLD.

PRANK CHURCH

AKER. JR., TENN. HARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MOL RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

(PURSUANT TO 5. RES. 21, MTH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

August 27, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice

Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

Attached is an addition to the Document Request delivered to you earlier today. The additional items list should be incorporated in the Document Request dated August 26, 1975.

Sincerely, John T. Elliff

Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force

LettoAL

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED 11-24-2000 DATE MORIL



6395-1026 ENCLOSURE

August 27, 1975

#### REQUEST FOR FBI MATERIALS

Additional items to be incorporated in the Document Request dated August 26, 1975.

Under Part I, Item 6

q. Paragraph. (e) of SAC Letter No. 63-50, dated 10/1/63, contains the following statements:

"The following offices should submit to the Bureau, by letter under above caption ("Communist Party, USA - Negro Question - Communist Influence in Racial Matters") due 30 days from date of this SAC letter, an analysis of their current coverage of communist activities in the Negro field plus details of their plans for intensifying such coverage: . . Also, those 16 offices which are participating in the Counterintelligence Program on a continuing basis should include in their next monthly letters due at the Bureau by October 15, 1963, any plans they may have to neutralize or disrupt any Party activities in the Negro field. Such information should be set out under the category "Possible Counterintelligence Activity."

Please supply all letters received by FBI Headquarters in response to the above-quoted instructions.

All memoranda and other materials reflecting proposals and decisions to issue the instructions quoted in Item q. above, and all memoranda and other materials pertaining to the following statement in the second paragraph of SAC Letter No. 63-50, dated 10/1/63:

There is also an urgent need for imaginative and aggressive tactics to be utilized through our Counterintelligence Program for the purpose of attempting to neutralize or disrupt the Party's activities in the Negro field. "

Under Part I, Item 7

c. The following materials which are referred to, described, or discussed in the January 30, 1975 FBI letterhead memorandum captioned "Special Squad at Democratic National Convention, Atlantic City, New Jersey, August 22 - 28, 1964":

62 -116 375 - 1026

August 20, 1964 teletype to all continental (1) field offices captioned "Disruption of Democratic National Convention, Information Concerning (Internal Security)". "Follow-up instruction" issued on August 21, 1964. (2) (3) All. "Bureau memoranda prepared setting forth pertinent developments." (4) Letter dated August 21, 1964, to then Deputy Attorney General Katzenbach which "responded to a request dated August 19, 1964, from Mr. John Doar ... " (5) Memorandum dated July 22, 1964, furnished to the White House "at the request of Walter Jenkins of the White House staff." (6) Any materials reflecting Mr. Jenkins' request referred to in Item 5. above. (7) Director Hoover's memorandum of September 10, 1964 reporting a telephone call from Mr. Jenkins. All 302's and any other materials or reports reflecting the FBI's inquiry into this matter. (9) Memorandum contained in Newark files dated August 22, 1964 concerning technical surveillance at the Democratic National Convention. (10) Materials reflecting the attribution "to then Senior Resident Agent Clark that on Bureau instructions a mike should be considered for the temporary Congress of Racial Equality headquarters." (11)July 2, 1968 instructions that all Agent personnel are prohibited to pose as newsmen or representatives of any wire service for the purpose of establishing an investigative cover. 12) .All materials pertaining to the instructions described in Item 11. above.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

August 29, 1975

Istate

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director 'Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM:

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Page 171

Attached is a copy of a letter from Senator Church to the Attorney General dated August 28, 1975. The letter is self-explanatory.

I would appreciate it if you would treat this request with the highest priority.

I have discussed with Mike Epstein (in Elliff's absence) of the SSC and with Elmer Larson of the Bureau the demands this request will pose for the Bureau, and we have agreed to defer responding to Elliff's requests of August 20, 1975, for Parts IV and V, from September 4 to September 9, in an effort to meet the response date (September 3) of the attached request.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED IN IS UNCLASSIFIED

- 1026

JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

MALTA , HART, MICH. MALTA , MONIALE, MINH. MALTA , MUNIALE, MINH. MALTA D. MUDOLESTON, NYS MALTA DORCAN, NG.

51

11- 55077 - Roc Id 3/989534

_Page_172

AUG 29 1975

DOLESTON, NY AN, NG. OLG. WILLIAM G, MILLER, STAFF DIREKTOR

BARRY GOLDWATER, AR

WILLIAM G. MILLEN, STAFF DIRETON FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIN R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

# Aniled States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO 5. RES. 21, 14TH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

#### August 28, 1975

Honorable Edward H. Levi Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D. C. 20530

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED MRU

1026

Dear Mr. Attorney General:

I would like to bring to your personal attention a situation which has severely restricted our efforts to establish the relevant facts with respect to one of the areas of alleged abuse which is the subject of inquiry pursuant to S. Res. 21.

I have been informed by the staff that numerous materials which have been requested during the past several weeks which pertain to the FBI's activities with respect to Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr., have been withheld from the Committee by the Department because of concern expressed by Mr. Harry Wachtel, the attorney for the King family and estate, as to the appropriateness of the Department's "disseminating" to the Committee contents of conversations emonitored during electronic surveillances of Dr. King.

Upon reviewing the lists of materials requested, I am at a loss to understand how most of those items could conceivably be encompassed by the issues raised by Mr. Wachtel, especially in view of the fact that our letters of request have specifically pointed out that tape recordings and transcripts should not be supplied.

Accordingly, I want to take this opportunity to place the Committee formally on record as reaffirming all of the outstanding requests for materials relating to the King matters, with the limited exception of (1) Mr. DeLoach's August 24 - 27, 1964 memoranda which pertain to the 1964 Democratic National Convention, and (2) those portions of any other requested materials which actually summarize or quote from conversations monitored during any electronic surveillance of Dr. King. Honorable Edward H. Levi Page 2

August 28, 1975

Delivery is requested by Wednesday, September 3, 1975, pursuant to the provisions of the general procedural agreement between the Department and the Committee which governs all Committee requests. I understand that the Bureau has been collecting the King-related materials upon receipt of each of our requests, and therefore I presume that there will be no substantial burden in meeting the September 3, date.

Your cooperation is appreciated.

I am taking the liberty of sending Mr. Wachtel a copy of this request.

sincerely, Frank Church

Chairman

CC: Harry Wachtel

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-74) FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20535
Addressee: SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE
LTR X LHM Memo Report dated <u>10/28/75</u> U.S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE. Caption of Document:
8/27/75 supplement to 8/26/75
Part I, Items 7c, (1) thru (12)
Originating Office: FBI 7-P
Delivered by: Richard I. Taylor Date: 10/30/75
Received by: Junsey arts
Title: Oler R
Ceturn this receipt to the Intelligence Division, FBI

.

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DETE 11-29-2000 BY SPLACM MO MM-K	ALL INFORMATION	CONTAINED
	HEREIN IS UNCLASS	IFTED AS IM AN
M70-11-	DATE 11-29-2000 B	Y SPORCHIMD
	MIR-IL	, `V

62-116395= 1026

÷.,

TO: Intel	Ligence Comm	mity Stoff	FROM:			i.
ATTN: Centra	-	milly order	PROPI:			
				FBI		
SUBJECT: Al	ostract of In	nformation Pro	vided to Se	lect Commi	ttees	
	(check appropria t not transmitte	ate term. If a doc ed. so note.)	ument was made	available	2. DATE PROVIDED	
	BRIEFING	INTERVIEW	TESTIMONY	OTHER	na 100 las	
DOCUMENT	BRIEFING	INIERVIEW	TESTIMONT	JOINER	10/28/75	
	<u></u>					
3. TO WHOM PROVI	DED (check appro	opriate term; add	specific names	if appropriat	te)	
SSC						
					r.	
HSC	N (peride dece	inting data for 1				
<ol> <li>IDENTIFICATIO interviewee,</li> </ol>	N (provide desci testifier and su	ibject)	ocuments; give i	name of ident	ification number of br	iete
Memoran	ium and car	closures				
and the second s		and the set of the set of the set				
		item number if i				
wise state ve	chal request of			<b>(</b> , )	INFORMAT	
wise state ve	rbal request of	(name), initiativ	e, subpoend, etc	-	U, C, S,	TS
wise state ve	rbal request of	(name), initiativ	e, subpoend, etc	-	U, C, S, Codeword	TS
					Codeword	TS
SSC let	ters 8/26/1	75  and  8/27			Codeword	TS
550 let 7c (1-1	ters 8/26/7 2)	75 and 8/27,	/75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword	TS )
<b>550 let:</b> <b>7c (1-1</b> ) 7. KEY WORDS (en	ters 8/26/7 2)	75 and 8/27,	/75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword	TS )
<b>550 let:</b> <b>7c (1-1</b> ) 7. KEY WORDS (en	ters 8/26/1 2)	75 and 8/27,	/75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword	TS )
<b>550 let:</b> <b>7c (1-1</b> ) 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin	ters 8/26/1 2) ter the appropri e for emphasis)	75 and 8/27,	/75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword	TS )
SSC lot 7c (1-1) 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Survcil)	ters 8/26/2 2) ter the appropri e for emphasis)	75 and 8/27,	/75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword	TS )
SSC let 7c (1-1) 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil Inforia	ters 8/26/2 2) ter the appropri e for emphasis) Lunce, election handl	75 and 8/27, hate key words fro etomic=	75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword	TS )
SSC let 7c (1-1) 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil Inforia	ters 8/26/2 2) ter the appropri e for emphasis) Lunce, election handl	75 and 8/27,	75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword	TS )
SSC let 7c (1-1) 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil Inforia	ters 8/26/2 2) ter the appropri e for emphasis) Lunce, election handl	75 and 8/27, hate key words fro etomic=	75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword	TS )
SSC let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Inforil: 8. SUMMARY (see	ters 8/26/2 ter the appropri- e for emphasis) Lance, election handle reverse side bef	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic ing	75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1	TS )
SSC let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous	ters 8/26/2 ter the appropri- e for emphasis) Lance, ele tion handle reverse side bel nemoranda	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctonic- ing ore completing th relating to	75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1	TS )
SSC let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous	ters 8/26/2 ter the appropri- e for emphasis) Lance, election handle reverse side bef	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctonic- ing ore completing th relating to	75, Part	I, Itens	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1	TS )
SSC let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous	ters 8/26/2 ter the appropri- e for emphasis) Lance, ele tion handle reverse side bel nemoranda	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on."	75, Part is the list prov.	I, Itens	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1	TS )
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa:	ters 8/26/2 ter the appropri- e for emphasis) Lance, election handle reverse side bel nemoranda L Conventio	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on."	75, Part 1 m the list prov. is item) > "Disrupt LL INFORMAT	I, Items ided separate	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1	TS )
SSC let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Inforila 8. SUMMARY (see Various Nationa: 62-1163	ters 8/26/2 ter the appropri- e for emphasis) Lance, election handle reverse side bel nemoranda L Conventio	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on."	75, Part is the list prov.	I, Items ided separate	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1	TS )
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/2 ter the appropri- e for emphasis) Lunce, election handle reverse side bel memoranda Lonvention 05	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctonic ing ore completing th relating to on."	75, Part m the list prov. is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNC TTE <u>M-34-3000</u>	ided separate	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 PED Acm AD	TS )
SSC let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Inforila 8. SUMMARY (see Various Nationa: 62-1163	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, Tate key words fro ctomic: ing fore completing th relating to on." All Hill DA	75, Part is item) is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNCO ITE <u>M-24-300</u> SON TO CEN	ided separate ided separate ion of D ion contat LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 Pemocratic NED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctonic ing ore completing th relating to on."	75, Part is item) is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNCO ITE <u>M-24-300</u> SON TO CEN	ided separate ided separate ion of D ion contat LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 Pemocratic NED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, Tate key words fro ctomic: ing fore completing th relating to on." All Hill DA	75, Part is item) is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNCO ITE <u>M-24-300</u> SON TO CEN	ided separate ided separate ion of D ion contat LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 Pemocratic NED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on." Al Al MAL VIA LIAIS MECTION WITH	75, Part 1 m the list prov. is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNC TTE <u>M-24-2000</u> NON TO CEN I SENSTUDY	I, Itens ided separate ided separate ion of D ion of D ION CONTAL LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM 75	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 PEROCRATIC INED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on." Al Al MAL VIA LIAIS MECTION WITH	75, Part 1 m the list prov. is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNC TTE <u>M-24-2000</u> NON TO CEN I SENSTUDY	I, Itens ided separate ided separate ion of D ion of D ION CONTAL LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM 75	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 PEROCRATIC INED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on." Al Al MAL VIA LIAIS MECTION WITH	75, Part 1 m the list prov. is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNC TTE <u>M-24-2000</u> NON TO CEN I SENSTUDY	I, Itens ided separate ided separate ion of D ion of D ION CONTAL LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM 75	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 PEROCRATIC INED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on." Al Al MAL VIA LIAIS MECTION WITH	75, Part is item) is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNCO ITE <u>M-24-300</u> SON TO CEN	I, Itens ided separate ided separate ion of D ion of D ION CONTAL LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM 75	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 PEROCRATIC INED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on." Al Al MAL VIA LIAIS MECTION WITH	75, Part 1 m the list prov. is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNC TTE <u>M-24-2000</u> NON TO CEN I SENSTUDY	I, Itens ided separate ided separate ion of D ion of D ION CONTAL LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM 75	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 PEROCRATIC INED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste
950 let: 7c (1-1: 7. KEY WORDS (en used underlin Surveil: Infonic: 8. SUMMARY (see Varlous Nationa: 62-1163 FMK: fmk	ters 8/26/1 ter the appropri- for emphasis) Lance, election handli reverse side bel nemoranda Convention 05 ORIGIN/	75 and 8/27, ate key words fro ctomic- ing fore completing th relating to on." Al Al MAL VIA LIAIS MECTION WITH	75, Part 1 m the list prov. is item) Disrupt LL INFORMAT EREIN IS UNC TTE <u>M-24-2000</u> NON TO CEN I SENSTUDY	I, Itens ided separate ided separate ion of D ion of D ION CONTAL LASSIFIED BY SPC DR-1 TRAL COM 75	Codeword TS Ply; if key words not 1 PEROCRATIC INED Acm/AD MUNITY INDEX	TS iste

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.

• "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.

• If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

<u>SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY</u> - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz (1 - J. B. Hotis) 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall October 28, 1975 1 - Mr. H. N. Bassett 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. J. G. Deegan 1 - Mr. R. D. Shea Jenur t

The Attorney General

Director, FBI

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to 350 letter dated August 27, 1975, to Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination, which attached an August 27, 1975, supplement to an SSC request of August 26, 1975. The supplement requested delivery of material in Part I, Items 7c. (1) through (12).

Enclosed for your approval and forwarding to the Committee is the original of a memorandum in response to the Items requested in Part I, Items 7c, (1) through (12) of the August 27, 1975, supplement.

Also enclosed for your records is a copy of the memorandum which is being delivered to you with a set of the materials which are being delivered to the SSC.

Being delivered are two sets of materials. One set contains data pertaining to the possible prosecution of fonser Assistant to the Director William C. Sullivan. The Department presently has pending a request for a prosecutive opinion as to the possible violation of Federal laws by Sullivan.

In view of above it is being left to your discretion whether you want to forward to the SSC both sets or retain the set that contains the data that may bear on the possible prosecution of Sullivan. Delineced top 5 Delineced top 5 Delineced top 5 Delineced top 5 Delineced top 5

ROUTE

Enclosures - 2

62-116395

1 - The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Michael E. Sheheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

RDS:cah/adn (11)

SEE NOTE PAGE TWO 1026

Part 1, 70 (1-12)

ORIGINAL AND ONE TO AG

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 177

1 - Mr. J B. Adams 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar The Attorney Géneral October 23, 1975 Director. FBI 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips U. S. STNATE SELECT COMMITTEE ' 12-24-95 P. 10/410 ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC) L Enclosed is the original of a memorandum concerning an interview of former FBI Special Agent William J. McDonnell by an SSC Staff Member. Also enclosed is a copy of the memorandum for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter, Associate Counsel to the President. TO DEPT. Enclosures (2) ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEFEIN IS UNCLASSIF 62-116395 DATE /-1 - The Deputy Attorney General Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Attention: Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination 1 - 67-(Personnel file former SA William J. McDonnell)

 $\frac{1 - 6}{2} \left( SFP:eks \right)$ 

NELOSUR

534

TELETYPE UNIT

Page 178

Assoc. Dir.

Asst. Dir.: Admin. _____ Comp. Syst. . Ext. Affairs . Files & Com. Gen. Inv. ____ Ident. _____

Inspection

Intell. _____ Laboratory _ Plan. & Eva

Dep. AD Adm. __ Dep. AD inv. ___

**REC 68** I NOV 14 1975 1000

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

1 - Mr. B Adams 1 - Mr. J. A Mintz 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. A Branigan 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

October 23, 1975

GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

62-116395

U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY COVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

RE: INTERVIEN OF FORMER FBI SPECIAL AGENT (SA) <u>VILLIAM J. MCDONENELL</u> BY AN SSC STAFF MEMBER

This memorandum concerns the interview of former FBI SA William J. McDonnell by an SSC Staff Member.

On September 16, 1975, Mr. James Dick, Staff Member of the SSC, requested of the Legal Counsel Division of the FBI that former FBI SA William J. McDonnell be made available for a Staff interview concerning his knowledge of the FBI's use of the (MSA (National Security Agency) Watch List for monitoring of international communications and on the subject of mail openings.

The Legal Counsel Division subsequently advised McDonnell that he had been released from his confidentiality agreement with the FBI for the purpose of the interview confined to the above subject matters. It was pointed out to McDonnell that mail openings are currently under inquiry by the Department of Justice for possible criminal culpability Assoc. Dir. ____ and that since his appearance for interview was a voluntarily. Assoc. Dir. _ Dep. AD Inv. _ One, he should give consideration to this particular aspect Asst. Dir .: of the interview. Admin. Comp. Syst. _ Ext. Affairs _ The following is McDonnell's report of the interview Files & Com. _ WPW/Tul-Gen. Inv. e's voluntarily furnished by him: Ident. Inspection Intell (Personnel file former SA William J. McDonnell) 1 - 67-I aborate 1025 SFP:eks (10) STE NOTE PAGE 5

TELETYPE UNIT

MAIL ROOM

NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 Page 179

Director Sec'y 4-

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC) RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI SA BY SSC

> Senate Select Committee on Intelligence; Interview Former Agent William J. McDonnell September 19, 1975, Washington, D. C.

Mr. James V. Dick, Counsel, captioned Committee, on September 16, 1975, telephonically requested me, <u>William J.</u> <u>McDonnell</u>, to appear for interview at the Dirksen Office Building, Washington, D. C. Such interview was accomplished September 19, 1975, following a briefing and clearances by the Bureau on that date. No oath was administered, nor was a reporter present.

At the outset, Mr. Dick traced my career in the Bureau, January, 1941 - January, 1975, offices of assignment, and dates thereof with, seemingly, emphasis on specific duties while assigned the New York Office (NYO), October, 1946 -July, 1960.

#### Mail Intercepts

Dick asked a series of questions regarding my knowledge of mail intercept operations while assigned the NYO. I responded that I was in no way involved with any such operations and had no personal knowledge regarding such activity while so assigned. Following background inquiries regarding Joseph Schmit as head of the NYO Intelligence Division and SA John Danahy's assignment as supervisor of a Soviet section, he asked if I had been present or participated in a briefing of then Attorney General Robert Kennedy at the NYO with regard to the interception of mail. I pointed out that I was not assigned the NYO during Kennedy's term as Attorney General, having been assigned Bureau Headquarters in July, 1960; that therefore I was not present or knowledgeable concerning such briefing. I explained that I had met Robert Kennedy, briefly and socially, in a reception line at Washington, D. C., in 1962, and on no other occasion.

SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC) RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI SA BY SSC

Dick asked if during the time I was supervising Intelligence Sections in the NYO were such sections engaged in mail intercepts. I responded that they were not.

Dick asked if at any time from 1960 on was I aware of mail intercepts on the part of the NYO or elsewhere. He was advised that I became aware of such activity indirectly through a Bureau memorandum and a specific sensitive investigative matter. Dick did not further pursue this matter.

### Watch Lists/NSA

In response to questions, Dick was advised that I was unable to state when the practice of Watch Lists, or name checks at the NSA originated; that the names were determined by the supervisory case Agent on a selective basis, that is whether or not the individual traveled or had contacts abroad or, in fact, resided abroad and was of interest from a foreign or counterintelligence standpoint. Such requests for a check could be made by periodically submitting a list, supplemental individual requests, or by telephone if time was a consideration. Lists or requests could be transmitted to NSA by courier, liaison, telephone, and teletype. NSA did not mount any overt action or programs responsive to such lists or checks, but provided data on hand or as it became available.7 Meetings were held on occasions between FBI and NSA personnel for the purpose of acquainting such personnel as to the Bureau's desires and NSA capabilities.

Dick asked if the Bureau was in a position to provide a Watch List that was in effect at a given time and was advised that from experience this would not be possible, nor would a review of case files supply such information since the procedure was not formalized to that extent.

3 -

# SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC) RE: INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI SA BY SSC

Dick was advised that the bulk of data received from NSA was provided on a determination by NSA that it would be of FBI interest rather than in response to a specific FBI request; that NSA was selective concerning the data provided, realizing the FBI was not equipped, manpower-wise, or in communications areas to absorb the voluminous distribution by NSA to other agencies: Central Intelligence Agency, Defense Intelligence Agency, etc., and was primarily responsible and interested only in data of security or counterintelligence value.

Dick was advised that data received from NSA of a communications intelligence nature and so classified would not appear in an investigative case file, but received special handling. Much of such data was destroyed following an evaluation by the desk supervisor, and if retained, was maintained in a special file room under stringent access controls.

Regarding the Watch Lists, Dick asked if the Bureau submitted any lists to NSA or made any requests subsequent to Attorney General Richardson's letter instructing that no such requests be made of NSA without Department approval. He was advised that I know of no such requests and that NSA had been advised by the Bureau regarding Richardson's letter.

Dick asked if I knew of any instance where U. S. citizens may have appeared on such lists. I advised that I could not recall, but speculated that it would be logical for Black Panthers headquartered in Algeria to be on the list or known terrorists traveling or residing abroad since the FBI did have the responsibility for determining any foreign direction, control or financing of domestic individuals or organizations.

### SEMATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

INTERVIEW OF FORMER FBI SA BY SSC RE:

In conclusion, Mr. Dick commented that he did not think there would be any need on the part of the Committee to recall me.

### NOTE:

Legal Counsel Division contacts with SSC Staff Member Dick and McDonnell were by Supervisor P. V. Daly. McDonnell's report was submitted as a handwritten statement to the Bureau and typed into the LHM as above.

<b>`</b>	CLASSIFY AS	APPROPRIATE		INSTRUCTIONS ON REVERSE
TO: Intelligence Communi ATTN: Central Index	ty Staff	FROM:	BI	
SUBJECT: Abstract of Info	ormation Provide	ed to Select Co	mmittees	
<ol> <li>HOW PROVIDED (check appropriate for review but not transmitted,</li> </ol>		was made available	2. DATE	PROVIDED
DOCUMENT BRIEFING	INTERVIEW TE	STIMONY OTHER	10/	23/75
3. TO WHOM PROVIDED (check appropr	iate term: add speci	fic names if approp	riate)	
As a second seco	House	with a copy f		
lienorandum reportin Member of former Sp				
5. IN RESPONSE TO (list date and in wise state verbal request of (na			uest, other-	6. CLASSIFICATION OF INFORMATION (enter U, C, S, TS or Codeword)
NЛ				U
<ul> <li>7. KEY WORDS (enter the appropriate used underline for emphasis)</li> <li>Intelligenceollecti Information handlin</li> <li>8. SUMMARY (see reverse side before</li> <li>Interviewed concern</li> </ul>	ON B completing this it	em)		
mail openings	•			
62-116395	ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 1-29-200 BY SPLACK PRO MDC-16			
	VIA LIAISON TION WITH SE	to central C Instudy 75	OMMUNITY	Y INDEX
		S VELLO		sivolfs
3791 (6-75)	CLASSIFY AS	APPROPRIATE		

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 184

- - 7

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.

• "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.

• If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

<u>SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY</u> - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.

OCT 30 A975 NR ØØ7 RH PLAIN TELEVYPE 9:00 PM NITEL OCT 30, 1975 PRH TO: DIRECTOR, FBI (62-116395) < FROM : ACTING SAC, RICHMOND (66-2757) ATTENTION: INTELLIGENCE DIVISION, W. O. CREGAR SENSTUDY 75

DocId:32989534 Page 186

RE RICHMOND TELETYPE TO BUREAU DATED OCT. 29, 1975.

ERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

COMMUNICATIONS SECTION

ON OCT. 30, 1975, CARL E. HENNRICH, 1617 ST. ANNE'S RD., CHARLOTTESVILLE. VA.. FORMER SAC RETIRED. WAS RECONTACTED AND ADVISED HE HAD NOT AS OF YET BEEN CONTACTED CONCERNING HIS APPEARANCE BEFORE ANY SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE OR BY ANY REPRESENTATIVE THEREOF. HE STATED IF AND WHEN CONTACTED. HE INTENDS TO DISCUSS HIS HEALTH PROBLEM WITH THEM AND FEELS IT WOULD BE MOST DIFFICULT FOR HIM TO TRAVEL ANY DISTANCE FOR AN APPEARANCE. HE NOTED IF CONTACTED. HE WOULD THEN CONTACT OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL AT BUREAU CONCERNING HIS APPEARANCE.

Train g Legal ( Teleph Direct

Assoc. I . Dep.-A.U.-AG

Admin. Comp. Svit.

Ident.

Labor. Plan. & Eval

Dep.-A.D-Inv. Asst. Di :

Ext. Affairs Files & Com. Gen. Inv.

Inspection Intoli. W

Spec. Inv.

END. **REC-48** -33786 (Henneith 15 NOV 121975 COPY RETAINED IN PERSOLLEL RECORD  $\iota^{\iota}$ ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED 8 4 NOV 1 8 1975

DEPENDENCE OF PLAIN N R 202 PH PLAIN 6:13 PM NITEL OCT 29, 1975 PRH OCT 29 1975 TO: DIPECTOR, FBI (62-116395) FPOM: PICHMOND (66-2757) -PUC-ATTENTION: INTO (MR. W. O. CPEGER) SENSTING, 1975. PE BUTELCAL TO RICHMOND, OCTOBER 29, 1975.

CAPL F. HENNRICH, CLERK OF COUPT, CHARLOTTESVILLE, VIRGINIA, CIPCUIT COURT, CONTACTED THIS DATE AND APPRAISED OF INDICATION FROM SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES THAT THEY MAY DESIRE TO INTERVIEW HIM.

HENNPICH ADVISED AS OF THIS TIME, HE DOES NOT ANTICIPATE CONTACTING THE OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL, FRI HEADQUARTERS, IN VIEW OF FACT HE HAS NO INDICATION RE SPECIFIC INQUIRIES BY THE COMMITTEE. HENNRICH STATED, IF AT ANY LATER TIME SUBSEQUENT OF DURING INTERVIEW BY THE COMMITTEE, HE FEELS IT A MECESSITY, HE WILL THEN CONTACT THE OFFICE OF LEGAL COUNSEL DIRECTLY.

62-1 5 REC-48 END. 67 / 33786 Honword ST-111 15 NOV 12 1975 CERTAINED YOU ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

11000

2 4 1975

DocId:32989534 Page 187

Ext. Affairs . Files & Com. Gen. Inv. Ident. Inspection Laboratory _ Plan. & Eval. Spec. Inv. Training _ Legal Coun Telephone Rm Director Sec

Assoc. Dir. _____ Dep.-A.D.-Adm.___ Dep.-A.D.-Inv.____

Asst. Dir.:

Admin.

Comp. Syst.



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 1-29-200 BY STUHLM MDR-16

~

NOV 20 1975

1 Sh St.

7



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

NOV 1 0 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation


FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee request dated November 7, which is self-explanatory. Please prepare an appropriate response.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCL DATE 11-29-

cc: Paul Daly



62-116395-1021 EX 104

15 NOV 12 1975

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. PLAT. MICH. WALTER P. MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. OBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ, CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

Mnited States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, SATH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 7, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Office of Deputy Attorney General Room 4313 U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 11.0900 BYSPLAN MDR-16

Dear Mr. Shaheen:

I want you to know how much I appreciated the opportunity to meet with you and your associates the other day about the Martin Luther King, Jr. case. It was most helpful to have the benefit of the Bureau's knowledge concerning the background of the case, and I can assure you that we will do everything possible to avoid joepardizing any sensitive FBI sources or ongoing operations.

I do want to reiterate our need for the specific items set forth in earlier document requests which would presumably establish the factual basis for your conclusion as of various dates in 1962, 1963 and 1964 that Stanley Levison was a "secret member of the Communist Party, USA." Of course in the event that there is no particular document in any of those years wherein Levison was so-identified to the FBI. I think it would be helpful for you to let us know so that we may consider our outstanding requests in that 1. context. Finally, of course, we still need unexcised copies of the February 22 and June 12, 1962 communications from New York which appear to have been the articulated predicates for the "cominfil" case.

Once again, I want to thank you for your time and I look forward to hearing from you further on this subject.



Sincerely. Frank Church

Chairman

ENCLOSURE 62-116395-1021

NET 01975

- TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation
- FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination


SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee request dated November 7, which is self-explanatory. Please prepare an appropriate response.

an a nanaan

cc: Paul Daly

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEBEIN IS UNOI DESTA LOG MDR-16



Attached is a Senate Select Committee request seeking additional materials pertaining to Joseph Kraft. Please prepare an appropriate response. This office shall effect transmittal after Mr. Jack Fuller of the Attorney General's office has reviewed these additional materials with Mr. Kraft's attorney, Mr. Lloyd Cutler.

LEGAL

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED MEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED hoa-la

Jack Fuller cc: Paul Daly

ş

-ENGLOSURE.

REC-2 62-116395-1020 EX 104 , V 15 NOV 12 1975

1 6 1975 DocId: 32989534 Page 192

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO. XAS, VICE CHAIRMAN HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

# Mnited States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 7, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Office of Deputy Attorney General Room 4313 U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 11-24-2010 BY SP2

Dear Mike:

iodia 3989534

Page 193

By letter of July 28, 1975, the Senate Select Committee requested delivery of "materials pertaining to the reported investigation of columnist Joseph Kraft in 1969, other than the 'logs' of any surveillance" (Part III-A).

On October 22, 1975, the SSC received a portion of these materials. Numerous serials in the FBI file on surveillance of Mr. Kraft were not included in the materials received, however, apparently because of a difference in interpretation of the term "logs." Request for delivery is specifically made, therefore, for the following serials (with enclosures and attachments) in FBI file 65-75629: Serials #1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, and 24.

Excluded from this request are the logs of any surveillance, by which the SSC means transcripts of overhears. A memorandum from A. B. Fulton to W. R. Wannall, "Subject: Lloyd N. Cutler, Attorney for Joseph Kraft," dated June 10, 1975, which was provided to the SSC on October 22, 1975, notes that "the only transcripts of the overhears which were located are contained as an enclosure to 65-75629-16." Our request, therefore, does not encompass this enclosure. Summaries of information obtained from any surveillance of Mr. Kraft, however, are specifically included in our request.

We are cognizant of the agreement between Mr. A cutler and the Attorney General with regard to materials pentaining to the reported investigation of Joseph Kraft, and our request is made subject to the conditions of this NUV agreement.

(12-116375-1020 ENCLOSURE

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Page Two

November 7, 1975

In addition to the materials described above; the following materials are also requested for delivery to the Committee:

1. All materials pertaining to the opening of mail to or from Gilbert Stuart and Virginia R. Stuart during the years 1960 and 1961, by FBI agents in or around the cities of New York and Providence, Rhode Island. (This request encompasses materials located in FBI Headquarters, the New York Field Office, and the Resident Agency in Providence, Rhode Island.)

2. All materials pertaining to contacts by FBI and Department of Justice officials and agents with Committee members or staff members of the so-called "Long Committee" (the Subcommittee on Administrative Practice and Procedure of the Senate Judiciary Committee) in regard to that Committee's investigation in 1965 into the use of mail covers and other investigative techniques by federal agencies.

Sincerely,

John T. Elliff Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force

NOV 0 1975

- TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation
- FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee request seeking additional materials pertaining to Joseph Kraft. Please prepare an appropriate response. This office shall effect transmittal after Mr. Jack Fuller of the Attorney General's office has reviewed these additional materials with Mr. Kraft's attorney, Mr. Lloyd Cutler.

cc: Jack Fuller Paul Daly

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIE MNL-16 Alsian UNCLASSIFIED HEREIN I BY. DATE



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

Differ

NOV 1 0 1975

TO: John A. Mintz, Assistant Director Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation

FROM: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Senate Select Committee Request

Attached is a Senate Select Committee request seeking additional materials pertaining to Joseph Kraft. Please prepare an appropriate response. This office shall effect transmittal after Mr. Jack Fuller of the Attorney General's office has reviewed these additional materials with Mr. Kraft's attorney, Mr. Lloyd Cutler.

cc: Jack Fuller Paul Daly

62-116395-1020

رس مر 77 DocId:32989534 Page 196

Hmito SSC

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRD JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAI

PHILIP A. HART, MEH. WALTER F. MONDALT, MINN. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C.

GARY HART, COLO.

HOWARD H. BAKEN, JR., TENN, BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MU. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINGRITY COUNSEL

## Alniled States Benate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 14TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

### November 7, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Office of Deputy Attorney General Room 4313 U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE V-24200 BY SPLAIMAP MARY

By letter of July 28, 1975, the Senate Select Committee requested delivery of "materials pertaining to the reported investigation of columnist Joseph Kraft in 1969, other than the 'logs' of any surveillance" (Part III-A).

On October 22, 1975, the SSC received a portion of these materials. Numerous serials in the FBI file on surveillance of Mr. Kraft were not included in the materials received, however, apparently because of a difference in interpretation of the term "logs." Request for delivery is specifically made, therefore, for the following serials (with enclosures and attachments) in FBI file 65-75629: Serials #1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 25, and 24.

Excluded from this request are the logs of any surveillance, by which the SSC means transcripts of overhears. A memorandum from A. B. Fulton to W. R. Wannall, "Subject: Lloyd N. Cutler, Attorney for Joseph Kraft," dated June 10, 1975, which was provided to the SSC on October 22, 1975, notes that "the only transcripts of the overhears which were located are contained as an enclosure to 65-75629-16." Our request, therefore, does not encompass this enclosure. Summaries of information obtained from any surveillance of Mr. Kraft, however, are specifically included in our request.

We are cognizant of the agreement between Mr. Al Cutler and the Attorney General with regard to materials Rectaining to the reported investigation of Joseph Kraft, and our request is made subject to the conditions of this NUV agreement.

4 🗜 Age 197 👝

NW 55077

Dân

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Page Two

55077 DocId:32989534 Page 198

November 7, 1975


In addition to the materials described above; the following materials are also requested for delivery to the Committee:

1. All materials pertaining to the opening of mail to or from Gilbert Stuart and Virginia R. Stuart during the years 1960 and 1961, by FBI agents in or around the cities of New York and Providence, Rhode Island. (This request encompasses materials located in FBI Headquarters, the New York Field Office, and the Resident Agency in Providence, Rhode Island.)

2. All materials pertaining to contacts by FBI and Department of Justice officials and agents with Committee members or staff members of the so-called "Long Committee" (the Subcommittee on Administrative . Practice and Procedure of the Senate Judiciary Committee) in regard to that Committee's investigation in 1965 into the use of mail covers and other investigative techniques by federal agencies.

Sincerely,

John T. Elliff Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force



ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN, IS UNCLASSIFIED

cc: Paul Daly

REC-2 63-116375-1019 - BEOSURE, EX 104 15 NOV 12 1975 - Ad Hor - 1 11-10-75 HEGAL GOJ 62-116395 1 6 1975 DocId:32989534 Page 199 NW 55077

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. > WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HUDGCESTON, KY. ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO.

NOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN. BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL

# Anited States Benate

STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, MITH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

### November 7, 1975

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE USSOON BY SMACK MORIC

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:

As a follow-up to our briefing of Assistant Director Wannall on November 6 concerning our proposed format and witnesses for public hearings on December 4-5 on the FBI's use of intelligence informants and sources, we would like to make the following requests.

As discussed in our meeting with Mr. Wannall, we invite the Bureau to submit a memorandum on its intelligence informant and source program that could be inserted in the record of the testimony of Bureau representatives at This memorandum would enable the Bureau to the hearing. present to the Select Committee a complete description of its program and to discuss the issues of particular interest to the Gommittee members. These include, for example, 1) the size, scope and purpose of the program, including an assessment of the program's cost and effectiveness, and the distinctions and relationships between the intelligence informant program and the Bureau's criminal informant activity; 2) the criteria that determine when an informant may be used in an organization or group; and 3) the program's command and control system, including the limits that are placed on the activities of informants and the type of information an informant or source is permitted to report to his handling agent and the Bureau. In addition, the memorandum would discuss possible leg-Eislation in the informant area, such as the imposition of warrant requirement or additional administrative re-Mer To permit the Select Committee members to study this memorandum in advance of the hearing and to discuss it With FBT representatives during their testimony at the public hearing, we request that the memorandum be submitted by November 24.

62-116395-1019 ENCLOSURE

NW 55077 Decrar32989534 Page 200

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. November 7, 1975 Page 2

Also I am enclosing requests for documents relating to two of the three former FBI informants who are scheduled to testify on December 4. These requests supplement our document request of August 20, 1975 pertaining to informants (Part IV-4).

In addition, we wish to interview and possibly depose the Special Agents who handled the three former informants (Rowe, Hardy and Cook) who are scheduled to testify at the public hearing on December 4. Because of the limited time remaining before the scheduled hearings, we request that the Special Agents be made available for interviews and depositions as soon as possible.

Sincerely,

John Elliff Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force

 cc: Paul V. Daly
 Office of Congressional Affairs Federal Bureau of Investigation

### DOCUMENT REQUEST

 The following documents and materials relating to Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., whether in the custody or possession of the FBI Birmingham, Alabama office or elsewhere:


a) All documents and materials reflecting contacts and reports of contacts (and the substance thereof) between Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. and FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

b) All documents and materials reflecting information supplied by Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. to FBI Special Agents (and Bureau Field or Headquarters summaries thereof) from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

c) All documents and materials relating to any guidance, directions, instructions, or suggestions given to Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. by FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

d) All documents and materials relating to Bureau and Field Office supervision of the handling of Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr. by FBI Special Agents from January 1, 1960 to April 1, 1965.

e) All documents and materials relating to payments of money to, or other financial arrangements between, Gary Thomas Rowe, Jr., the FBI, and the Justice Department from March 25, 1965 to December 31, 1966.



Attached is another Senate Select Committee document request with respect to the Martin Luther King, Jr., matter. Please prepare an appropriate response.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED



cc: Paul Daly

REC-Z 62-116 395- 1018

EX 104

15 NOV 12 1975

LEGAL

ENCLOSURE

Addoe 2989534 Page 203

FHANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILIP A. HART, MICH. YH WALTER F. MÖNDALE, DIINN. E WALTER C. HUDDLESTON, KY. C ROBERT MORGAN, N.C. F GARY HART, COLO.

AAB, VICE CHAIRMAN *HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN, BARRY GOLDWATER, ARIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD. RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA.

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL



## Aniled States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS)

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 7, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Office of Deputy Attorney General Room 4313 U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mike:


Attached is a document request with respect to the King matter.


In view of the fact that public hearings on this case are now scheduled for the week of November 17, I would appreciate receiving the materials identified on the attached list, as well as others still outstanding which pertain to the King case, by Friday, November 7, 1975.

Sincerely,

17-Elliff

John T. Elliff Director Domestic Intelligence Task Force





62-116395-1018

ENCLOSURE

DOCUMENT REQUEST - November 7, 1975

1. All materials contained in the files of William C. Sullivan which pertain to Martin Luther King, Jr., and/or the SCLC, which have not been previously made available to the Committee. (Except for any portions containing transcripts or summaries or tapes of electronic surveillances of King.)

2. All memoranda and any other materials reflecting . conversations between Mr. Evans and (a) Attorney General Kennedy and/or (b) Assistant Attorney General Marshall, pertaining to Martin Luther King and/or the Southern Christian Leadership Conference.

3. The memorandum dated 11/27/64 which is referred to in the first paragraph of the second page of the memorandum from M. A. Jones to Mr. DeLoach, dated 12/8/64, captioned "Martin Luther King, Jr., Possible Appearance Before Baptist World Alliance Congress in June, 1965, Miami Beach, Florida." (Serial No. 624)

4. All memoranda and any other materials reflecting proposals, discussions, decisions, or accomplishments pertaining to permitting anyone outside the FBI to "listen to sources" pertaining to Martin Luther King, Jr.



OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL WASHINGTON, D.C. 20530

NOV 7 1975

John A. Mintz, Assistant Director TO: Legal Counsel Division Federal Bureau of Investigation

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence FROM: - Coordination

Senate Select Committee Request SUBJECT:

> Attached is a letter from the Senate Select Committee requesting declassification of certain documents enclosed with that request. We forward them for your attention and appropriate action.

	ION CONTAINED			
m DR-16				

Paul Daly cc:

ENCLOSURE

2 5 1975 DocId:32989534 Page 206 HW

1017 62-116395-

EX 104

15 NOV 12 1975

LEGAL KOUNSEL <

62-116395

-BI

FRANK CHURCH, IDAHO, CHAIRMAN JOHN G. TOWER, TEXAS, VICE CHAIRMAN

PHILEP A. HART, MICH. S WALTER F. MONDALE, MINN. WALTER D. HUDDLESTON, KY. ROBERTWMONGAN, N.C. GARY HART, COLO. XAS, VICE CHAIRMAN HOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN, BARRY GOLDWATER, ANIZ. CHARLES MC C. MATHIAS, JR., MD, RICHARD S. SCHWEIKER, PA,

WILLIAM G. MILLER, STAFF DIRECTOR FREDERICK A. O. SCHWARZ, JR., CHIEF COUNSEL CURTIS R. SMOTHERS, MINORITY COUNSEL



## Plailed Slales Senale

SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 21, 94TH CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

November 4, 1975

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr., Esq. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination Office of the Deputy Attorney General U. S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE //-29-300 BY SP2MM PMP MDE-16

Dear Mike:

Please have the enclosed documents as received by the Senate Select Committee, declassified for public hearings. They are as follows:

- Excerpts from Former FBI Director Hoover's briefing of the National Security Council, presided over by the President, dated 11/6/58, pages 35-37.
- Excerpts from Former FBI Director Hoover's "off record" statements, as presented to the House Subcommittee of the Committee on Appropriations, for fiscal years 1959 thru 1967.
- 3. Letter from J. Edgar Hoover to the Honorable Robert Cutler dated May 8, 1958.
- 4. Memorandum from the Director FBI to the Attorney General dated May 8, 1958 and captioned Communist Party, USA, Internal Security - C.
- cP

( P

NUV

NW 55077

DocId: 32989534

Page 207

4

11

ICP, KLAN

COINTELPRO/CP

- 5. Letter from J. Edgar Hoover to the Honorable Dean Rusk dated January 10, 1961.
- Letter from J. Edgar Hoover to the Honorable Robert F. Kennedy dated January 10, 1961. This letter has attached to it a five page enclosure entitled Communist Party, USA, dated January 10, 1961.

62-116375-1017

ENCLOSURE

Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. November 4, 1975

Page 2

( O INTELPRO Kla-

CONTELPRO KOM

la

Klan

7. Memorandum from the Director, FBI to the Attorney General dated September 2, 1965 and captioned Penetration and Disruption of Klan Organizations, Racial Matters.

8. Letter from J. Edgar Hoover to the Honorable Letter from J. Edgar Hoover to the Honorable Marvin Watson dated September 2, 1965.

> Memorandum from the Attorney General Nicholas de B. Katzenbach for J. Edgar Hoover, Director Federal Bureau of Investigation, dated September 3, 1965 captioned your Memorandum of September 2, regarding penetration and disruption of Klan organizations.

10. Memorandum from the Director FBI to the Attorney General dated December 19, 1967 and captioned Ku Klux Klan Investigations, FBI accomplishments. This memorandum has attached to it a ten page enclosure entitled Ku Klux Klan Investigations FBI accomplishments, dated December 19, 1967.

11. Memorandum from the Director, FBI, to the Attorney General dated September 17, 1969 and captioned Investigation of Klan Organizations, Racial Matters (Klan).

Sincerely,

John T. Elliff Director Domestic Intelligenct Task Force

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 11-28-200 BY 2/24CM 2011/5/75 LEGAL COUNSEL DIVISION

Attached teletype discloses Michael Madigan, Senate Select Committee Staff Member, was intending to interview Bureau personnel of the San Diego Office concerning Bureau informants during week of 11/10/75. San Diego telephonically advised office of Legal Counsel of this request and were advised that no Bureau personnel should be made available for interview without prior authorization from FBI Headquarters.

Contact of Madigan with San Diego Office was brought to the attention of John T. Elliff, Staff Director of the Domestic Task Force of the Senate Select Committee and Robert Kelly, Staff Member of same Committee. They were advised this was contrary to the understanding between the Bureau and the Committee. Both agreed and have informed Madigan that no further attempts will be made to interview Bureau personnel in the San Diego Office in the absence of a specific request of FBI Headquarters and approval thereof.

Enclosure - Intelligence Division (1 - Mr. Cregar)  $PVD: lad^{2}$  (5)

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 209

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 5010-106 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA GEN, REG. NO. 27 Assoc. Dir. 1 - Mr. J. B. Adams UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Dep. AD Adm. _ 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz Dep. AD Inv. Memorandum Asst. Dir.: 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall Admin. 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs ... Files & Com. 11/7/75 DATE: Mr. W. R. Wannall TO Gen. Inv. 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips Ident. Inspection Later SSantall. egar FROM W. 0 Laboratóry Legal Cour Plan. & Evel. SENSTUDY Spec. Inv. SUBJECT : 75 Training Telephone Rm. **Director Sec'y** 

This informative memorandum, for record purposes, is to record a telephone call from former Assistant Director Robert Wick to Supervisor S. F. Phillips of the Senstudy 75 Project.

Legal Counsel to Mr. J. B. Adams memorandum, 11/5/75, advised that Wick, a vice president of Pan American World Airways in New York City, was in telephonic touch with Mr. Mintz concerning a telephone call Wick had received from Senate Select Committee (SSC) Staff Member Michael Epstein at which time Epstein requested Wick's presence in Washington, D. C., for a Staff interview. The memorandum relates that Epstein told Wick the interview concerned a matter relating to Wick's employment and that Epstein did not further describe the subject matter of the interview. Wick was uncertain as to the possible subject matter and asked Mr. Mintz's advice. It was then determined by Supervisor P. V. Daly of Mr. Mintz's office that the subject matter was Martin Luther King, Jr. Daly determined this by telephoning Epstein.

Wick telephoned Phillips 11/6/75 seeking information relating to the procedures for the interview, Wick indicating that he was planning to come to Washington, D. C., for interview by Epstein at 10:00 a.m., 11/7/75.

101.5

Wick was appropriately briefed, as we have doing with numerous other interviewees, as to procedure, particularly being told that he did not have to answer

62-116395 (Personnel File Former Assistant Director Robert Wick) 1 - 67-SFP: 1hb /hb CONTINUED - OVER (7)

NS 4000 20611975989534 Page 210

INFORMATION CONTAINED

SSIE UL

NID

gi

Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall Re: Senstudy 75 62-116395

questions outside the scope of the indicated subject matter because the subject matter was all he was cleared from his confidentiality agreement with the FBI for discussion. He was also told of the four general privileged areas concerning which he did not have to answer questions, such as information relating to sensitive investigative techniques, information which might identify confidential sources, etc. Wick offered to mail to the Bureau a statement concerning the interview and he was thanked by Phillips for this.

Wick made it a point to advise Phillips that he was rather annoyed at Epstein's approach to him, particularly that Wick had tried on more than one occasion during the telephone conversation with Epstein to pry from Epstein the subject matter of the interview but Epstein persisted in not advising him.

Daly has advised that, when he called Epstein at Mr. Mintz's behest, he asked Epstein why he did not tell Wick the subject matter of the interview and Epstein's reply was that Wick had not asked him. (It should be noted that, when Daly had the conversation with Epstein, it was before Wick's call to Phillips.)

### **OBSERVATIONS:**

The foregoing represents two improprieties on the part of Epstein. The first concerns the fact that, contrary to agreed-upon procedures, he contacted Wick prior to advising the Bureau of his intention to interview Wick. The second concerns divergent reports to us on the Wick-Epstein conversation. Wick made it absolutely clear that he pressed Epstein for specificity as to the subject matter of the

CONTINUED - OVER

### Memorandum to Mr. W. R. Wannall Re: Senstudy 75 62-116395


interview and Epstein refused to tell him. Epstein claims he was not asked by Wick. It is the personal observation of Phillips that, if he had to choose who to believe, there is no doubt but that it is Wick.

ACTION:

, <del>19</del>, 197 in ^Ar

For information and record purposes. None. WRW/TH

- 3 -



PAGE TWO SD 66-1714 MADIGAN WAS INFORMED BEFORE ANY INFORMATION COULD BE FURNISHED BY THE SAN DIEGO OFFICE, IT WOULD BE NECESSARY FOR HIM TO OBTAIN FBIHQ CLEARANCE. MADIGAN THEN INDICATED HE INTENDED TO CONTACT MR. PAUL V. DALY, AT FBIHQ, FOR SUCH QLEARANCE.

THE BUREAU IS REQUESTED TO FURNISH SAN DIEGO WITH APPROPRIATE INSTRUCTIONS IN THIS MATTER.

END

لولاء إر الم.

Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD Adm. Dep. AD Inv. Asst. Dir.: Admin. . Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs Files & Com. October 22, 1975 Gen. Inv. Senator Frank Church m United States Senate Washington, D.C. Septendar - 75 Senator Church: I feel it my duty as a citizen of this country to express my feelings to you about your Senate Intelligence Operations Committee. I firmly believe in the F.B.I. and the C.I.A. and think that they should do whatever they think nessasary to stop the spread of crime and subversion by outside powers. If they think that I'm a part of something that is against the United States then I want them to open my mail or tap my phones until they're convinced one way or the other about my activitys. These two organizations, F.B.I. and C.I.A., were not formed to deal with ANGELS so they shouldn't be expected to act like ANGELS. I strongly believe that you and your committee are wrong and hurting \ the fight that is so important to our country. I urge you to read Ronald Reagans "Viewpoint" October 22, 1975 "The Communist Conspiracy Parts 1 and 2". This I feel will give you a better idea about how your committee is hurting our country. 日 In closing let me say that I do not like form letters....they just FILED waste government money. DRIGINAL Thanks ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFI NI PR-16 Dave South. 1330 N 62nd Waco, Texas 76710 Copies: 62-1163 Ronald Reagan NOT RECORDED Director of the F.B.I. Director of the C.I.A. 46 NOV 12 19/-Senator Lloyd Bentsen Senator John Tower 30 President Gearld Ford 001 29 1975 CORRESPONDENCE 989534 Page 215

OPTIONAL FORM NO. 10 MAY 1962 EDITION GSA FPMR (41 CFR) 101-11.6 UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT Dep morandum 1 - Mr. D. W. Moore Asst. 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall^{Admir} Ext. Affairs Mr. W. R. Wannall (40 free Files & Com. DATE: 10/20/75 Gen. Inv. Ident. 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan Inspection W. A. Branigan FROM Laboratory Legal Coun. 🛃 Plan. & Eval. _ Spec. Inv. SUBJECT: S/ENSTUDY Training Telephone Rm.

During the morning of October 29, 1 received a telephone call from an individual who reported himself as John Lofton, a syndicated writer. Mr. Lofton also stated he was a friend of Assistant Director Donald Moore and I could check his, Mr. Lofton's, credentials with Mr. Moore. Mr. Lofton stated that he had been provided a memorandum which had been prepared for Senator Church apparently by Mr. William Miller, Staff Director of the Senate Select Committee. According to Mr. Lofton, this memorandum reported the details of a briefing by Mr. Wannall and myself which had been provided representatives of the Select Committee Staff concerning Soviet and Soviet-bloc activities on Capitol Hill. Mr. Lofton stated that the memorandum did not back up the statements made by Senator Goldwater that the Soviets had infiltrated seven to nine Senate staffs. According to Mr. Lofton he had first reported Senator Goldwater's statements. Mr. Lofton stated that he was aware that Senator Church's committee, did not favor the FBI and he was therefore seeking my comment on what was said during the briefing with the inference that he, Mr. Lofton, intended to balance the scale. I advised Mr. Lofton that I did not desire to discuss with him any details of the briefing provided the Staff members of the Select Committee.

Mr. Lofton then referred to statements which he attributed to Alsop that the FBI was not permitted to go on Capitol Hill and that Capitol Hill was therefore a safe haven for espionage agents. I referred Mr. Lofton to statements made by Director Kelley and Assistant Director Wannall before the seminar of the American Conservative Union. I told Mr. Lofton that it Enclosure Sent 11-4-75 62-166325-1065WAB:emg (5) WAB:emg

LEGAL COUR

84 Buy U.S. Savings Bonds Regularly on the Payroll Savings Plan NW 55099-100 DocId: 32989534 Page 216

CONTAINED

TUNEOETR ATTON

g





W. A. Branigan to Mr. W. R. Wannall Re: Senstudy

was not true that our Agents did not go on Capitol Hill. Our Agents were permitted there with the approval of an Assistant Director. Mr. Lofton requested that I expand on this position and I suggested that he might desire to contact Assistant Director Moore for any further contact.

Mr. Lofton subsequently did contact Assistant Director Moore at which time he again explained that he had access to a memorandum prepared for Senator Church by his staff which recounted the details of a briefing that had been afforded by the FBI to staff members concerning the FBI's knowledge of the Soviet infiltration on Capitol Hill.

### ACTION:

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 217

If you agree, there is an attached memorandum to the Deputy Attorney General concerning the contact made by John Lofton and his statements that he had access to a memorandum prepared for Senator Church by members of his staff concerning a briefing which had been given by representatives of the FBI. It is noted that portions of this briefing were classified. It is not known whether the memorandum prepared for Senator Church contained this classified information. We should advise the Deputy Attorney General that we are furnishing this for his information and unless requested by him, we will make no further inquiries concerning the memorandum of Senate Select Committee personnel.

-2-

了 次

November 4, 1975

62-116395-

Mr. Dave South 1330 North 62nd Waco, Texas 76710

Dear Mr. South:

I received the copy of your letter of October 22nd to Senator Church and greatly appreciate your expression of support of the work being done by the FBI. My associates and I trust our efforts will continue to merit your confidence.

Sincerely yours,

E C. M. Kelley OHIGINAL FILED BY Clarence M. Kelley Director 12 03 Correspondent is not identifiable in Bufiles NOTE : mhb:bjy (3) ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED Assoc. Dir. _ HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIEL Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. ____ MAILED 7 Asst. Dir.: Admin. . NOV 5 1975 Comp. Syst. . Ext. Affairs . Files & Com. 7-FB1 Gen. Inv. . Ident. Inspection . Intell. Laboratory Plan. & Eval. _ Spec. Inv. -Training _ Legel Cour. Tolophone Rm. GPO : 1975 O - 569-920 TELETYPE UNIT Director Secty n

Page 218

9534



2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis) 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar October 28, 1975

The Attorney General

1 - Mr. H. W. Dare, Jr.

Dime Hackin

Director, FBI

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE / ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Reference is made to the request from the SSC, dated September 30, 1975, requesting certain information from the FBI.

Attached for your approval and forwarding to the SSC is the original of a memorandum which is responsive to the Committee's request montioned above.

A copy of the memorandum is being provided for your records.

Intelligence Coordination

Enclosures (2)

62-116395

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HFREIN IS UNCLASSIFI -din By DATE

1 - The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED REC-2 EX 100 HWD : dm (9) Assos. Dir. _ NOV 12 1975 Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. ____ INCLOSURE Asst. Dir.: Admin. Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs _ Files & Com. W 5-worle Hus Gen. Inv. Ident. _ Inspection Intell. Laboratory Plan. & Eval. _ Spec. Inv. Training . Legal Coun. Telephone Rm æ. TELETYPE UNIT GPO: 1975 O - 569-920 Page 219



2 - Mr. J. A. Mintz (1 - Mr. J. B. Hotis) 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. H. W. Dare, Jr.

62-116395

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED INFORMATION CONTAINED INFORMATION CONTAINED INFORMATION OTHERWISE.

October 28, 1975

UNITED STATES SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

RE: MATERIAL PERTAINING TO A. J. WOOLSTON-SHITH

Reference is made to the request from captioned Committee dated September 30, 1975, which asked for any and all material portaining to  $\Lambda$ . J. Woolston-Smith in the possession of the FBL.

All pertinent material pertaining to  $\Lambda$ . J. Woolston-Smith and which is responsive to your request, has been processed and will be delivered to the Committee with this communication.

1 - The Attorney General


HWD:dmt dit

col

SECRET MATERIAL ATTACHED

ORIGINAL AND ONE FURNISHED ATTORNEY GENERAL

SEE NOTE PAGE 2 ALL INF CRITATION CONTAINED WINCHASSIFIE HEREIN IS Assoc. Dir. -BY (MT.U.M Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. ___ FIED BY Acet. Dir.: Admin. .... ASSIFY ON: 25) Comp. Syst. ____ Ext. Affairs MDR-11 Files & Com. ___ worki Gen. Inv. ldent. Inspection ____ intell. Laboratory ____ Plan. & Eval. 📜 Spec. Inv. ____ Training __ 1012-Legal Coun. . Telephone Rm. ___ Director Sec'y ____ MAIL ROOM TELETYPE UN GPO: 1975 O - 569-920 NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 220



RE: MATERIAL PERTAINING TO A. J. WOOLSTON-SMITH

#### NOTE:

By letter dated 9/30/75, Frank Church, Chairman, SSC, requested the Attorney General to request of the FBI any and all material pertaining to A. J. Woolston-Smith in the possession of the FBI. This information was to include, but not be limited to. the information about Woolston-Smith which was transmitted to the FBI on or about 10/5/73, as well as any and all memoranda relating to that information. A review of information contained in the FBI's files on Woolston-Smith uncovered a memorandum dated 10/5/73 from Mr. W. A. Branigan to Mr. E. S. Miller. This memorandum contained information concerning a telephone call from Mr. Bob Walter, Liaison representative with the British Secret Service (MI-6) in Washington, D. C. On 10/15/75, Mr. Guy Bratt, Senior Washington Liaison Representative, (MI-6),(\$) advised Supervisor H. W. Dare, Jr., that he was authorizing the information contained in the 10/5/73 memorandum be furnished to the SSC provided that information identifying [Mr. Walter and MI-62 was excised from the above-mentioned memorandum (Bureau file 97-3265-27).

On 10/20/75, Mr. Walter Elder, Review Staff, CIA, Washington, D. C., advised Supervisor Andrew J. Duffin that authority was granted to forward to the SSC information contained in its memorandum dated 10/4/72, concerning Arthur James Woolston-Smith. (Bureau file 139-4136-82; Alexandria letter to Bureau dated 10/5/72.)

5-140 (Rev. 1-21-		IREAU OF INVEST	
Addressee:	SENATE	E SELECT CON	MITTEE
	.HM 🔲 Memo	Report dated	10/28/75
•		E SELECT CO	
. 9/	/30/75 requ	lest - A.J.	Woolston-Smith
~			
Originating Offig	20:	FBI	200
/-	n	FBI	$2\frac{0}{2}$ Date: 10/30/75
/-	n		$\frac{2 - p}{p}$ Date: $\frac{10}{30/7}$
Delivered by	n		$\frac{2}{2} \frac{0}{2}$ Date: $\frac{10}{30} \frac{13}{75}$
Delivered by Received by:	n	Taylorf.	$\frac{2 \frac{0}{7}}{Date: \frac{10}{30}/15}$

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HITERIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 11-28-2000 BY SPEACH BY
DITTE 11-28-2000 DY SP2HUM DY
MALES II CONTRACTOR
mDP-16

62-116375-1012 ENCLOSURE

NW DocId:32989534 Page 222 55077

TO: Intell	igènce Commun	ity Staff	FROM:			
ATTN: Centra				FOT		
SUBJECT: Ab	stract of Inf	ormation Pro	vided to Se		ittees	
1. HOW PROVIDED	(check appropriate	e term. If a doc	ument was made	available	2. DATE PR	OV 1 D F D
	t not transmitted			·		
X DOCUMENT	BRIEFING	INTERVIEW	TESTIMONY	OTHER	10/28	/75
3. TO WHOM PROVI	DED (check approp	riate term; add	specific names	if appropria	ate)	
SSC SSC						
HSC						
<ol> <li>IDENTIFICATION interviewee, 1</li> </ol>	N (provide descrip testifier and subj	ptive data for d ject)	ocuments; give	name or iden	ntification n	umber of briefe
T.A	and man the second					
Genoranc	iun and encl	Lesures				
5 IN RESPONSE TO	) (list date and a	item number if i	n response to f	ormal reques	st. other-	6. CLASSIFICATI
	rbal request of (r				, others	INFORMATION U, C, S, TS
						Codeword)
	4				,	
SSC lett	er 9/30/75					
SSC lett	er 9/30/75					S
7. KEY WORDS (end	ter the appropriat	te key words fro	m the list prov	ided separat	ely; if key	
7. KEY WORDS (end		te key words fro	m the list prov	ided separat	ely; if key	
7. KEY WORDS (ent used underline	ter the appropriat		ALL I	VFORM ATTO	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat	ter the appropriat o for emphasis)	ng	ALL II HEREI	NFORM ATTO	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underlind Informat Intellig	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir chee colled	ng stion	ALL II HEREI DATE	NFORMATIC N IS UNCL U-J.R-200	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underlind Informat Intellig	ter the appropriate for emphasis)	ng stion	ALL II HEREI DATE	NFORMATIC N IS UNCL U-J.R-200	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underlind Informat Intellig	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir chee colled	ng stion	ALL II HEREI DATE	NFORMATIC N IS UNCL U-J.R-200	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect reverse side befor	tion ction re completing th	ALL II HEREI DATE is item)	NFORMATIC IN IB UNCL 1-3.8-300 MUR	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir chee colled	tion ction re completing th	ALL II HEREI DATE is item)	NFORMATIC IN IB UNCL 1-3.8-300 MUR	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect reverse side befor	tion ction re completing th	ALL II HEREI DATE is item)	NFORMATIC IN IB UNCL 1-3.8-300 MUR	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Interial	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect reverse side befor .3 pertainir	tion ction re completing th	ALL II HEREI DATE is item)	NFORMATIC IN IB UNCL 1-3.8-300 MUR	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see d Material 62-11639	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect reverse side befor .3 pertainir	tion ction re completing th	ALL II HEREI DATE is item)	NFORMATIC IN IB UNCL 1-3.8-300 MUR	ON CONTAT	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Naterial 62-11639 FMK: fmk	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlin conce collect reverse side befor a pertainin	ng re completing th ng to A.J.	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston-	NFORMATIC N IB UNCT <u>H-3-8-200</u> (MI)R Soith	ON CONTAI DETEND DET <u>SPC4</u> -16	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see d Material 62-11639	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect everse side befor s pertainir 05 0RIGINAL	tion ction re completing th	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston-	NFORMATIC IN IS UNCL MUR Soith Soith	ON CONTAI DETEND DET <u>SPC4</u> -16	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Naterial 62-11639 FMK: fmk	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect everse side befor s pertainir 05 0RIGINAL	ng sticn re completing th ng to A.J. VIA LIAIS	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston-	NFORMATIC IN IS UNCL MUR Soith Soith	ON CONTAI DE SPCA -/C	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Naterial 62-11639 FMK: fmk	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect everse side befor s pertainir 05 0RIGINAL	ng sticn re completing th ng to A.J. VIA LIAIS	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston-	NFORMATIC IN IS UNCL MUR Soith Soith	ON CONTAI DE SPCA -/C	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Naterial 62-11639 FMK: fmk	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect everse side befor s pertainir 05 0RIGINAL	ng sticn re completing th ng to A.J. VIA LIAIS	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston-	NFORMATIC IN IS UNCL MUR Soith Soith	MUNITY	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Naterial 62-11639 FMK: fmk	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect everse side befor s pertainir 05 0RIGINAL	ng tion re completing th ng to A.J. . VIA LIAIS EXTION WITH	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston- SON TO CEN I SENSTUDY	NFORMATIC IN 15 UNCT MUR Soith Soith TRAL COM	MUNITY	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Naterial 62-11639 FMK: fmk	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect everse side befor s pertainir 05 0RIGINAL	ng tion re completing th ng to A.J. . VIA LIAIS EXTION WITH	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston- SON TO CEN I SENSTUDY	NFORMATIC IN 15 UNCT MUR Soith Soith TRAL COM	MUNITY	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Naterial 62-11639 FMK: fmk	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect everse side befor s pertainir 05 0RIGINAL	ng tion re completing th ng to A.J. . VIA LIAIS EXTION WITH	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston-	NFORMATIC IN 15 UNCT MUR Soith Soith TRAL COM	MUNITY	words not liste
7. KEY WORDS (end used underline Informat Intellig 8. SUMMARY (see 1 Naterial 62-11639 FMK: fmk	ter the appropriat for emphasis) ion handlir conce collect everse side befor s pertainir 05 0RIGINAL	ng tion re completing th ng to A.J. . VIA LIAIS EXTION WITH	ALL IN HEREI DATE is item) Woolston- SON TO CEN I SENSTUDY	NFORMATIC IN 15 UNCT MUR Soith Soith TRAL COM	MUNITY	words not liste

#### INSTRUCTIONS

- Type or print clearly in ink.
- Indicate classification of the abstract top and bottom.
- Date the abstract and put on any internal control numbers required.

• "FROM" entry should clearly identify the organization providing the information.

• If additions (as when a copy of document sent to SSC is later sent to HSC) or changes to a previously submitted form are necessary, submit a copy of the original abstract, with the change indicated.

<u>SPECIFIC ITEM NO. 8. SUMMARY</u> - enter brief narrative statement describing substance of information and showing relationship to Intelligence Community matters if appropriate. Any feedback or evidence of investigatory interests should be noted. Commitments made to supply additional information should be noted. Additionally, certain administrative information may be entered here, e.g., restrictions on review of a document, if document was paraphrased, whether interviewee is current or former employee, etc. If actual document or transcript is provided, that fact should be noted and no summary is required. Additional pages may be attached if necessary.


# SSC REQUEST 9/30/75

**Retained** Copies

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINING HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE //-AS-200 BV CH A/M K-16

-116375-1012 ENCLOSURE NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 Page 225

D-35 (Rev. 5-2) FB.I. Date: 10/5/72 Transmit the following in (Type in plaintext or code) AIRTEL Vid (Priority) ACTING DIRECTOR, FBI (139-4136) TO: · sto SAC, ALEXANDRIA (139-20) -P-FROM: UNSUB(S): MINIATURE-FM TRANSMITTER FOUND ON TELEPHONE OF R. SPENCER OLIVER, DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE HEADQUARTERS, WASHINGTON, D. C., 9/13/72 ICC The (00:WFO) au5 Re WFO teletype 10/3/72. (Enclosed for the Bureau and WFO is one copy for a each of a CIA memorandum dated 10/4/72, entitled "Robert Spencer Oliver, Sr., Robert Spencer Oliver, Jr. (1 November 1937), Robert Oliver Associates, Washington, D. C., Association of Democratic State Chairman," and CIA memorandum dated 10/4/72, entitled "Arthur James Woolston-Smith, Science Security Associates," N/82.7 EX-105 - Bureau (Enc. 2) (By Courier) 2 - WFO (139-170) (Enc. 2) (By Courier) 12. OCT 1 - Alexandria ALP:SW (5) 600CT 16 197 Approved: Sent M...Per -Special Agent in Charg *U.S.Government Printing Office: 1972 DocId:32989534 Page 226 55077



SECRET

4 OCT 1972

12

# MEMORANDUM FOR: The Acting Director Federal Bureau of Investigation

ATTENTION

: Mr. Arnold L, Parham

SUBJECT


DocId:32989534


Arthur James Woolston-Smith Science Security Associates, HAVE NEW Y: N. MIY.

1. Reference is made to your request of 3 October 1972 for information concerning the Subjects.

2. Arthur James Woolston-Smith first came to the attention of the Central Intelligence Agency in late 1954, at which time he claimed to be a Security Officer of the International Students' Center, Harvard University, and claimed a connection with the Canadian Intelligence Service. We have in our files copies of investigations of Mr. Woolston-Smith conducted by your Bureau in 1956 and 1957.

<u>ARTHUN JAMES</u> 3. In November 1970, this Agency received a file check request regarding Mr. <u>Moolston-Smith</u> from the Washington Field Activities Support Center of the Department of Defense, Fort Belvoir, Virginia. The request reflected that Mr. Woolston-Smith was then a private investigator, employed as Vice President, Science Security. Associates, 520 5th Avenue, New York, New York. His residence was listed as unknown.





.



4. This information is provided in confidence, and should not be disseminated outside of your Bureau. Please refer any correspondence on this matter to the attention of the Director of Security.

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE:

loward J. orn

3 . 24

Director of Security

SECRET



ENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY Washington, D.C. 20505

The enclosure regarding Robert Spencer OLIVER has been deleted since it & OCT 1972 does not pertain to Arthur James Woolston-Smithy subject of your inquiry.

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Acting Director Federal Bureau of Investigation

ATTENTION

: Mr. Arnold L. Parham

SUBJECT

: Robert Spencer Oliver, Sr. Robert Spencer Oliver, Jr. (1 November 1937) Robert Oliver Associates, Washington, D. C. Association of Democratic State Chairmen

1. Reference is made to your request of 3 October 1972 for information concerning the Subjects.

2. Records of the Central Intelligence Agency reflect that an individual identified as <u>R</u>. <u>Spencer Oliver</u>, Executive Secretary of the American Council of Young Political Leaders, was one of two leaders of a 19 member American delegation which arrived in Moscow on 29 July 1972 for a 15 day visit to the Soviet Union. The group was organized under the 1972 American/Russian Cultural Exchanges Agreement, and consisted of nearly equal numbers of young Republicans and Democrats. R. Spencer Oliver was identified as a Democrat from Maryland. Mr. Oliver left unexpectedly on 5 August 1972 to return to Washington, D. C.

3. The <u>Washington Star</u> and <u>Daily News</u> of 6 September 1972 reported that Spencer Oliver, Executive Director of the State Chairmen's Association (of the Democratic National Committee) was to testify in the \$1 million invasion of privacy suit brought by Lawrence O'Brien, Senator McGovern's Campaign Manager, against the Committee to Re-elect the President, and the five Watergate suspects. The <u>Washington Post</u> reported on 14 September 1972, that a "listening device" had been found inside the telephone of R. Spencer Oliver at the Democratic National Committee Headquarters.

NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 229





4. Files of this Agency contain a Dun and Bradstreet report of 31 October 1969, reflecting that a Robert Oliver was then a Legislative Consultant. He was Chief Executive of Robert Oliver and Associates, Suite 706, 400 First Street N. W., Washington, D. C., 20001 - telephone: (202) EX3-6993. The firm was shown to have one employee, with sales of \$100,000 and a worth of \$250,000. There was no mention of the terms "Sr." or "Jr." with respect to the name of Robert Oliver, but it may be that this person is one of the Subjects of your inquiry.

5. Our records contain no information regarding the Association of Democratic State Chairmen except as noted in paragraph 2 above.

6. This information is provided in confidence, and should not be disseminated outside of your Bureau. Please direct any correspondence on this matter to the attention of the Director of Security.

FOR THE DIRECTOR OF CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE:

SECRET

Director of Security

7 DocId:32989534 Page 230

5010-107 12D NEATES G ERNATENT Assoc. Dir. Asst. Dir.: lemorandum Admin. Mr. E. S. Miller Comp. Syst. Mr. R. E. Gebhardt Ext. Affairs Filos & Com. Gen. Inv. J. Miller DATE: October 5, 1973 ldent. Inspection A. BraniganHETEIN IS UNICLASSIFIED EXCEPT - Mr. J. H. Kavanagh Intell. Laboratory . Plon. & Eval. WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE. 1 - Mr. J. R. Hagy Spec. Inv. Training . Legal Coun. MUR JALES WOOLSTON-SMITH Telephone Rm. SUSCELLATIOUS INFORMATION CONCERNING Director Sec'y a representative of a friendly foreign intelligence set At approximately 6:15 p.m. on October 5, 1973, Mr. Bob Walter, liaison representative with the British Secret Service (MI-6) In Washington, D. C., called. Mr. Walter Felated that on October 1, 1973, an individual ( identifying himself as the subject claiming to have been born Wesulation on August 16, 1926, at Gisborn, New Zealand, contacted the Vice Consul, British Consulate in New York City. He stated s that he was the Vice President of Science Security Associates, Inc., 441 Lexington Avenue, New York, and he sought advice concerning a subpoena which had allegedly been served on him by the Watergate Committee. The subject informed the Consulate that as the result of his work for British intelligence, and as a private investigator in the United States, off and on from 1948 to the present, he had many contacts in the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and in the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA). Through these contacts, he learned of plans by Howard Hunt and Gordon Liddy to bug the Watergate two months before it occurred. He claimed that he warned the Democrats through Lawrence O'Brien, Democratic Chairman, and he learned that the Democrats had decided to take no action in order that the they could exploit the situation when it occurred. According dore-mentorest of Mr. Walter, the Vice Consul instructed the subject to seek representative advice from the New Zealand Consulate since he was a citizen of New Zealand. The Bureau files disclose the following: NI GI Bureau files indicate that Woolston-Smith was subject of a British Ambassy name check request dated September 8, 1952 (62-60527-30986). A check of Bureau files failed to locate any pertinent information (62-60527-30985). 1263 WAB/JHK:clb 1-50/ REC-8 / CLASSIFIED BY SPANNA CONTINUED - OVER (6)DECLASSIFY ON: 25X C ST-110 XE-JXMOR-16 24 1973 OCT 16 1973 560CT 3U 1973



Memorandum to Mr. E. S. Miller Re: Arthur James Woolston-Smith

Woolston-Smith was subject of a Royal Canadian Mounted Police (RCMP) hame check request dated November 3, 1953, which was returned stamped "No Investigation Conducted by FBI Pertinent to Your Inquiry" (62-60527-36293).

In December, 1954, subject was a complainant in a case captioned "Leona Clarey Franklin, aka; Information Concerning" (140-11495). Complaint involved communist matters.

Subject was mentioned in case captioned "Unsub(s); Miniature FM Transmitter Found on Telephone of R. Spencer Oliver, Democratic Headquarters, Washington, D. C., 9/13/72 (1972); IOC" (139-4136-57). Check of Department of Defense records was made concerning subject. Background information obtained, but no pertinent information located (139-4136-91).

Woolston-Smith was the subject of a IS-Canada; Internal Security Act of 1950; Registration Act case, Bufile 97-3268, which was closed in 1957.

Action:

For information and referral to Division Six.

JHK

ADDENDUM of Thomas J. Smith TJS:esd 10/6/73.

Review of Bureau file 97-3268 (referred to above) indicates that subject Woolston-Smith has been known to the Bureau since 1954 and that he has had a "detective complex." He tried to go to work for Canadian intelligence and later for a police position of in one of the British possessions. He was apparently used as an informant by a Candian Security officer in the U.S., which officer got into trouble when subject revealed their informant-handler relationship. Son the basis of such information, it appears unlikely that he ever worked with British Intelligence Service, nor that he so has had any connection with FBI personnel as he alleged. However, New York has been instructed to submit a teletype summary of any information in its files regarding subject which is not already in the Bureau's possession.

Sensitive

saurce

DocId:32989534

# ADDENDUM: GENERAL INVESTIGATIVE DIVISION CAN: JJC: amm 10/10/73

the above-mentioned representative

SECRET

The above-mentioned information received by the Intelligence Division from Mr. Walter to the effect that(S) Woolston-Smith prewarned the Democrats prior to the Watergate break-in is not new information. During our investigation concerning the device discovered in the telephone of R. Spencer Oliver at Democratic National Committee Headquarters (DNCH), it was learned on 9/28/72 from John G. Stewart, then Executive Director of the Democratic Policy Council, that Woolston-Smith, during March or April, 1972, called Stewart concerning an alleged attempt to compromise the DMCH by the November Group (the New York based advertising orm of the reelection effort). No further details were given by Woolston-Smith and no follow-up action was taken by Stewart.

Our New York Office was instructed to and did interview Woolston-Smith on 10/2 and 10/20/72. Woolston-Smith advised that his thoughts about the November Group were totally confined to the realm of speculation and that he had absolutely no evidence to support his conclusion that the November Group was engaged in illegal activities in addition to advertising. Woolston-Smith had no specific information and stated most of his information was learned subsequent to 6/17/72, the date of the burglary of DNCH. He also advised that his knowledge of the individuals involved in the Watergate break-in was acquired after 6/17/72 as was his knowledge of the Republican Party buying large quantities of security equipment. The results of these interviews are included in the New York report dated 11/20/72 (Bureau file 139-4136), which was disseminated to the Criminal Division of the Department and the U.S. Attorney's Office, and have been made available to the Watergate Special Prosecution Force. In view of the above, no additional action is necessary at this time/regarding Woolston-Smith.



The Attorney General 1- Mr. Gebhardt REGAR 62-11555 4-77 1- Mr. Long Director, FBI 6-54 1- Mr. Mintz

# SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE -REQUEST FOR FBI MATERIAL

10/14

2-

03

50

Dep. AD Adm. ___

es. AD Iny.

st. Dir.:

omp. Syst. ct. Affairs

an. & Evel.

CAN/am

AAA LU

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HETHEIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT WHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE.

February 25, 1974

WAF.

Reference is made to a memorandum dated February 19, 1974, to Mr. Gary H. Baise, Associate Deputy Attorney General, from Mr. Michael J. Madigan, Assistant Minority Counsel, Senate Select Committee on Presidential Campaign Activities. A copy of this communication is enclosed for your ready reference. Mr. Madigan requests the Department to make available to him all files within the possession of the PBI which relate in any way to Mr. A. J. Woolston-Smith.

As indicated in previous correspondence dealing with requests for FBI material received from the Senate Co mittee, the FBI has uniformly resisted efforts of persons outside the Department to secure access to FBI records and particularly the raw files. To open our files would prejudice our investigations and would have a far-reaching effect on securing the copperation of persons we desire to interview. For these reasons and because of our concern about the establishment of a dangerous precedent, we have attempted to follow the guidelines established in April, 1973, by then Attorney General Eleindienst and Senator Ervin relative to access to the FBI's Eatergate investigation.

Senators Ervin and Baker, Majority Counsel Samuel Dash and Minority Counsel Pred Thompson would be allowed to inspect, not copy, interview reports (PD-302s) of interview's conducted during the FBI investigation of the burglary of Democratic National Committee Headquarters at the Watergate Offices Building. Assistant Minority Counsel Donald Sanders was subsequently added to the list of those permitted to inspect PBI material on instructions of then Deputy Attorney General William D. Ruckelshaus on September 28, 1973

Pursuant to a request from Mr. Sanders, on October 9, 1973, he was permitted to review PD-302s setting forth interviews of Woolston-Smith on October 2 and October 20, 1972. Mr. Sanders made numerous notes at the time he reviewed these FD-302s.

NOTE PAGE

تَكَنَّكُ مَعَنَّكُ مَعَنَّكُ مَعَنَّكُ مَعَنَّكُمُ العَمَّكُمُ مَعَنَّكُمُ مَعَنَّكُمُ مَعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُم مَعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُمُ مُعَنَّكُمُ مُع

#### The Attorney General

It is suggested that Mr. Madigan be advised of the aforementioned review by Mr. Sanders of these FD-302s concerning interviews of Woolston-Smith. It is also suggested that Mr. Madigan be advised of the established guidelines relative to access by the Senate Committee to FBI material, which would preclude the furnishing to him of FBI raw files.

SEGRET

#### Enclosure

## 1- Mr. Gary H. Baise Associate Deputy Attorney General

NOTE: During our investigation concerning the device discovered in the telephone of R. Spencer Oliver at Democratic National Committee Headquarters (DNCH), it was learned on 9/28/72 from John G. Stewart, then Executive Director of the Democratic Policy Council, that Woolston-Smith, during March or April, 1972, called Stewart concerning an alleged attempt to compromise the DNCH by the November Group (the New York based advertising arm of the reelection effort). No further details were given by Woolston-Smith and no follow-up action was taken by Stewart.

Our NYO was instructed to and did interview Woolston-Smith on 10/2 and 10/20/72. Woolston-Smith advised that his thoughts about the November Group were totally confined to the realm of speculation and that he had absolutely no evidence to support his. conclusion that the November Group was engaged in illegal activities in addition to advertising. Woolston-Smith had no specific information and stated most of his information was learned subsequent to 6/17/72, the date of the DNCH burglary. He also advised that his knowledge of the individuals involved in the Watergate break-in was acquired after 6/17/72 as was his knowledge of the Republican Party buying large quantities of security equipment. The results of these interviews are included in the New York report dated: 11/20/72 (Bureau file 139-4136), which was disseminated to the Criminal Division of the Department and the U. S. Attorney's -Office, and has been made available to the Watergate Special Prosecution Force.

Service in Washington, D. C., furnished the Intelligence Division information received on 10/1/73 from Woolston-Smith. Woolston-Smith has told the British Vice Consul in New York City that through his numerous contacts he had learned of the plans of Hunt and Liddy to burglarize the Watergate before it occurred and claimed he had warned Democratic Chairman Lawrence O'Brien of this. Since the information furnished by the British Secret Service was thes same information we had previously interviewed Woolston-Smith about, with negative results, no additional action was required or taken.

-2- SECRET

ى يەر يەملاريە OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL 2-21-74 To: Ed Mi H. Baise Gary From: Pursuant to our conversation, please find communication from Mr. Madigan regarding Mr. Smith. O Watergate Committee ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HERVIN IS UNCLASSIFICT BY S MARHY AEC-3862 - 115554. 15 FEB 87 1974 ENCLOSURE

SAM J. ERVIN, JR., N.C., CHAIRMA INOWARD H. BAKER, JR., TENN. VICE CHAIR HERMAN E. TALMADGE, GA. DANIEL K. INCUYE, HAWAII JOSEPH M. MONTOYA, N. MEX.

> SAMUEL DASH CHIEF COUNSEL AND STAFF DIRECTOR FRED D. THOMPSON MINORITY COUNSEL RUFUS L. EDMISTEN DEPUTY COUNSEL

United States Senate

SELECT COMMITTEE ON PRESIDENTIAL CAMPAIGN ACTIVITIES (PURSUANT TO S. RES. 60, 83D CONGRESS) WASHINGTON, D.C. 20510

.

February 19, 1974

Mr. Gary H. Baise Associate Deputy Attorney General Room 4208, Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530

Dear Mr. Baise:

I am writing to request from the Department all files within the possession of the Federal Bureau of Investigation which relate in any way to A.J. Woolston-Smith. As I mentioned in our recent conversation, it is of vital importance that we obtain this information as soon as possible because of our pending interview with Mr. Smith.

As you may recall from our previous discussions, I indicated two specific time sequences wherein it has been established that the Bureau received reports regarding Mr. Smith. The first of these is in December 1954 when Smith's name came up in a CIA related matter in Boston, Massachusetts. The second is on October 6, 1972 when a representative of a foreign nation's intelligence service provided the FBI with a report on certain activities of Smith. In addition to these two specific dates and reports, however, we are requesting the entire file or files possessed by the FBI on Mr. Smith.

Thanks again for your continued assistance and cooperation.

chael Mi Assistant Minority Counsel

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEPFIN IS UNCLASSIFIET DATE 1-5-01

MJM:bc

Page 237

62-115554-70

ENCLOSURE

Mr. Felt Mr. Baker . Mr. Botes . Mr. Bishop FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Mr. Callahca COMMUNICATIONS SECTION Mr. Cleveland . NRØ23 WF PLAIN Mr. Conrca -Mr. Dalbey . SEP 281972 10:00PM IMMEDIATE 9-28-72 ALM Mr. Jenkins . Mr. Marshall Mr. Miller, E.S. TO ACTING DIRECTOR (139-A136) TELETYPE Mr. Ponder . Mr. Soyars BOSTON Mr. Walters -Tels. Room . ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED Mr. Kinley . NEW YORK Mr. Armstrong HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED Ms. Herwig . DATE 1-5-0 DALLAS Mrs. Neenon 5P FROM WASHINGTON FIELD (139-170)(P) UNSUB(S); MINIATURE FM TRANSMITTER FOUND ON TELEPHONE SPENCER OLIVER, DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE HEADQUARTERS. D.C., SEPTEMBER THIRTEEN LAST. IOC. 00: WFO. WASHINGTON. RE WFO TELS TO BUREAU, SEPTEMBER TWENTY SEVEN LAST AND INSTANTOU24 INSTANT, JOHN STEWART, DIRECTOR OF COMMUNICATIONS, MC GOVERN-SHRIVER_CAMPAIGN_HEADQUARTERS, FORMER LY WITH DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE HEADQUARTERS (DNCH) OOLSTON-ADVISED THAT HE HAD RECEIVED PHONE ONE SMITH. WHOM STEWART DESCRIBED AS NEW YORK PRIVATE DETECTIVE MARCH OR APRIL LAST ... STEVART INDIGATED THAT PROFESSED TO HAVE RECEIVED INFORMATION IN REGARD TO AN ATTEMPT "HICH WOULD BE MADE TO "BUG" DNCH, WASHINGTON, D.C. (WDC). 18 OCT 3 .1972 WOOLSTON-SMITH WAS REFERRED TO ANOTHER DNCH STAFFER, BILL HADDAD, (PH) BY STEWART, STEWART DISMISSED WOOLSTON-SMITH'S ALLEGATIO. END PAGE ONE 259 600CT 5 1972

PAGE TWO

AS MANY UNFOUNDED RUMORS WERE RECEIVED BY DNCH RE BOMB THREATS AND THE LIKE. STEWART STATED HE BELIEVED HADDAD HAD FURTHER CONVERSATION WITH WOOLSTON-SMITH.

STEWART ADVISED HADDAD IS CURRENTLY IN NEW YORK CITY WORKING WITH DEMOCRATIC CAMPAIGN OF MC GOVERN-SHRIVER. HADDAD ALSO ALLEGEDLY WAS PROMINENT DEMOCRAT IN UNSPECIFIED POSITION DURING JOHNSON ADMINISTRATION IN SIXTY FIVE OR SIXTY SIX. REVIEW APPROPRIATE WHO'S WHO" DIRECTORY REVEALED ONE WILLIAM FREDERICK HADDAD, POSSIBLY IDENTIFIABLE WITH BILL HADDAD (PH) IS JOURNALIST AND CORPORATE EXECUTIVE WHO WAS ACTIVE DURING KENNEDY ADMINISTRATION AND ASSISTANT TO ROBERT KENNEDY. HADDAD ALSO WITH NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE FROM SIXTY-ONE TO SIXTY-THREE AND WITH OFFICE OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY, WDC, FROM SIXTY-FIVE TO SIXTY-SIX. CURRENT OFFFICES LOCATED IN CHRYSLER BUILDING, NEW YORK CITY.

STEWART ALSO INDICATED THAT WOOLSTON-SMITH'S INFORMATION WAS KNOWN BY SEVERAL OTHER MEMBERS OF DNCH. WFO ATTEMPTING TO FURTHER IDENTIFY HADDAD END PAGE TWO

WOOLSTON-SMITH. NEW YORK ATTEMPT TO IDENTIFY BILL HADDAD (PH) ON BASIS OF ABOVE. LOCATE HADDAD AND INTERVIEW RE HIS KNOWLEDGE OF DESCRIBED PHONE CALL FROM WOOLSTON-SMITH, WOOLSTON-SMITH'S IDENTITY AND WHEREABOUTS. IF DETERMINED, LOCATE END PAGE THREE

NEW YORK AND BOSTON SEARCH INDICES RE

PAGE THREE AND WOOLSTON-SMITH. WFO INDICES CONTAIN ONE REFERENCE TO ONE ARTHUR . WOOLSTON-SMITH HADER SIXTY-TWO CLASSIFICATION. ΤN BOSTON LETTER TO BUREAU. DATED JAHHARY TWENTY SIX. ONE NINE FIVE FIVE, ENTITLED "LEONA CLAREY FRANKLIN. AKA: INFORMATION CONCERNING". BOSTON SET FORTH INFORMATION THAT ONE ARTHUR J.AWOOLSTON-SMITH. A SELF DESCRIBED SECURITY OFFICER HAD CONTACTED BOSTON WITH INFORMATION CONCERNING INDIVIDUAL HE CONSIDERED SECURITY RISK. BOSTON INDICATED A WOOLSTON-SMITH, RESIDENT OF CAMBRIDGE, MASS., OF UNKNOWN RELIABILITY AND BOSTON INDICES CONTAINED REFERENCE WHICH INDICATED WOOLSTON-SMITH APPLICANT FOR "CIG" IN BUFILE ONE ONE EIGHT DASH THREE ONE NINE ZIGHT. LETTER INDICATED NO FURTHER ACTION TAKEN BY BOSTON RE SMITH'S INFORMATION.

PAGE FOUR .

AND INTERVIEW RE ABOVE.

BUREAU REQUESTED TO REVIEW NOTED ONE ONE EIGHT FILE FOR INFORMATION RE WOOLSTON-SMITH AND FURNISH SAME TO WFO, NEW YORK AND BOSTON, TO ASSIST IN IDENTIFICATION OF WOOLSTON-SMITH AND AID IN INTERVIEW OF WOOLSTON-SMITH WHEN LOCATED.

IN FURTHER INTERVIEW WITH DNCH EMPLOYED INSTANT, INFORMATION RECEIVED THAT ARACLD SEGARRA, DNCH NEW YORK, WAS AWARE OF INCIDENT WHICH OCCURRED IN MIAMI, FLA. AT TIME OF DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION IN JULY LAST, IN WHICH. TWO DNCH EMPLOYEES WERE CONTACTED WHILE AT RALLY TO ACT AS PAID DEMONSTRATORS AGAINST DEMOCRATIC CONVENTION.

NEW YORK REINTERVIEW SEGARRA IN ATTEMPT TO DEVELOPE FURTHER INFORMATION RE INCIDENT AND IDENTITIES OF PERSONNEL INVOLVED.

FOR INFORMATION OF DALLAS, <u>PHILIPASEIB</u>, LAW STUDENT AT SOUTHERN METHODIST UNIVERSITY, DALLAS, FORMER DNCH END PAGE FOUR PAGE FIVE

EMPLOYEE, DETERMINED TO HAVE ESCORTED UNIDENTIFIED CUBAN THROUGH DNCH OFFICES, WDC, SEVERAL MONTHS AGO, AFTER CUBAN APPEARED AT DNCH AND REQUESTED TOUR OF OFFICE FOR UNSPECIFIED REASON. CUBAN DESCRIBED BY DNCH EXPLOYEE FURNISHING INFORMATION AS STUDENT AT GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, WDC, WHO WAS MEMBER OF RIGHT WING POLITICAL GROUP. DALLAS ASCERTAIN FULL DETAILS OF INCIDENT FROM SEIB IN UR INTERVIEW OF SEIB. NOTE LEAD SET FORTH TO INTERVIEW SEIB IN RE WFO TEL, INSTANT. END

RMS FBI WA DC

ACK THREE TELS CLR

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription 10/7/72

10

_Date dictated_

Ŧ

D-302 (REV. 11-27-70)

Interviewing Special Agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation identified themselves to Mr. WILLIAM FREDERICK HADDAD, Gulf and Western Building, New York City, New York, and advised him of the nature of the inquiry, after which Mr. HADDAD gave the following information:

He has known Mr. ARTHUR JAMES WOOLSTON-SMITH for a number of years. SMITH has done some investigation for him on other matters.

Some time in March or April, 1972, Mr. SMITH came to visit him at his office in the Gulf and Western Building, New York City, New York. SMITH came to him with information about possible bugging attempts on the National Headquarters of the Democratic Party.

HADDAD further advised that SMITH was not specific about his sources. He merely indicated that he had heard rumors of a possible bugging attempt.

Upon hearing this information from SMITH, HADDAD wrote a letter to Mr. LAWRENCE O'BRIEN, the then Chairman of the Democratic National Committee, Washington, D.C. HADDAD also sent a letter to Mr. JACK ANDERSON, Washington, D.C. columnist. However, HADDAD did not know of any action taken either by O'BRIEN or ANDERSON. HADDAD himself took no further action.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED UNCLASSIFIED HEREIN IS

_File # New York 134-314 of New York, New York Interviewed on,

# SAS ALBERT E. ARANEO and JAMES SANCILLO

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its confents are not to be distributed outside your agency; nor duplicated within your agency. FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION

Date of transcription

Interviewing agents of the Federal Bureau of Investigation identified themselves to Mr. AFININ JANTS MULSION-SHITH, 141 Larington Avenue, Hew York City, Her YORT, and soviewed him of the intere of the inquiry. Mr. SMIR then gave the following information:

3.

NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 Page 244

He has known Mr. WILLIAN MADDAD for a member of years, having worked for his on various investigative assignments. Deck in December, 1971, he contacted MADDAD for the first time in what proved to be a series of meetings which continued up to late March or early April, 1972. At these meetings, SMITH and MADDAD discussed the possibility of semeone in the Republican Party bugging Descuratio Party facilities.

These discussions between SHITH and HADDAD were initiated when SHITH told HANDAD that in his exployeent in the investigative field, he had heard various romans that people in the Republican Perty were parchesing large quantities of security equipsent, cose of which was of a positive intelligence-gathering nature.

SAITH could recall only two individuals that he heard were specifically responsible for this baying. These were (First News Unknown) BALDEIM (phonetic) and JOHN J. RAGAN, who was identified by SKITH as Director of Security for the Depublican Setional Consister, Hashington, D.C.

SHIFT could not recell the exact scarce from which be learned of this activity on the part of Republicans de specifically simised that be did and tell Mr. MARKE that the Descenatic Sections: Consistee Readquarters in Sectington, N.C. was going to be bugged. Eather, he told his that due to the Descenato' law security, almost any Descenatic Party Secility could be comproxided.

Mr. SNITH further advised that Is his opinion, the listening device found at the Democratic Mational Committee Hendquarters in September, 1972 was probably

Interviewed on Hen Jorks Hen Tark PER SSIE 1116116 sas dizent I. Analico and Date dictated 10/0/7 JAKES SAMILLO This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; ) and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency. nor duplicated within your agency. 10 -





194-914 2

NW -55077

DocId: 32989534

Page. 245

planted by the Democrats. His reasons for this are that after the original bugging devices were found in June of 1972, the entire national beadquarters was thoroughly searched. The Republicans would not have the opportunity nor the inclination to attempt another bugging. However, the Democrats would have the opportunity and the motive for enother bugging, that 18, to increase the damage and embarrassment caused to the Republicans as a result of the original Vatorgate incident.

From observation and interview, the following descriptive data on ARTHUR JAMES WCOLSTON-SMITH was obtained:

> Date of Birth August 16, 1926 (although some records show August 16, 1920) Place of Birth New Zealand 5 feet 9 inches Hint Weight 185 pounds Hair Brown Hazel ETOB United States Passport Munder R 176637 Alien Registration Musber 698 7966

NR 050 NY PLAIN 435AN 10-21-72 URGENT 10-20-72 KPR ACTING DIRECTOR (139-4136)

WASHINGTON FIELD (139-170) FROM NEW YORK (139-314) 4P

UNSUBS; MINIATURE FM TRANSMITTER FOUND ON TELEPHONE OF R. SPENCER OLIVER DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE HEADQUARTERS, VASHINGTON DC., SEPTEMBER THIRTEEN, LAST, IOC, OO; WFO

FEDERAL PLACEAU OF INVESTIGATION

COMMUNICATIONS SECTIO

OCT 2 1 1972

DATE

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED

HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED

moric

Mr. Falt

Mr. Baker Mr. Bates

Mr. Aishoo . Mr. Callahon

Mr. Cleveland Mr. Conrad Mr. Dalbey

Mr. Jenkins Mr. Marshall Mr. Miller, E.S.

Mr. Ponder -Mr. Soyars

Mr. Walters Tele. Room

Mr. Kinley

Mr: Armstrong


Ms. Herwig

Mrs. Neenon

REFERENCE WASHINGTON FIELD OFFICE TELETYPE TO BUREAU, OCTOBER EIGHTEEN. NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO.

ON OCTOBER TWENTIETH, NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO, MR. ARTHUR JAMES NOOL STON DASH SMITH, FOUR ZERO FOUR, FOUR FOUR ONE LEXINGTON AVENUE, NEW YORK, NEW YORK ADVISED SPECIAL AGENTS (SAS) VINCENT A. ALVINO AND RENO F. WALKER THAT HE HAD NO SPECIFIC INFORMATION PRIOR TO THE BREAK DASH IN AT THE WATERGATE WASHINGTON, DC. CONCERNING INDIVIDUALS OF ORGANIZATIG MIGHT BE PLANNING SUCH A BREAK DASH IRT 111 120 NOV 1 1972

WOOLSTON DASH SMITH STATED HE BELIEVED DEMOCRATIS SECURITY WAS LAX AND FROM READING NEWSPAPER ARTICLES AND KNOWING HOW POLITICAL ORGANIZATIONS OPERATE HE FELT THE END PAGE ONE



NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 PAGE TWO

DEMOCRATIC PARTY SHOULD HIRE HIM TO CONDUCT A "STUDY" OF THE OPERATIONS OF ORGANIZATIONS SUPPORTING THE RE DASH ELECTION EFFORTS OF THE PRESIDENT TO DETERMINE WHAT ACTIVITIES THEY WERE CONDUCTING AND THE SOURCE OF THEIR FUNDS AMONG OTHER THINGS. WOOLSTON DASH SMITH STATED HE ONLY MENTIONED THE NAME "NOVEMBER GROUP" AS ONE OF THE GROUPS TO STUDY BECAUSE HE HAD READ ARTICLES IN THE NEWSPAPER CONCERNING THIS ORGANIZATION.

ON OCTCREER TWENTIETH, NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO, MR. PAUL J.MULLER, FINANCE DIRECTOR, NOVEMBER GROUP, SEVENTEENTH FLOOR, NINE ZERO NINE, THIRD AVENUE, NEW YORK, NEW YORK, ADVISED SPECIAL AGENTS (SAS) ALVINO AND WALKER THAT THE ORGANIZATION WAS INCORPORATED IN NEW YORK IN FEBRUARY, NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO. HE STATED HE WAS ONLY FAMILIAR WITH LIDDY AND MC CORD OF THOSE ARRESTED IN THE WATERGATE, WASHINGTON, DC(WDC) HE STATED LIDDY WAS COUNSEL FOR THE COMMITTEE TO RE DASH ELECT THE PRESIDENT AND AS SUCH WAS USED TO PREPARE THE PAPERS NECESSARY TO INCORPORATE THE NOVEMBER GROUP. HE ADVISED LIDDY WAS ON THE CORPORATE THE NOVEMBER GROUP. HE ADVISED LIDDY WAS ON THE EDD PAGE TWO

# PAGE THREE

DocId:3298

55077

STATED HE NOR, TO HIS KNOWLEDGE, ANYONE ELSE AT THE NOVEMBER GROUP HAS HAD ANY CONTACT WITH LIDDY SINCE LIDDY BECAME ACTIVE IN THE FINANCE COMMITTEE OF THE COMMITTEE TO RE DASH ELECT THE PRESIDENT. MULLER STATED MC CORD CAME TO THE OFFICES OF THE NOVEMBER GROUP, MAY SEVENTEENTH, NINETEEN SEVENTY TWO TO CHECK IT TO SEE THAT THE SPACE HAS SECURE FROM EAVESDROPPING, PHYSICAL OR ELECTRONIC. MULLER STATED MC CORD AND LIDDY WERE PAID BY THE COMMITTEE TO RE DASH ELECT THE PRESIDENT AND THAT HE NEVER SAW MC CORD AGAIN AFTER MAY SEVENTEENTH.

MULLER STATED THE NOVEMBER GROUP GETS ITS FUNDS FROM THE COMMITTEE TO RE DASH ELECT THE PRESIDENT AND IT SPENDS THOSE FUNDS TO PRODUCE ADVERTISEMENTS ON BEHALF OF THE. PRESIDENT IN HIS RE DASH ELECTION EFFORT. HE STATED SEVENTY FIVE PERCENT OF THE FUNDS ARE GIVEN TO MEDIA AS FEES TO CARRY ADVERTISEMENTS: TWELVE AND ONE HALF PERCENT FOR COSTS IN PRODUCING THE VARIOUS PIECES OF ADVERTIZING GIVEN TO THE MEDIA AND TWELVE AND ONE HALF PERCENT FOR OPERATING EXPENSES SUCH AS SALARIES, RENT AND OTHER FIXED COSTS. MULLER STATED HE HAD END PAGE THREE PAGE FOUR

A RECORD OF ALL FUNDS RECEIVED AND SPENT. MULLER PROVIDED A LIST OF ALL PRESENT AND PAST EMPLOYEES OF THE NOVEMBER GROUP. HE STATED TO HIS KNOWLEDGE EVERYONE WORKING FOR THE NOVEMBER GROUP WAS HIRED FOR HIS OR HER ABILITY IN THE ADVERTIZING FIELD. MULLER STATED THE NOVEMBER GROUP IS AN ADVERTISING AGENCY AND ITS ONLY CLIENTS ARE THE COMMITTEE TO RE DASH ELECT THE PRESIDENT AND DEMOCRATS FOR NIXON. HE STATED TO HIS KNOWLEDGE NO ONE CONNECTED WITH THE NOVEMBER GROUP WOULD BE INVOLVED IN POSITIVE INTELLIGENCE GATHERING ACTIVITIES.

E ID

EDERAL BUREAU- OF INVESTIGATION

#### Date of transcription.

10/11/7

ARTER JACK MOISTON-22178, 441 Lexington Avenue, 2000 York, 2000 York, 125 relaterviewed and he foralshed the following information:

During March or April, 1972, he had several conversations with FILLIES BARAD for the surgers of soliciting the help of HADAD in an attempt to obtain the Desservice Party 22 a cilent. SXITH based to have the Semerable Party hire his to conduct a stady on various polltical arcsec offiliated with the Cossilities to Rowlinst President MIXON, such as the Environmer Group. Decause of his reading and association with politics. It sais that ses logical to believe that sees of these Republican affiliated freed presidentices perfered done functions hot related to their avoid perpenses. He believed that an isvertization would reveal that and organizations could also be engaged in political explosions and substages in conducting collitical intelligence operations through the use of difetratic excedencesing devices ( and, in serving es fanals for constant contributions from sublicity - shy CORDER.

STITE felt that one of the Republican organizations phich would be a likely subject of his investigation was the Portabler Group. SUITH because very interested in the Soverboy Group as a result of a methor of articles about this preselection which appeared in passagers, including the Box York fines and the Bell Street Jestanl. Is fold that the Estable for the set supposed to be an advertising and Et of the Sound to Milli other Intelligence gathering function of the constitute to Re-Riect the President, probably had ... for the Republican Party. 201711 adulties that his threates about the hovester gross sere totally continue to the genin of speculation and that he had absolutely no evidence to support a cenclusion that the Israndor Grand was successf In illegal activities or any other activities in addicton is advertising. He edulized that he had no exclusive which would in any ver implicate the Serveder firms in the Derelacy of the Senecratic Party Intical Readquattors, Vashington, D.C.; on Jane 17, 1972.

Interviewed on 20/20/13ototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototototOtotOtototototOt_Ot	Sea York, Ben York	File #
SAS VINCENT &. ALVINO : ESNO 7. TALAIS/14		10/20/72
by	Date dicto	

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed cutside your cgency. nor duplicated within your agency.

CONTAINED

SSIFTED

INFORMATION

B

57 134-314 2

SMITH was questioned as to his knowledge of the individuals involved in the above burglery and he stated that prior to June 17, 1972, he had never known or even hears of any of the individuals involved. SMITH advised that after June 17, 1972, he became familiar with the maxes and backgrounds of the individuals involved in the burglary through public media accounts. In this connection, the runners about people in the Republican Farty Daying large quantities of security equipment came to his attention ofter June 17, 1972. He advised that he could not recall from when he heard these runner, insenath as the vast saturd of publicity in this case had given rise to many runners and theories as to guilty involvement.

SHITH stated that it was subsequent to Jobe 17, 1972, that he heard the ruber that (First Mass Unknown) BAIDVIN and JOHN J. RAGAN had been purchasing large quantities of security equipment including apas of a positive intelligence gathering mature.

SHITH advised that since he did not obtain the Democratic Party as a client as a result of his conversations with BADDAD, he never instituted in invatigation of the Rovember Group or any other Republican affiliated organization.

C27 FD-263 (Triv. 1-7-72) EDERALBUR INVÈUTIGATION INVESTIGATIVE PERIOD OFFICE OF ORIGIN DATE REPORTING OFFICE WASHINGTON FIELD 11/20/72 NEW YORK 9/28/72 - 11/5/ EDTARO TITLE OF CASE REPORT MADE BY TYPED BY UNSUB (S); Miniature FM lh RENO F. WALKER Transmitter Found on Telephone CHARACTER OF CASE . of R. Spencer Oliver, Democratic ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED National Committee Headquarters, HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED EXCEPT TOWHERE SHOWN OTHERWISE Washington, D.C., 9/13/72 **REFERENCE:** WFO tele to Bureau 9/27/72. WFO tele to Bureau 11/2/72. 1-16-01 CLASSIFIED BY SPZA DECLASSIFY ON: 25X ADMINIZIRATIVE: MOR-16 and the se It is noted that NY files contain severl references NY 92-1325-2008 contains an article from to ARNOLD SEGARRA. NY Daily News issue of 8/11/70 in which SEGARRA was named as the host at a NYC after hours club which was frequented by various people of political influence. According to the article the club operated past curfew hours and without a license primarily because of SEGARRA being a former aide to NYC Mator JOHN LINDSAY. NONE ACCOMPLISHMENTS CLAIMED ACQUIT-CASE HAS BEEN: RECOVERIES TALS FINES SAVINGS CONVIC. FUG PENDING OVER ONE YEAR YES YNO PENDING PROSECUTION OVER SIX MONTHS YES WNO SPECIAL AGENT DO NOT WRITE IN SPACES BELOW APPROVED IN CHARGE COPIES MADE: (4) - Bureau (139-4136) (RM) - Washington Field (139-170) (活計 (2-USA, WDC) いっ日花 - New York (139-301) 1 23 NOV 22 1972 1 - New York (139-314) Dissemination Record of Attached Report Notations 2 BUCC REC-10S Agency onte Request Recd. Date Fwd. 6 KAN फwd. HUV 2 7 1972 NW 55077 DocId: 32989534 { Page 252,

NY 139-314

NY 105-1090^{1/2}-B-92 contains an article from the New York Post issue of 6/30/70 in which SEGARRA was reported to have been appointed as an assistant to the ARTHUR GOLDBERG for Governor campaign. The article related that SEGARRA was a former aid to Mayor LINDSAY and that SEGARRA left the Lindsay Administration after a dispute in which SEGARRA sided with the YLP during the takeover of a Harlem church in December of 1969. This information was furnished to the Bureau in NY LHM dated 1/9/70 captioned "Young Lords Organization aka Racial Matters, Bufile 157-12295."

NY 161-227 and NY 77-20021 reflect WILLIAM FREDERICK HADDAD was the subject of background investigations because of his possible employment by the US Government.

B. Au 16, 19 20 216 NY 105-18574 reveals ARTHUR JAMES WOOLSTON-SMITH on 3/14/56 represented himself to agents of the Boston Office of the FBI as an intelligence officer for the Canadian Department of Defense assigned to the security division of Naval intelligence. The Canadian government advised SMITH not employed by them. SMITH changed with being a foreign agent who failed to register with the United States Government but was not prosecuted.  $R_14-12-31$  NUW 40KK MM.

A review of New York files reveals the following concerning BENJAMIN JAMIL: aba Ben

In 1962 JAMIL sold telephone equipment to the attache of the Polish Embassy, NY, NY (S)

In 1966, JAMIL sold eavesdropping equipment to a representative of the Cuban government.

In 1966, JAMIL indicted by Manhattan County Grand Jury for violation of eavesdropping statute and for possession of wiretapping equipment. This case is still pending.

> - B -COVER PAGE

SECRET

FD-36 (Rev. 5-21-64) FBI Date: 4/8/74 Transmit the following in _ (Type in plaintext or code) AIRTEL Vin (Priority) DIRECTOR, FBT (139-4089) TO: SAC, ALEXANDRIA (139-18) (RUC) FROM: JAMES WALTER MC CORD, JR.; ET AL BURGLARY, DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE HEADQUARTERS ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED WASHINGTON, D. C. HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED 6/17/72 TOC (00:WFO) Enclosed for the Bureau are five copies of an LHM dated and captioned as above. New York and WFO are being furnished one copy each of LHM for indexing and possible erence. <u>BAPPRAX 19419</u> Alexandria indices are negative on A. <u>WOOTEN-SMITH</u> future reference. WILLIAM HADDAD, Dr. JOSEPH CASOLARO and J. DANLEL & ASOLARO, all referred to in the LHM. م می بود. به می بود. به می بود به می بود. به می بود. به می بود. به می بود. Dr. JOSEPH CASOLARO, whose interview appears in the LHM, initially went to the Arlington County, Virginia, Police Department to farnish his information on captioned matter. Detective MILTON SKINNER, Arlington County, Virginia, Police Department referred Dr. CASOLARO to the Alexandria . FBI Office. For Bureau information, Detective SKINNER advised that a few years ago Dr. CASOLARO was involved with another man's wife which came to the attention of the police department but had no other information concerning hat -54/3 2-505 by LHH 4/12/74 5) APR 30 1974 Hesteoned 2)Bureau (Encs 1-2243T I-New York (Enc 1) 1-WFO (Enc 1) 1-Alexandria WAL:mew (5)Sent . Per M Approved: U.S.Government Printing Office: 1972 - 455-574 Special Agent in Charge NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 254

## AX 139-18

and i have

Dr. CASOLARO advised that previous to his attempt to furnish his information to the Arlington County, Virginia, Police Department, he had also been in contact with Senator. HOWARD BAKER'S Office, (FNU) SHAPIRO, who he identified as a law partner of CHARLES COLSON and numerous other individuals on Capital Hill whom he did not readily recall. He stated that none of these individuals he contacted about the possibility of prior knowledge on the break-in, pursued the matter with him.

He related that his son also attempted to sell his story to the <u>National Inquirer</u> and to Mr. LOBE, who is the owner of a <u>Manchester</u>, New Hampshire newspaper, but was turned down by both for lack of sufficient information.

Dr. CASOLARO made it a point to state that he is in no way suffering from any mental disorder nor that he is playing partisan politics. He stated that his reason for furnishing this information is as a good citizen in an attempt to protect the Office of the President. He also stated that he hoped that FBI efforts would bring this information into the open. Dr. CASOLARO advised that his son, J. DANIEL CASOLARO was not aware of his visit to the FBI and did not consider it feasible to contact him in this matter:

Alexandria is furnishing information in the LHM to the Bureau for whatever action is deemed appropriate... In view of there being no apparent basis for the allegation, UACB, Alexandria is taking no further action in the matter.

1. 2.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION Alexandria, Virginia April 8, 1974

In Reply, Please Refer to File No.

JAMES WALTER MC CORD, JR.; BERNARD L. BAKER; VIRGILIO R. GONZALES; EUGENIO ROLANDO MARTINEZ Y CREAGA; FRANK ANTHONY FIORINI; BURGLARY OF DEMOCRATIC PARTY NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS WASHINGTON, D. C. JUNE 17, 1972

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED DATE 1-10-0 BY SPLAIN PO MURIC

> On April 4, 1974, Dr. Joseph Casolaro, home address Waterford, Virginia, telephone number 882-3517, and office address 921 North Wayne Street, Arlington, Virginia, telephone number 528-8805, voluntarily appeared at the Alexandria Office of the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI) and furnished the following information:

Dr. Casolaro advised that he has been a practicing physician in Arlington for approximately 25 years. He stated he has a son, J. Daniel Casolaro, who resides at 11626 Pine Tree Drive, Fairfax, Virginia, telephone number 273-7614, who is 26 years of age and that his son is a. free lance reporter. He stated that his son has written articles for the National Inquirer, and numerous trade magazines for the past three years and that approximately three months prior, he decided that he would like to branch out as an investigative reporter. Dr. Casolaro stated that his son's first attempt at being an investigative reporter was to do research on the possibility of prior knowledge of the Democrats on the break-in of the National Democratic Headquarters, Washington, D. C. He stated that his son first contacted the Senate Watergate Committee and was referred to an individual identified as Mr. Lanowet (phonetic)

> This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the FBI. It is the property of the FBI and is loaned to your agency; it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency. 139-4089- 272

JAMES WALTER MC CORD, JR; BERNARD L. BAKER; VIRGILIO R. GONZALES; EUGENIO ROLANDO MARTINEZ Y CREAGA; FRANK ANTHONY FIORINI; BURGLARY OF DEMOCRATIC PARTY NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS WASHINGTON, D. C. JUNE 17, 1972

who he stated is an investigative reporter for the National Observer. He stated his son contacted Mr. Lanowet and in turn was told to get in touch with a Mr. A. J. Wooten-Smith, Science Securities, 6th Avenue, New York City, New York. He stated his son then telephonically contacted Smith, who Dr. Casolaro stated was expecting his call and that Smith revealed to his son that he had an interest in the possibility that the Democrats had prior knowledge about the break-in and that . he would be willing to assist J. Daniel in doing research in Dr. Casolaro stated that from subsequent telephonic the matter. contacts his son had with Smith he learned that approximately one month prior to the break-in Smith met with John Stewart, Democratic National Committee Communications Director in the Office of William Haddad, New York City, New York, and at this time they discussed the possibility that there would be surveillances on the Democratic National Headquarters. Dr. Casolaro stated his son also determined that the Democratic National Committee had been sent a letter by Smith in this regard, whereby he requested the meeting and though Smith promised to furnish his son with a copy of the letter, it has not been forthcoming.

Dr. Casolaro stated that in addition to the above meeting, Smith also advised his son that he had met a Mr. Regan, who he stated was an ex-FBI Agent who was a wire tap expert. He stated that when he met Regan, he (Regan) was employed by the Committee to Re-elect the President, and though Smith did not admit to this, he (Dr. Casolaro) deducted from his knowledge of the above meeting and the fact that Regan was employed by the Committee to Re-elect the President the Democrats must have known something was up. Dr. Casolaro stated that Smith additionally indicated to his son that the word was out prior to the break-in on James Mc Cord's attempts to purchase bugging equipment

-2-

JAMES WALTER MC CORD, JR.; BERNARD L. BAKER; VIRGILIO R. GONZALES: EUGENIO ROLANDO MARTINEZ Y CREAGA; FRANK ANTHONY FIORINI: BURLARY OF DEMOCRATIC PARTY NATIONAL HEADQUARTERS WASHINGTON, D. C. JUNE 17; 1972

which he feels certainly makes the possibility that the Democrats were aware that a break-in would occur more evident. Dr. Casolaro had no further information to offer.

Dr. Casolaro advised that his son has not personally ever met A. J. Wooten-Smith. He stated though that his son is excellent at obtaining information and did not consider it unabual that he could develop this information without ever meeting A. J. Wooten-Smith. He further stated that Smith continues to contact his son for progress reports and that Smith neither appears to want credit nor is looking for financial gain from his assistance.

Dr. Casolaro advised that previous to his attempt to furnish his information to the Arlington County, Virginia, Police Department, he had also been in contact with Senator Howard Baker's Office, (first name unknown) Shapiro, who he identified as a law partner of Charles Colson, and numerous other individuals on Capital Hill whom he did not readily . recall. He stated that none of these individuals he contacted about the possibility of prior knowledge on the break-in, pursued the matter with him. Dr. Casolaro related that his son also attempted to sell his story to the National Inquirer and to Mr. Lobe, who is the owner of a Manchester, New Hampshire, newspaper, but was turned down by both for lack of sufficient information.

Dr. Casolaro made it a point to state that he is in . no way suffering from any mental disorder nor that he is playing partisan politics. He stated that his reason for furnishing this information is as a good citizen in an attempt to protect the Office of the President. He also stated that he hoped that FBI efforts would bring this information into the open. Dr. Casolaro advised that his son, J. Daniel Casolaro, was not aware of his visit to the FBI and did not consider it feasible to contact him in this matter. -3*-

The Attorney General

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams - Mr. J. A. Mintz 1 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall - Mr. W. A. Branigan October 28. 1975 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

Director. FBI

S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

Enclosed is the original of a memorandum, with two attachments, reporting the results of interviews of FBI Special Agents (SA) William A. Branigan and James P. Lee by an SSC Staff Member. A copy of the memorandum, with attachments is also enclosed for forwarding to Mr. James A. Wilderotter. Associate Counsel to the President.

Enclosures (6)

62-116395

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HTSAIN IS U.SC. 2-200 SPZ DATE

1 - The Deputy Attorney General Attention: Michael E. Shaheen, Jr. Special Counsel for Intelligence Coordination

> (Personnel file SA William A. Branigan) (Personnel file SA James P. Lee)

SFP:mjgnys (12)

1 - 67-

1 - 67 -

REC-2 1-2-1-375-1011 FX 104

15 NOV 12 1975

DCPL

DULUVE SIGN TIM

Dep. AD Adm. _ Dep. AD Inv. ____ Asst. Dir.: Admin. _ Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs _ Files & Com. _ Gen. Inv. _ Ident. Inspection _ Intell. Laboratory . Plan. & Eval. ... Spec. Inv. . Training . Legal Coun. Telephone Rm. _

Assoc. Dir.

Secret Material Attached

Page 259

TELETYPE UNIT





1 - Mr. J. B. Adams 1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz 1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall 1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan October 28. 1975

62 - 116395

1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

## U. S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE TO STUDY GOVERNMENTAL OPERATIONS WITH RESPECT TO INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC)

## INTERVIEWS OF FBI SPECIAL AGENTS (SA) RE: WILLIAM A. BRANIGAN AND JAMES P. LEE BY SSC STAFF MEMBER

The following concerns separate interviews conducted by an SSC Staff Member of FBI SAs Villiam A. Branigan and James P. Lee.

Prior to the interviews, Branigan and Lee were advised by the Legal Counsel Division of the FBI that they had a right S/to counsel during interview and if they desired, arrangements secure counsel. They were advised that they were released from their employment agreement relating to confidentiality for the purpose of answering questions concerning mail openings. They were also advised that the Department of Justice was in the process of determining whether there may have been criminal conduct by FBI personnel who were involved in mail openings. It was pointed out to Branigan and Lee that any statement they give to the SSC could be used against them if the Department of Swould be made with the pepartment of that they were released secure counsel. They were advised that they were released from their employment agreement relating to confidentiality mail open would be made with the Department of Justice in an effort to for the purpose of answering questions concerning mail openings. the process of determining whether there may have been criminal give to the SSC could be used against them if the Department of Justice initiates prosecution. They were further advised that their appearance before the SSC is voluntary and whether to appear and respond to particular questions is a decision they alone must make. They were finally advised that the SSC must issue a subpoena before it could demand they answer questions and that, absent such a subpoena, they were not compelled to submit to interview.

Assoc. Dir. _ Asst. Dir.: Admin. _ Ext. Affairs Ident. Inspection _____ Intell. Laboratory Plan. & Eval. __ Spec. Inv. ____ Training ____ Legal Coun. _ Telephone Rm. ___

CONTIALNUS

ALL DUFC

Attached herewith are two separate statements prepared Dep. AD Adm. - by Branigan and Lee reporting the results of their separate Dep. AD Inv. - interviews.

Comp. Syst. - Enclosures (2) Files & Com. _ ORIGINAL AND ONE COPY TO AG Gen. Inv. ____ 1 = 67= (Personnel file SA William A. Branigan) NOC 1 - 67-(Personnel file SA James P. Lee) SFP:mjg/% SEE NOTE PAGE TWO (11)Secret Material Attached ENCLOSURE 62-1163965-10 Director Sec'y ____ MAIL ROOM _____ TELETYPE UNIT _____ GPO : 1975 O - 569-920 NW 55077 DocId:32989534 Page 260

## SINATE SELECT CONMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES (SSC) RE: INTERVIEW OF F3I SAS BY SSC

NUTE:

Legal Counsel Division advice to Branigan and Lee was by Supervisor F. V. Doly.

- Mr. J. B. Adams - Mr. J. A. Mintz - Mr. W. R. Wannall - Mr. W. A. Branigan 1 - Mr. W. O. Cregar 1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips

October 16, 1975

STATEMENT OF SPECIAL AGENT <u>WILLIAM A. BRANIGAN</u> REGARDING INTERVIEW WITH A STAFF MEMBER OF THE U.S. SENATE SELECT COMMITTEE ON INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES

SECRET

On October 9, 1975, Special Agent William A. Branigan was interviewed by Mr. James Dick, Staff Member of the U.S. Senate Select Committee on Intelligence Activities. The interview, which took place in the office space of the U.S. Senate, was fully recorded and lasted from approximately 2:15 p.m. until 5:15 p.m.

At the outset of the interview, Mr. Dick advised that it was his intention to have an oath administered by a United States Senator but none such was available. He advised that SA Branigan would be given the opportunity to review the record of the interview, and he requested that SA Branigan at that time swear an oath before a United States Senator who would then be available. SA Branigan agreed to do this. Also at the outset of the hearing, Mr. Dick advised SA Branigan that it was his right to refuse to answer any question, that he had the right to counsel, and that at any time could interrupt the interview for the purpose of consulting counsel.

Mr. Dick furnished SA Branigan with Xerox copies of approximately eleven intra-Bureau documents which Mr. Dick said had been made available to the Committee through the Department of Justice. During the interview a number of these documents were made a part of the record.

Mr. Dick requested that SA Branigan identify and briefly explain the Bureau's various mail intercept surveys. When this was accomplished, Mr. Dick directed specific questions at our survey known as Z Coverage. In answer to his specific question, Mr. Dick was advised Z Coverage was instituted in Washington, D. C., prior to the United States entry into World War II. Mr. Dick exhibited a memorandum which had been

Comp. Syst. Ext. Affairs . SECRET Files & Com. Gen. Inv. 2355 Classified by Ident. Inspection Exempt from GDS, Categories 2 and 3 Date of Declassification Indefinite Intell. ... WAB:hkei Laboratory Plan. & Eval. 1,2-116395-(11)Spec. Inv. 1 - 67-(Personnel file SA William A. Branigan) Training . Legal Coun. 1 -67-(Personnel fi Lames P. Lee) Telephone Rm. Director Sec'y

Assoc. Dir.

Asst. Dir.:

Admin.

Dep.-A.D.-Adm.

Dep.-A.D.-Inv.

32989534

Page 262

prepared by former Special Agent E. T. Turner in the mid-1950s which dealt with a request by the Washington Field Office to renew the Z Coverage mail program. Mr. Dick was advised that this memorandum obviously reflected that Z Coverage had been discontinued at a date sometime before the date of the memorandum and the program was reinstituted sometime after the memorandum. Mr. Dick was advised that SA Branigan had no present recollection as to the specific dates involved. Mr. Dick was also advised that sometime during the 1950s the program had been extended to New York and that mail directed to the Soviet Mission to the United Nations was the object of our Z Coverage there.

Mr. Dick inquired if at any time during the operation of the Z Coverage there was any thought given to legalizing the coverage. Mr. Branigan advised that he had no recollection of any such effort in this respect. Mr. Dick also inquired as to the criteria which were used by the Agents who worked the Z Coverage in selecting mail for special attention. He was advised that there were no written criteria, and it was the recollection of SA Branigan that the Agents who worked the Z Coverage used their own judgment based upon past experience developed over an extended period of time im selecting mail for special attention.

With respect to the Sam Survey, Mr. Dick was advised that operations under the Sam Survey were undertaken to locate and identify Soviet illegals operating in the United States. These operations were begun based on solid knowledge as to how Soviet illegals were instructed to prepare their correspondence for transmittal to mail-drop locations in Western Europe. Communications of illegal agents had telltale indicators, both in the envelopes and the letters themselves, and these indicators were believed to be still valid and in use by Soviet illegals at the present time. Mr. Dick was also informed that mail selected for special attention would have more than one indicator unless, of course, it was addressed to a known mail-drop address in Europe. Mr. Dick had available a letter from our New York Office which set forth the results and the accomplishments of the Sam Survey for a particular period. Mr. Dick referred specifically to information set forth in the letter concerning the activities of some New Left groups. He inquired to know why correspondence from these groups was selected for special attention if our program was directed at



uncovering Soviet illegals. SA Branigan informed Mr. Dick that he did not know why this correspondence had been selected and speculated that the Agents operating the program might have been aware of the Bureau's investigative interest in these groups and based upon this awareness had selected the correspondence in the hope of furthering the investigation of the groups. Mr. Dick inquired as to SA Branigan's evaluation of the Sam Survey, and he was advised that this Survey was considered highly productive in that it put the Bureau in a position of identifying Soviet illegals in the United States without reference to any other agency or any other source both within and without the Soviet intelligence services.

SECRET

Mr. Dick inquired concerning the Sun Survey which involved the screening of mail directed to known Soviet intelligence agents who were employed by the United Nations Secretariat. Mr. Dick was advised that this program was again directed at the detection of illegal agents who might be operating in the United States. The program was based on indicators which would appear in the illegals' correspondence. Mr. Dick was informed that we had not detected any illegals by this program and it was of limited duration.

During the interview, Mr. Dick asked a number of questions concerning the level of authority for approval of mail intercept surveys. He inquired if Mr. Hoover had approved the original memorandum in the Sam Survey. Mr. Dick was advised that based upon W. A. Branigan's review of the file, it did not appear that this original memorandum had gone to the Director but certainly subsequent mail that periodically evaluated the program had been seen and approved by Mr. Hoover. Mr. Dick was also advised that it was Mr. Branigan's understanding that if a field office desired to engage in a mail intercept survey, Bureau Headquarters approval would be necessary. Mr. Dick had available a communication from our San Francisco Office which indicated that office had developed a source who had access to mail coming from Communist China through Canada. It was pointed out to Mr. Dick that while this source may have been developed by the San Francisco Office on their own initiative, Bureau Headquarters was consulted and had issued guidelines concerning material reported by the source. Mr. Dick asked a number of questions concerning mail programs directed against mail coming from and going to Communist China. For the most part, SA Branigan was not familiar with these programs and was unable to provide positive answers to the questions posed.

With respect to Bureau Source 200, also known as the CIA's Hunter Project, Mr. Dick inquired why the Bureau's participation in this was not terminated at the time Mr. Hoover terminated our other mail intercept surveys. In this regard, SA Branigan advised him that obviously the Bureau Source 200 Program was not considered in the same light as the Sam Survey and the other programs. With respect to Bureau Source 200, the Bureau was merely a recipient of information provided by another agency, namely, the CIA. Mr. Dick exhibited a memorandum prepared by SA Branigan to advise then Acting Director Gray that the CIA was proposing that the Bureau take over the Hunter Project. In answer to Mr. Dick's question, he was advised that the Bureau certainly never seriously considered taking over the Project because of its magnitude, the number of personnel that would be required to operate the program, and because the CIA themselves had indicated that the Postal Service feared that there was a serious threat to the security of the program. Mr. Dick inquired as to whether the Bureau considered the product from the Hunter Project as valuable as our Sam Survey. He was advised that certainly there was no comparison between the results of the Hunter Project and the results that came from the Sam Survey.

SÈCRET



NW 55077

DocId:32989534

Page 265



SECRET

1 - Mr. J. B. Adams

1 - Mr. J. A. Mintz

1 - Mr. W. R. Wannall

1 - Mr. W. A. Branigan

1 - Mr. Wet8beGregar 1975

1 - Mr. S. F. Phillips INTERVIEW BY SSC STAFF MEMBER OF SPECIAL AGENT (SA) JAMES P. LEE, OCTOBER 10, 1975

On October 10, 1975, James Dick, SSC Staff Member, interviewed SA James P. Lee of FBI Headquarters (FBIHQ) on the subject of mail intercept programs. The interview took place at the SSC offices in the former Carroll Arms Hotel and began at approximately 2:30 p.m. and terminated at approximately 4:15 p.m. Mr. Dick advised SA Lee of his rights in that he was entitled to be represented by counsel, could refuse to be interviewed and could refuse to answer any questions. SA Lee was placed under oath by the stenographer who is a Notary Public in the District of Columbia since no senator was present. Mr. Dick advised that when the testimony was transcribed, it could be reviewed by SA Lee and minor changes made but any major changes would have to be approved by a senator. Also at that time, if the Bureau so desired, SA Lee could be sworn in by a senator.

The questions covered a discussion of the SAM and GUS Survey programs operated by the FBI (Bureau) between 1958 and 1966; the Hunter Project (Eureau Informant 200), which was a CIA program operated until May, 1973; and Z Coverage operated by the Bureau between 1940 and 1966.

ASSUTTED BY.

y 161

d:32989534 Page 266

With regard to the SAM Survey, Dick asked when it was initiated and when it was stopped. He was furnished with these 1958 through July, 1966. He noted that one of the items dates: in his possession, a New York letter dated April 8, 1966, pointed out that the SAM Survey had furnished information on the Communist Party, USA, Lawyers Committee on American Policy Towards Vietnam, Youth Against War and Fascism, and Pan American Students Organizations in the Americas, Incorporated. Mr. Dick

Assoc. Dir. Dep. AD Adm. _ SECRET Dep. AD Inv. _ Classified by 6283 wock Asst. Dir .: Admin. Comp. Syst. _ Exempt from GDS, Categories 2 and 3 Ext. Affairs _ Date of Declassification Indefinite Files & Com. ___ Gen. Inv. ___ Ident. nection _ (Personnel file SA William A. Branigan) 1 - 67-(Personnel file SA James P. Lee) - 67-62-116375-1011 ENCLOSURE SFP:mjg/m (11)L ROOM TELETYPE UNIT GPO : 1975 O - 569-920

asked if we developed information on domestic organizations such as these within the framework of the SAM Survey which was aimed at detecting illegals. SA Lee pointed out the reason probably was to determine if there was any Soviet-type influence in these organizations.

Mr. Dick asked about the Washington Field Office obtaining letters in another case being directed to a known mail drop in France from an illegal in the United States. (The word "France" was excised from the copy in the possession of Mr. Dick.) Mr. Dick was told that this was a separate case received from a foreign intelligence source but it was handled within the framework of the SAM Survey. (This relates to another case which was based on information furnished to the Bureau by French intelligence.)

Mr. Dick also asked if FBIHQ exercised control other than the annual review. He was told that FBIHQ did exercise control and it was pointed out to him that the Los Angeles Office had been instructed to discontinue the program due to unproductivity and to concentrate on other areas of investigative work to detect illegals.

Mr. Dick asked what action would be taken if the SAM Survey developed information showing a violation of criminal law within our jurisdiction. He was told it probably would have to be referred to the Division in the FBI handling the criminal violation involved with all the safeguards against disclosure of the source and limitation on the use of material explained. He was told that in the recollection of SA Lee, no such information was ever developed.

Mr. Dick asked if justification of the programs was ever done on a basis less than annual review. He was told on certain occasions we did if Mr. Hoover or another Bureau official asked for such a review on a shorter basis.

Mr. Dick made inquiry concerning the origin of the SAM Survey program and asked if Mr. Hoover was aware of it. He was told that the program originated in a conference held with personnel from some of our field offices, including the New York Office, and FBIHQ personnel. During this conference, it was agreed to begin the SAM Survey program and this was placed in a memorandum reporting the results of the conference to Mr. Hoover along with the recommendation of the conference to begin the program. This memorandum was approved by Mr. Hoover. Mr. Dick asked the reasons for the discontinuance of the program in July, 1966, particularly since Mr. Hoover had approved the continuation of the program for a year in the New York Office and Washington Field Office in April, 1966. Mr. Dick was told that the reason Mr. Hoover made this decision was not known.

Mr. Dick asked about the GUS Survey and he was told it involved a review of mail destined for certain postal zones in New York, Detroit and San Francisco. He was told it was based on knowledge gained from our work that we could identify mail directed to illegals through indicators we knew were used by Soviet intelligence officers in communicating with illegals. He was told we were looking for mail posted in the United States usually, in the New York or Washington, D. C., area, and directed to an illegal. The reason for the selection of certain postal zones was based on the fact that the areas were the type in which an illegal may live; that is, a transient-type population with hotels, boarding and rooming houses which attract someone trying to establish himself in the United States. Mr. Dick was told in response to a question concerning the indicators that they were still considered to be valid.

Concerning Z Coverage, Mr. Dick pointed out a memorandum had been prepared in September, 1951, discussing the possibilities of opening the mail legally. Mr. Dick asked SA Lee whether any further action had been taken on this matter and he was told SA Lee had no such knowledge. Mr. Dick asked for the criteria used in Z Coverage and he was told this was something which was left to the judgment of the individual Agent who reviewed the mail and that the Agent would develop a "feel" for his work and would ignore mail obviously prepared by children or which appeared to be of a routine business nature. He asked if SA Lee handled the supervision of this case and was told it was handled in another unit and that it had commenced in 1940 which was prior to the time SA Lee entered on duty with the Bureau.

SECRET

Concerning the Hunter Project, Mr. Dick asked how information concerning American citizens traveling in Russia or studying in Russia would be of assistance in locating illegals. It was pointed out that such individuals could have possibly been engaged in activities in the Soviet Union which caused them to be compromised and might have been recruited on the basis of such compromise. In addition, American students studying at schools such as Patrice Lumumba University may well have been recruited by Soviet intelligence.

Mr. Bick asked why the names of certain individuals were included on the Hunter Watch List. He specifically referred to the name Leonard Bernstein. SA Lee informed him he had no information concerning Bernstein or why his name was included on the Watch List.

Mr. Dick asked about the details of the offer made by CIA in February, 1973, to turn the Hunter Project over to the Bureau. He was told that an appointment was made for a CIA official to see Mr. L. Patrick Gray III concerning this but no information was received that the meeting had taken place and, if so, what transpired. He asked what the feeling of SA Lee was concerning this offer and it was pointed out that CIA had informed us there were security problems involved and for this reason the opinion of SA Lee was that it might not be wise to take over the project. He asked if SA Lee thought the programs should be reinstituted and he was told that in light of its questionable legality, reinstitution would be questionable. He then asked if the answer would be the same if the Attorney General approved the reinstitution of the programs and SA Lee stated that he would favor reinstitution of the programs under those circumstances since it was believed they were still valid investigative techniques.

